## **EXHIBIT KK**

UNITED STATES DISTR	ICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF	
THE WIMBLEDON FUND, SPC (CLASS TT),  PLAINTIFFS,	) ) ) )
VS.	) CASE NO. ) 2:15-CV-6633-CAS-ASJWX
GRAYBOX LLC; INTEGRATED ADMINISTRATION; EUGENE SCHER, AS TRUSTEE OF BERGSTEIN TRUST; AND CASCADE TECHNOLOGIES CORP.,	) ) ) )
DEFENDANTS.	) ) )

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF FRYMI BIEDAK

TAKEN ON

MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2019

Sandra Mitchell C.S.R. 12553

03-25-19

```
Page 2
                                                                                                                                      Page 4
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                                       I-N-D-E-X
 2
            CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                                                                                             WITNESS
 3
                                                                                             FRYMI BIEDAK
      THE WIMBLEDON FUND, SPC (CLASS )
 4
                                                                                                                     PAGE
                        )
                                                                                                   EXAMINATION BY MR. WALKER
                                                                                                                                     8 225
                       )
                                                                                                   EXAMINATION BY MR. WIECHERT
                                                                                                                                     178,251
               PLAINTIFFS, )
 6
                                                                                                      EXHIBITS
                        ) CASE NO.
         VS.
                       ) 2:15-CV-6633-CAS-ASJWX
                                                                                             NUMBER
                                                                                       10
                                                                                                           DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                                                PAGE
                                                                                       11
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 1 - NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF FRYMI BIEDAK 10
 8
      GRAYBOX LLC; INTEGRATED
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 2 - 2004 DEPOSITION OF FRYMI BIEDAK
      ADMINISTRATION; EUGENE SCHER, AS )
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 3 - VOL. 2 OF 2004 DEPOSITION OF FRYMI 31
      TRUSTEE OF BERGSTEIN TRUST; AND )
                                                                                                   BIEDAK
      CASCADE TECHNOLOGIES CORP., )
                                                                                       14
10
                      )
               DEFENDANTS. )
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 4 - VOL. 3 OF 2004 DEPOSITION OF FRYMI
11
                                                                                       15
                                                                                                   BIEDAK
12
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 5 - SERIES OF CHARTS
                                                                                       16
13
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 6 - TEXAS NOTICE OF DELINQUENT FRANCHISE 59
                                                                                       17
14
                                                                                                   TAX - 7/22/2011
1.5
                                                                                      1.8
16
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF FRYMI BIEDAK, taken on
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 7 - LIST OF RELATED ENTITIES'
17
      behalf of the Plaintiff, at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard,
                                                                                                   INFORMATION
                                                                                       19
18
      13th Floor, Los Angeles, California, commencing at
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 8 - E-MAIL - EFFIE STERN - 11/2/2011
19
      10:05 a.m., Monday, March 25, 2019, before Sandra Mitchell,
                                                                                       21
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 9 - E-MAIL - FRYMI BIEDAK - 11/9/2011
20
      C.S.R. 12553, pursuant to Notice.
                                                                                       22
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 10 - E-MAIL - SWARTZ IP SERVICES - EFILE 86
21
                                                                                                   CONFIRMATION AN EFILED FORMS
22
                                                                                       23
                                                                                                   ATTACHED
23
                                                                                       24
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 11 - SWARTZ IP CANCELED CHECKS
                                                                                                                                          93
24
                                                                                       25
                                               Page 3
                                                                                                                                      Page 5
       APPEARANCES:
                                                                                                     INDEX (Continued)
       For the Plaintiffs, THE WIMBLEDON FUND, SPC (CLASS TT):
                                                                                                      EXHIBITS
 3
         COLE SCHOTZ
         BY: JAMES W. WALKER, ESQ.
                                                                                                            DESCRIPTION
         901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 4120
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 12 - E-MAIL - SWARTZ IP SERVICE - TEXAS
         DALLAS, TEXAS 75202
                                                                                                   TAXES - 1/19/2012
         (469) 557-9391
         E-MAIL: JWALKER@COLESCHOTZ
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 13 - KELLER WILLIAMS REALTY LETTER -
                                                                                                                                              105
                                                                                                   4/1/2012
       For the Plaintiffs, THE WIMBLEDON FUND, SPC (CLASS TT):
         COLE SCHOTZ
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 14 - E-MAIL - KIA JAM - 4/16/2012
 8
         BY: ERIC S. LATZER, ESQ.
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 15 - E-MAIL - FRYMI BIEDAK - 4/19/2012
                                                                                                                                           108
         COURT PLAZA NORTH
 9
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 16 - E-MAIL - MAJID ZARRINKELK -
                                                                                       10
                                                                                                                                          121
         25 MAIN STREET
                                                                                                   4/19/2012
         HACKENSAK, NEW JERSEY 07601
10
         E-MAIL: ELATZER@COLESCHOTZ.COM
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 17 - E-MAIL - KEITH WELNER - 4/26/2012
                                                                                                                                           122
11
                                                                                       12
       For the Defendants, KIARASH JAM:
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 18 - E-MAIL - KIA JAM - 4/27/2012
                                                                                                                                       124
12
         DAVID WIECHERT
                                                                                       13
         BY: 27136 PASEO ESPADA, SUITE B1123, ESQ.
13
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 19 - E-MAIL - KIA JAM - 1/2/2013
                                                                                                                                       126
         SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CALIFORNIA 92675
                                                                                       14
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 20 - E-MAIL - KIA JAM - 1/2/2013
                                                                                                                                       129
         E-MAIL: DWEICHERT@AOL.COM
                                                                                       15
15
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 21 - IA EMPLOYEE LIST - 8/2011 TO 12/2013 140
       For the WITNESS, FRYMI BIEDAK:
16
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 22 - SUMMARY OF ENTITIES
                                                                                                                                        145
         LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY D. MCGONIGLE
         BY: TIMOTHY D. MCGONIGLE, ESQ.
17
         1880 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 516
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 23 - LIST OF EMPLOYEES
18
         LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
                                                                                       18
         (310) 478-7110
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 24 - E-MAIL - FRYMI BIEDAK - 3/17/2016 169
19
         E-MAIL: TIM@MCGONIGLE
                                                                                       19
20
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 25 - SWARTZ IP SERVICES- DESCRIPTION OF 200
       Also Present:
                                                                                                   BUSINESS
                                                                                       20
21
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 26 - E-MAIL - SEAN EDRINGTON - 11/10/2011 203
                                                                                       21
         MICHELLE BARTFAY, VIDEOGRAPHER
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 27 - E-MAIL - SEAN EDRINGTON - 11/17/2011 213
                                                                                       22
23
                                                                                       23
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 28 - E-MAIL - SEAN EDRINGTON - 11/17/2011 216
24
                                                                                       24
                                                                                             EXHIBIT 29 - E-MAIL - SEAN EDRINGTON - 11/23/2011 217
                                                                                       25
```

		Page 6			Page 8
		1 INDEX (Continued)	10:06:27	1	defendants Kia Jam and Integrated Administration.
		2 EXHIBITS		2	MR. MCGONIGLE: And Timothy McGonigle for
		3		3	Graybox, as well as for the witness.
		4 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE		4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporte
		5 EXHIBIT 30 - E-MAIL - ALISA LILEY - 12/22/2011 218	10:06:36	5	please swear in the witness.
		6 EXHIBIT 31 - E-MAIL - JUSTIN MILLIGAN - 3/2/2012 219		6	THE REPORTER: Please raise your right hand.
		7 EXHIBIT 32 - E-MAIL - DAVID BERGSTEIN - MALIBU 222		7	Do you solemnly swear in the cause
		PROPERTY - 3/13/2012		8	now pending to tell the truth, the
		8		9	whole truth, and nothing but the
		9	10:06:37	10	truth so help you God?
		10 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER		11	THE WITNESS: I do.
		11 PAGE LINE		12	FRYMI BIEDAK,
		12 12 19		13	having been duly sworn,
		13 28 14		14	was examined and testified as follows:
		14 29 1	10:06:45	15	
		15 30 18		16	EXAMINATION
		16 172 24		17	BY MR. WALKER:
		17 173 18		18	Q Please state your full name for the record,
		18 174 4		19	ma'am.
		19	10:06:48	20	A It's Frymi Biedak. It's F as in Frank, R, Y as
		20 INFORMATION REQUESTED		21	in yellow, M as in Mary, I as in India. B as in boy,
		21 (NONE) 22		22	I-E, D as in dog, A as in apple, K as in kite.
		23		23	Q How are you currently employed?
		24		24	A I currently work for Corporate Administrative
		25	10:07:11	25	Services.
00:00:01	1	Page 7			Page 9
			10.07.11	1	O And who owns that company?
		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2019 AT 10:05 A M	10:07:11	1 2	Q And who owns that company?
	2	AT 10:05 A.M.	10:07:11	2	A I don't know.
	2	AT 10:05 A.M.	10:07:11	2	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID
10.05.14	2 3 4	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on		2 3 4	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.
10:05:14	2 3 4 5	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a	10:07:11	2 3 4 5	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?
10:05:14	2 3 4 5 6	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with		2 3 4	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.
10:05:14	2 3 4 5	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor		2 3 4 5 6	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect
10:05:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.
10:05:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles,	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David
10:05:31 10:05:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.  Counsel, will you please introduce yourself and	10:07:19 10:07:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David Bergstein?
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.  Counsel, will you please introduce yourself and state whom you represent.	10:07:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David Bergstein?  A Yesterday. I went that visit him at Taft.
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.  Counsel, will you please introduce yourself and state whom you represent.  MR. WALKER: Jim Walker for the plaintiff,	10:07:19 10:07:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David Bergstein?  A Yesterday. I went that visit him at Taft.  Q And how often do you contact him week to week
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.  Counsel, will you please introduce yourself and state whom you represent.  MR. WALKER: Jim Walker for the plaintiff, Class TT.	10:07:19 10:07:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David Bergstein?  A Yesterday. I went that visit him at Taft.  Q And how often do you contact him week to week A It depends.
10:05:31 10:05:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.  Counsel, will you please introduce yourself and state whom you represent.  MR. WALKER: Jim Walker for the plaintiff, Class TT.  MR. LATZER: Good morning. Eric Latzer of Cole	10:07:19 10:07:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David Bergstein?  A Yesterday. I went that visit him at Taft.  Q And how often do you contact him week to week A It depends.  Q Are you in regular contact with him?
10:05:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	AT 10:05 A.M.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. My name is Michelle Bartfay. I'm a certified legal video specialist working with eLitigation Services, Inc. I'm neither a relative nor employee of any of the parties and have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.  Today's date is March 25, 2019. The current time is 10:05 a.m. Today's deposition is taking place at 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.  This is the videotaped deposition of Frymi Biedak. The consolidated case number is 2:15-CV-6633 CAS-AJWx. The entitled case matter is The Wimbledon Fund versus Graybox LLC, et al.  The court reporter today is Sandra Mitchell.  Counsel, will you please introduce yourself and state whom you represent.  MR. WALKER: Jim Walker for the plaintiff, Class TT.	10:07:19 10:07:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I don't know.  Q When you called me last week, the caller ID showed it was from Graybox LLC.  Are you still working with Graybox LLC?  A No.  Q Do you know why the caller ID would reflect that?  A It's on my cell phone.  Q What is the name of the entity that's on your paycheck?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q And could please spell that? It's Corporate?  A Corporate Administrative Services.  Q Okay. And you don't know who owns that company?  A No.  Q When was the last time that you spoke to David Bergstein?  A Yesterday. I went that visit him at Taft.  Q And how often do you contact him week to week A It depends.

		Page 10			Page 12
10:08:06	1	discussing matters that relate to his business affairs?	10:10:18	1	Q Well, did they relate to a particular personal
	2	A I am helping him with his legal items presently		2	interest of yours, like an auto accident?
	3	because he's not available. So I'm helping him with his		3	A No, no.
	4	legal stuff and his communication to his attorneys.		4	Q Okay. Did they relate to any personal business
10:08:22	5	Q Why is he not able to communicate with his	10:10:26	5	that you conduct?
	6	attorneys directly?		6	A No.
	7	A Because it's only three days a week that you		7	Q Was there any other reason for you to have been
	8	can see him.		8	at those depositions outside of your employment with or
	9	Q Are you also communicating with him with		9	for Mr. Bergstein?
10:08:33	10	respect to any business affairs?	10:10:38	10	A Can you explain the question? Sorry.
	11	A No.		11	Q Yes. Is it fair to say that there was no other
	12	Q I've handed you what's been marked as		12	reason for you to provide six or seven depositions
	13	Exhibit 1.		13	out other than the fact that you were there for
	14	(Exhibit 1 was marked for		14	something relating to Mr. Bergstein's business affairs?
14:15:30	15	identification by the Court Reporter	10:10:53	15	A To his entities.
	16	and is attached hereto.)		16	Q Okay. You mean his companies?
	17	BY MR. WALKER:		17	A Yes. Entities related companies related one
	18	Q And you understand this is a deposition notice		18	way or the other.
	19	that accompanied the subpoena that asked that you be		19	Q What business entities does Mr. Bergstein
10:08:48	20	here today; correct?	10:11:09	20	continue to operate?
	21	A Correct.		21	A None as far
	22	Q How many depositions have you provided prior to		22	MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to
	23	today's proceeding?		23	that. I think it's irrelevant to this case. I'm not
	24	A Either six or seven.		24	sure what what the relevancy would be to this matter.
10:08:58		Q When was the first one that you gave?	10:11:20		So any business activities that Mr. Bergstein's
		Page 11			Page 13
10:09:02	1	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want	10:11:23	1	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct
10:09:02	2	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.	10:11:23	2	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.
10:09:02	2 3	A In — it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?	10:11:23	2	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his
	2 3 4	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.		2 3 4	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the
10:09:02	2 3 4 5	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt	10:11:23	2 3 4 5	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.
	2 3 4 5 6	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of		2 3 4 5	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm
	2 3 4 5 6 7	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions	10:11:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it	10:11:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I	10:11:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in	10:11:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure.	10:11:33 10:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure.  And then there was one with Sidley and Austin.	10:11:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure.  And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about.	10:11:33 10:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure.  And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I	10:11:33 10:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport?  A Uh-huh.  Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct?  A As far as I recall, yes.  Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided?  A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure.  And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't	10:11:33 10:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for
10:09:19 10:09:30 10:09:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport? A Uh-huh. Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct? A As far as I recall, yes. Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided? A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure. And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't remember what that was about.	10:11:33 10:11:42 10:11:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
10:09:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport? A Uh-huh. Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct? A As far as I recall, yes. Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided? A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure. And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't remember what that was about. And then there was another one recently and	10:11:33 10:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)
10:09:19 10:09:30 10:09:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport? A Uh-huh. Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct? A As far as I recall, yes. Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided? A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure. And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't remember what that was about. And then there was another one recently and with regards to some sort of an insurance claim.	10:11:33 10:11:42 10:11:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:
10:09:19 10:09:30 10:09:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport? A Uh-huh. Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct? A As far as I recall, yes. Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided? A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure. And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't remember what that was about. And then there was another one recently and with regards to some sort of an insurance claim. Q Now, were all of the depositions you provided	10:11:33 10:11:42 10:11:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q You had mentioned earlier that you provided a
10:09:19 10:09:30 10:09:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport? A Uh-huh. Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct? A As far as I recall, yes. Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided? A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure. And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't remember what that was about. And then there was another one recently and with regards to some sort of an insurance claim. Q Now, were all of the depositions you provided relating in one way or the other to Mr. Bergstein's	10:11:33 10:11:42 10:11:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:
10:09:19 10:09:30 10:09:45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A In it was Leonard Gumport, and it was I want to say 2010. It could also be 2011. I'm not sure.  Q And you gave three depositions for Mr. Gumport? A Uh-huh. Q And he was representing a bankrupt bankruptcy trustee in a matter pertaining to a couple of Mr. Bergstein's companies; is that correct? A As far as I recall, yes. Q What were the other three or four depositions that you provided? A One was with in the law offices of it was the person was Earl Levine, I think it was. I don't I don't recall the law firm. It was also in connection with the bankruptcy. I'm not sure. And then there was one with Sidley and Austin. I don't know I don't remember what that was about. And then there was one, I think, in Beverly Hills. I think the attorneys were Early Sullivan. I don't remember what that was about. And then there was another one recently and with regards to some sort of an insurance claim. Q Now, were all of the depositions you provided	10:11:33 10:11:42 10:11:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	currently running I think is irrelevant and I instruct her not to answer.  MR. WALKER: I would ask counsel to conform his objections to the rules and not provide guidance to the witness.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think I did. I'm not didn't coach the witness, Counsel.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And you are you going to follow Counsel's advice not to respond to that question, ma'am?  A Can you repeat the question?  Q Are you going to follow your lawyer's advice and not answer the question that I just asked you? The one that he objected to?  A Yes, of course.  Q I'd like to hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.  (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q You had mentioned earlier that you provided a

		Page 14			Page 16
10:12:29	1	volume of the transcript of that deposition.	10:14:55	1	overbroad and it's vague. You can answer.
	2	A Uh-huh.		2	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
	3	Q Does it appear to if you you're free to		3	BY MR. WALKER:
	4	look at it as you wish. Does it appear to be copy of		4	Q Yes. To the over the past ten years, to the
10:12:37	5	that transcript of Volume 1 of your deposition?	10:15:03	5	extent that the name of the company on your paycheck
	6	A I can only assume yes.		6	changed, your job did not change; correct?
	7	MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, just for the record, it		7	MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objections.
	8	doesn't look complete. I see it goes from Page 119 to		8	THE WITNESS: Until Mr. Bergstein's
	9	160.		9	incarceration, I worked for him. For David Bergstein.
10:12:56	10	BY MR. WALKER:	10:15:22	10	And then now I'm working for an entity named Corporate
	11	Q Okay. Ma'am, if you look at the top, you'll		11	Administrative Services.
	12	see it says Exhibit 46, Page 1 of 48 on the first page		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	there. It's on the very first page.		13	Q Is that involved or affiliated with
	14	A Yes.		14	Mr. Bergstein in any way?
10:13:07	15	Q Do you see where it says Page 1 of 48?	10:15:32	15	A I wouldn't know.
	16	A I see that.		16	Q Were you doing any work for Mr. Bergstein or
	17	Q Okay. I'm going to refer to those review so		17	any of his interests?
	18	page numbers as we walk through this.		18	MR. MCGONIGLE: During what period of time?
	19	A Okay.		19	BY MR. WALKER:
10:13:14	20	Q If that's okay?	10:15:41	20	Q Currently?
	21	A Fine with me.		21	A Currently, no. I help him with his legal
	22	Q All right. If you could turn to Page 5 of 48,		22	battles, as I said before.
	23	please.		23	Q So is it your testimony that your current
			1		
	24	A Yes.		24	position and employment has absolutely nothing to do
10:13:26		A Yes. Q You were asked at time that what company was on	10:15:52	24 25	position and employment has absolutely nothing to do with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate
10:13:26		Q You were asked at time that what company was on	10:15:52		with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate
	25	Q You were asked at time that what company was on Page 15		25	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17
	25	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it	10:15:52	25	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17 interests?
	25 1 2	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?		25 1 2	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to
	25 1 2 3	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.		25 1 2 3	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with
10:13:30	25 1 2 3 4	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change?	10:15:55	25 1 2 3 4	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object t that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the
10:13:30	1 2 3 4 5	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change? A I don't remember. I'm sorry.		25 1 2 3 4 5	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object t that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.
10:13:30	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change? A I don't remember. I'm sorry. Q How many companies have appeared on your	10:15:55	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein
10:13:30	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.
10:13:30	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.
10:13:30 10:13:40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change? A I don't remember. I'm sorry. Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years? A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can
10:13:30	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change? A I don't remember. I'm sorry. Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years? A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can
10:13:30 10:13:40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change? A I don't remember. I'm sorry. Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years? A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was	10:15:55	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to
10:13:30 10:13:40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.
10:13:30 10:13:40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q You were asked at time that what company was on  Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes. Q When did that change? A I don't remember. I'm sorry. Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years? A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS. Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not
10:13:30 10:13:40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.	10:15:55	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?  A I can't say either way. Sorry.	10:15:55 10:16:04 10:16:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you still working for Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?  A I can't say either way. Sorry.  Q What is the reason that you change employers	10:15:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 1  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you still working for Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or commercial interests?
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?  A I can't say either way. Sorry.  Q What is the reason that you change employers well, let me ask it this way. During the period of the	10:15:55 10:16:04 10:16:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 1*  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object to that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you still working for Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or commercial interests?  A To the best of my knowledge, no.
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?  A I can't say either way. Sorry.  Q What is the reason that you change employers well, let me ask it this way. During the period of the ten-year going back ten years, to the extent that the	10:15:55 10:16:04 10:16:13	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 1  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you still working for Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or commercial interests?  A To the best of my knowledge, no.  Q When was the last time that you started working
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?  A I can't say either way. Sorry.  Q What is the reason that you change employers well, let me ask it this way. During the period of the ten-year going back ten years, to the extent that the name of the company on your paycheck changed, you	10:15:55 10:16:04 10:16:13	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you still working for Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or commercial interests?  A To the best of my knowledge, no.  Q When was the last time that you started workin for Mr. Bergstein or any of his corporate interests?
10:13:30 10:13:40 10:14:08	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 15  your paycheck at that time, and you responded that it was Managed Media Services, Inc.; correct?  A Yes.  Q When did that change?  A I don't remember. I'm sorry.  Q How many companies have appeared on your paychecks say over the last five years?  A I'm going to have to think for a second. So now we are now in 2019. So '14 at some point it was Integrated Administrative Services. And then and then it oh, wait. There was one in between. It was something with financial, and then it was CAS.  Q Okay. So how many companies have appeared on to your paycheck over the last ten years?  A That, I cannot. I don't remember.  Q More than ten?  A I would have to look.  Q Would it be between five and ten?  A I can't say either way. Sorry.  Q What is the reason that you change employers well, let me ask it this way. During the period of the ten-year going back ten years, to the extent that the	10:15:55 10:16:04 10:16:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or corporate  Page 17  interests?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object that to the extent if she's assisting Mr. Bergstein with his legal affairs, then that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege.  So anything you're doing for Mr. Bergstein would be protected by the privilege.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: Well, actually, no. You can instruct her that to the extent that's the case, she can conform her answer. But you can't instruct her how to answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm not doing that.  MR. WALKER: I would again urge Counsel to comply with the rules governing objections and not provide guidance to the witness.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, my question is, quite simply, are you still working for Mr. Bergstein or any of his entities or commercial interests?  A To the best of my knowledge, no.  Q When was the last time that you started working

		Page 18			Page 20
10:17:00	1	ended.	10:19:25	1	foundation. It's vague.
	2	Q How many entities did Mr. Bergstein have at the		2	You can answer.
	3	time of his incarceration that were active companies?		3	THE WITNESS: I I would say that I, in one
	4	A I wouldn't know. I would have to look.		4	way or the other, always worked for David Bergstein.
10:17:12	5	Q What was the last what was the last name of	10:19:33	5	That's the way I would say, yes. Since '95.
	6	the company that was on your paycheck that was		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	affiliated with Mr. Bergstein?		7	Q Now, during that same period of time you were
	8	A When you say affiliated, what do you mean by		8	also working closely with Kia Jam; correct?
	9	that?		9	A No.
10:17:26	10	Q Had any involvement whatsoever to do with	10:19:44	10	Q Okay. He was not involved on any
	11	Mr. Bergstein or any of his companies or commercial		11	communications that you had with Mr. Bergstein?
	12	interests?		12	A At the time, I think it when we moved to the
	13	A I can't I don't know how to answer those		13	offices on on in Santa Monica. And I'm not sure.
	14	questions. I really don't he know.		14	As far as I recollect, Mr. Jam signed I think he was
10:17:39	15	Q You don't know what the last company that	10:20:09	15	the one who signed the lease, as far as I recollect.
	16	A It's Corporate Administrative Services.		16	And the employer of record was Integrated Administrative
	17	Q The current company that's on your paycheck?		17	Services, and he he did not really involve me in the
	18	A It's Corporate Administrative Services. That's		18	day-to-day operations.
	19	what it's been for the last, I want to say maybe 2015.		19	O Of what?
10:17:55	20	Q Okay. Going back before Mr. Bergstein's	10:20:36	20	A Hmm?
	21	incarceration?		21	Q Of what?
	22	A Yes.		22	A Of the company.
	23	Q Okay. And so the current company on your		23	Q Which company?
	24	paycheck is, in fact, affiliated in some way with		24	A Integrated Administrative Services.
10:18:07		Mr. Bergstein; correct?	10:20:43	25	Q What was the address that you moved into at
		Page 19			Page 21
10:18:08	1	A Well, I don't know how it is affiliated.	10:20:46	1	that time?
	2	O O1 O : D O C40			
	2	Q Okay. Going to Page 8 of 48.		2	A The one Colorado Boulevard, I want to say.
	3	Q Okay. Going to Page 8 of 48.  A Page?		2	A The one Colorado Boulevard, I want to say.  Q And what year was that?
					-
10:18:36	3	A Page?	10:20:59	3	Q And what year was that?
10:18:36	3 4	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes.	10:20:59	3 4	<ul><li>Q And what year was that?</li><li>A I want to say beginning of 2011.</li><li>Q Going to Page 9 of 48.</li><li>A Yeah.</li></ul>
10:18:36	3 4 5	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side?	10:20:59	3 4 5	<ul><li>Q And what year was that?</li><li>A I want to say beginning of 2011.</li><li>Q Going to Page 9 of 48.</li></ul>
10:18:36	3 4 5 6	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David	10:20:59	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q And what year was that?</li><li>A I want to say beginning of 2011.</li><li>Q Going to Page 9 of 48.</li><li>A Yeah.</li></ul>
10:18:36	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A Page?</li> <li>Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked</li> </ul>	10:20:59	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q And what year was that?</li> <li>A I want to say beginning of 2011.</li> <li>Q Going to Page 9 of 48.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q There on the top four lines you said that</li> </ul>
	3 4 5 6 7 8	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David	10:20:59	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q And what year was that?</li> <li>A I want to say beginning of 2011.</li> <li>Q Going to Page 9 of 48.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q There on the top four lines you said that</li> <li>Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the</li> </ul>
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein.		3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995. Counsel?
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995. Counsel?
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995. Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on
10:18:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995. Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4.
10:18:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation.	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates
10:18:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague.	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page.
10:18:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation.	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995. Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the
10:18:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I I don't I worked for I	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the earlier pages to put it in context.
10:18:46 10:18:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I I don't I worked for I worked for a lot of people, I mean, in my time. So I	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the earlier pages to put it in context. THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh. Oh, the people -
10:18:46 10:18:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I I don't I worked for I worked for a lot of people, I mean, in my time. So I you're talking 25 years.	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the earlier pages to put it in context. THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh. Oh, the people - are you talking about the people to that who
10:18:46 10:18:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I I don't I worked for I worked for a lot of people, I mean, in my time. So I you're talking 25 years. BY MR. WALKER:	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the earlier pages to put it in context. THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh. Oh, the people - are you talking about the people to that who introduced me to David Bergstein?
10:18:46 10:18:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I I don't I worked for I worked for a lot of people, I mean, in my time. So I you're talking 25 years. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm talking about from 1995 when you began	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the earlier pages to put it in context. THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh. Oh, the people - are you talking about the people to that who introduced me to David Bergstein? BY MR. WALKER:
10:18:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Page? Q There on Line 20, you see the line numbers that are on the left side? A Yes. Q Okay. Beginning on Line 19 you were asked about when you first started working with David Bergstein. Is it fair say that you began working for David Bergstein in January of 1995? A Yes. Q And you consistently worked for him all the way up until the time his his incarceration? MR. WIECHERT: Object. The question is vague. It lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I I don't I worked for I worked for a lot of people, I mean, in my time. So I you're talking 25 years. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm talking about from 1995 when you began working with David Bergstein until the time of his	10:21:17	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And what year was that? A I want to say beginning of 2011. Q Going to Page 9 of 48. A Yeah. Q There on the top four lines you said that Mr. Bergstein was somehow a business associate of the person that you worked for. Who was that person? MR. WIECHERT: And you're referring to in 1995 Counsel? MR. WALKER: Referring to her testimony on Line 1 through 4. MR. WIECHERT: I think that testimony relates back to the earlier page. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to read the earlier pages to put it in context. THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh. Oh, the people - are you talking about the people to that who introduced me to David Bergstein? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes.

		Page 22			Page 24
10:22:07	1	Q His name was Fine Scott?	10:24:10	1	sure, yes.
	2	A No, his name was Scott Fine.		2	BY MR. WALKER:
	3	Q Scott Fine. Thank you.		3	Q And for how long did you maintain these
	4	Going to Page 15 of 48, if you could, please,		4	spreadsheets? Over what period of years?
10:22:21	5	ma'am.	10:24:17	5	A I wouldn't know.
	6	A Yes.		6	Q Well, when was the last time that you provided
	7	Q Okay. Now, there towards the bottom you were		7	Mr. Bergstein a copy of those spreadsheets?
	8	asked: "When did you first have anything to do with		8	A For which company?
	9	spreadsheets as you've referred to it?"		9	Q Any of his companies?
10:22:38	10	And your answer was: "I want to say 2007, but	10:24:26	10	A I'm just trying to think.
	11	I'm not 100 percent sure."		11	Well, it would have been definitely before his
	12	Do you see that?		12	incarceration.
	13	A Yes.		13	Q Okay. How soon before?
	14	Q What spreadsheets are you discussing there?		14	A That, I don't remember. I would have to look
10:22:50	15	MR. WIECHERT: I'm going to object on grounds	10:24:42	15	at my records.
10.22.00	16	of relevance.	10.21.12	16	Q Now, going to the preceding page, 14 of 48.
	17	BY MR. WALKER:		17	There at the top you were asked: "When you say the
	18			18	spreadsheet, what are you referring to?"
	19	Q You can answer the question.		19	You said: "The tracking of banking transfers."
10.02.02		A Okay. I would say reconciliations of bank	10.25.05		
10:23:03	20	accounts, I'd say.	10:25:05	20	Do you see that?
	21	Q Okay. So was this something that you started		21	A Uh-huh.
	22	to do for Mr. Bergstein?		22	Q Is that a fair characterization of what the
	23	A I always kept records of everything, like I		23	spreadsheets reflected?
	24	keep of my personal life. I just like to write things		24	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Vague and ambiguou
10:23:21	25	down. That's all.	10:25:14	25	MP MCGONIGIE: I'll join in that Vou con
10.23.21		down. That's an.	10.23.14	23	MR. MCGONIGLE: I'll join in that. You can
10.23.21		Page 23	10.23.14		Page 25
10:23:21	1		10:25:16	1	·
	1 2	Page 23			Page 25
		Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets		1	Page 25 answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of
	2	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep		1 2	Page 25 answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of
	2	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and		1 2 3	Page 25  answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check
10:23:22	2 3 4	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.	10:25:16	1 2 3 4	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a checkbalancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a checkbalancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a checkbalancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a checkbalancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a cheel balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?
10:23:22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I would have to look at the records.	10:25:16 10:25:32 10:25:49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I would have to look at the records.  Q Do you were you keeping spreadsheets for	10:25:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I would have to look at the records.  Q Do you were you keeping spreadsheets for multiple companies?	10:25:16 10:25:32 10:25:49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a cheel balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?  A No.
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I would have to look at the records.  Q Do you were you keeping spreadsheets for multiple companies?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection to the question. Are	10:25:16 10:25:32 10:25:49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a cheel balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?  A No.  Q Now, at the time that you were giving this
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I would have to look at the records.  Q Do you were you keeping spreadsheets for multiple companies?	10:25:16 10:25:32 10:25:49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a cheel balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?  A No.
10:23:22 10:23:32 10:23:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 23  Q But specifically what did the spreadsheets reflect?  A I would say it's like a checkbook that you keep to write down the checks that you are coming in and going out.  Q For which companies?  A Oh, my god. I don't remember.  Q Multiple companies; correct?  A I am sure, yes.  Q Okay. Did you keep a separate spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. That question's vague as to time. It lacks foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't remember.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Starting in 2007, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for each of Mr. Bergstein's entities?  A I don't remember. I really don't remember. I would have to look at the records.  Q Do you were you keeping spreadsheets for multiple companies?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection to the question. Are	10:25:16 10:25:32 10:25:49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer.  THE WITNESS: I say it's a it's a keeping of a okay. Let me just rephrase. It's keeping track of anything that happens in a bank account. Like a check balancing checkbook balancing. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going to Page 17 of 48. There on Line you were asked if you were an accountant, and your answer was no. Was that accurate at the time?  A It's still accurate.  Q Okay. Have you ever been an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been trained or educated as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever held a certified public accountancy?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?  A No.  Q Have you ever been licensed in any respect as an accountant?  A No.  Q Now, at the time that you were giving this

		Page 26			Page 28
10:26:14	1	Q Just as you're under oath today?	10:29:09	1	Q And that was also an entity that Mr. Jam and
	2	A Yes.		2	Mr. Bergstein were involved with; correct?
	3	Q Was the first time that you and Mr. Bergstein		3	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
	4	began working with Mr. Jam when you moved to the		4	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know.
10:26:38	5	Colorado Boulevard office?	10:29:19	5	BY MR. WALKER:
	6	A I don't think so.		6	Q What was the purpose of Swartz IP?
	7	Q When did you first start working with Mr. Jam?		7	A I don't know that either.
	8	MR. WIECHERT: The question's vague and		8	Q As we sit here today, is it your testimony that
	9	ambiguous as to working with.		9	you're no longer maintaining spreadsheets for any of
10:26:51	10	BY MR. WALKER:	10:29:38	10	Mr. Bergstein's entities reflecting any banking
	11	Q You can answer the question.		11	transfers?
	12	A I think we were actually in this building, and		12	A I keep spreadsheets on entities that I have
	13	I think we moved here, I want to say either 2006 or		13	access to.
	14	2007. I think that's when Mr. Jam and his assistant		14	Q What are the names of those entities?
10:27:09	15	moved in with us, I think.	10:30:05	15	MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm going to instruct I
	16	Q And what was the name of his assistant?		16	think that's an irrelevant to this case and it's an
	17	A I think at the time it was Amy.		17	invasion of the privacy of the particular people
	18	Q And what was her last name?		18	involved. I'm going to instruct her not to answer that.
	19	A I don't remember. I think it was Amy.		19	BY MR. WALKER:
10:27:23	20	Q And then when you moved from this building, did	10:30:17	20	Q Are you going to follow your counsel's advice
	21	you then move to the Colorado Boulevard address?		21	and not respond to that?
	22	A No, I don't think so.		22	A Yes.
	23	Q What was the next address that you occupied as		23	Q Do you still possess David Bergstein's
	24	an office?		24	signature stamp?
10:27:38	25	A I think we moved to Fox Plaza.	10:30:33	25	A Yes.
					Page 29
10:27:43	1	Q And what year was that?	10:30:34	1	Q When was last time you used it?
10.27.13	2	A I don't remember, but it was not much I	10.00.01	2	MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm going to instruct her not
	3	don't think we stayed long on in this building.		3	to answer. That's irrelevant.
	4	Q Okay. And did Mr. Jam and his assistant move		4	BY MR. WALKER:
10:28:04	5	with you and Mr. Bergstein to Fox Plaza?	10:30:40	5	Q Are you going to follow your counsel's advice,
	6	A I don't know if it was the same assistant. I		6	ma'am?
	7	don't remember.		7	A I don't think I've used it in a long time.
	8	Q But Mr. Jam did move with you?		8	MR. MCGONIGLE: That's there's your answer.
	9	A As far as I thought he did, yes.		9	BY MR. WALKER:
	10	Q What was the you're familiar with the	10:30:48	10	
10:28:21					When was the last time you used it?
10:28:21	11			11	Q When was the last time you used it?  A I don't remember.
10:28:21	11 12	Graybox LLC, I take it?		11 12	A I don't remember.
10:28:21		Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?			<ul><li>A I don't remember.</li><li>Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a</li></ul>
10:28:21	12	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's		12	A I don't remember.
10:28:21	12 13	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean? Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?	10:31:04	12 13	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?
	12 13 14	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's		12 13 14	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never
	12 13 14 15	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A It he was the manager.		12 13 14 15	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.
	12 13 14 15 16	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A It he was the manager.  Q What was purpose of Graybox?		12 13 14 15 16	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.
	12 13 14 15 16	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean? Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies? A It he was the manager. Q What was purpose of Graybox? A I don't know.		12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.  A I mean, no. He may have given it to me when he
	12 13 14 15 16 17	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A It he was the manager.  Q What was purpose of Graybox?  A I don't know.  Q Are you familiar with the company called		12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.  A I mean, no. He may have given it to me when he was traveling. It's very possible. But, yes, there was
10:28:43	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean? Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies? A It he was the manager. Q What was purpose of Graybox? A I don't know. Q Are you familiar with the company called Pineboard Holdings?	10:31:04	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.  A I mean, no. He may have given it to me when he was traveling. It's very possible. But, yes, there was definitely one.
10:28:43	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A It he was the manager.  Q What was purpose of Graybox?  A I don't know.  Q Are you familiar with the company called Pineboard Holdings?  A I heard the name, yes.	10:31:04	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.  A I mean, no. He may have given it to me when he was traveling. It's very possible. But, yes, there was definitely one.  Q So from time to time you would have possessed
10:28:43	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A It he was the manager.  Q What was purpose of Graybox?  A I don't know.  Q Are you familiar with the company called Pineboard Holdings?  A I heard the name, yes.  Q What was the purpose of Pineboard Holdings?	10:31:04	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.  A I mean, no. He may have given it to me when he was traveling. It's very possible. But, yes, there was definitely one.  Q So from time to time you would have possessed Mr. Jam's signature stamp?
10:28:43	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Graybox LLC, I take it?  A When you say familiar, what do you mean?  Q You understand it was one of Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A It he was the manager.  Q What was purpose of Graybox?  A I don't know.  Q Are you familiar with the company called Pineboard Holdings?  A I heard the name, yes.  Q What was the purpose of Pineboard Holdings?  A That, I don't know.	10:31:04	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't remember.  Q Did you ever maintain a or possess a signature stamp for Kia Jam?  A I did not, but there was one. But he never gave it to me.  Q Okay.  A I mean, no. He may have given it to me when he was traveling. It's very possible. But, yes, there was definitely one.  Q So from time to time you would have possessed Mr. Jam's signature stamp?  A When he was traveling and he knew that his

## 

03-25-19

		Page 30			Page 32
10:31:41	1	A Page 44 we are now?	10:34:10	1	Q Yes, ma'am.
	2	Q Yes, ma'am.		2	Now, is the spreadsheet that we see on Page 46
	3	Do you see your answer starting on Line 9?		3	of 51 in Exhibit 3
	4	A On line 9? Yes.		4	A Is Exhibit 47.
10:32:00	5	Q Could you read that answer, that first	10:34:43	5	Q Yes. That's the way it's marked on the bottom
	6	paragraph out loud for us, please?		6	of the page. Thank you, ma'am.
	7	A From A, my answer?		7	And it's appears at page 46 of 51 of this
	8	Q Yes.		8	transcript; correct?
	9	A "Whenever I felt it was necessary that he		9	A Okay. Yes.
10:32:11	10	that it well, look, I'm not accountant. I'm not a	10:34:54	10	Q Okay. Is this an example of the spreadsheet
	11	bookkeeper. I'm making the entries. I'm keeping track.		11	that you maintained for a particular entity, in this
	12	I'm making sure every penny is accounted for. Okay."		12	case CT1 Holdings, LLC?
	13	Q Are you still doing that for any of		13	A That looks like something I may have created,
	14	Mr. Bergstein's entities?		14	yes.
10:32:29	15	A I wouldn't even know which entities he has, so.	10:35:07	15	Q Okay. So when we talk about your spreadsheets
	16	I'm keeping spreadsheets on any account that I have		16	that you're maintaining for each entity, this particular
	17	access to.		17	page, 46 of 51 in Exhibit 3, is how those spreadsheets
	18	Q Okay. And what would the name of those		18	would appear; correct?
	19	accounts or entities be?		19	MR. MCGONIGLE: And I'll object that it
10:32:41	20	MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to instruct her	10:35:19	20	mischaracterizes the witness' testimony and lacks
	21	not to answer. It's irrelevant and it's an invasion of		21	foundation.
	22	privacy.		22	You can answer.
	23	MR. WALKER: Whose privacy?		23	THE WITNESS: I think I've changed the format
	24	MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, it's invasion of the		24	over time drastically.
10:32:49	25	witness' privacy and whoever she's working for, so I'm	10:35:29	25	BY MR. WALKER:
					Page 33
10:32:54	1	going to instruct her not to answer.	10:35:29	1	Q Okay. But, certainly, this is how it appeared
	2	BY MR. WALKER:		2	at the time that you were keeping this spreadsheet?
	3	Q I take it you're going to follow your counsel's		3	A In in 2010?
	4	advice and not respond to that question?		4	O Yes.
10:33:01	5	A I wouldn't even know what to answer, so yes.	10:35:40	5	A Yes, I think, yeah, that's how they look like,
	6	Q Can you reach that, ma'am?		6	yes.
	7	A Yes, yes.		7	Q And who decided what columns to create with
	8	Q Okay.		8	respect to this spreadsheet?
	9	(Exhibit 3 was marked for		9	A I don't remember.
	10	identification by the Court Reporter	10:35:52	10	Q Did you make that decision?
10:33:27					
10:33:27	11	and is attached hereto.)		11	A I don't remember. It's been so long. I don't
10:33:27		and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:			A I don't remember. It's been so long. I don't remember.
10:33:27	11 12	BY MR. WALKER:		11 12 13	remember.
10:33:27	11	,		12	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a
	11 12 13	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as	10:36:08	12 13	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a
	11 12 13 14	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.	10:36:08	12 13 14	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a
	11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.  Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004	10:36:08	12 13 14 15	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.
	11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.	10:36:08	12 13 14 15 16	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?
	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.  Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?	10:36:08	12 13 14 15 16	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?
10:33:38	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.  Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?  A Correct.		12 13 14 15 16 17	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?  A Yes.
10:33:38	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes. Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?  A Correct. Q Now, I'd like to turn your attention, ma'am,	10:36:08	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?  A Yes.  Q And the stated purpose was consulting services;
10:33:38	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes. Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?  A Correct. Q Now, I'd like to turn your attention, ma'am, to we'll use the same page number format that we used		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?  A Yes.  Q And the stated purpose was consulting services; correct?
10:33:38	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.  Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?  A Correct.  Q Now, I'd like to turn your attention, ma'am, to we'll use the same page number format that we used for the last volume, okay?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?  A Yes.  Q And the stated purpose was consulting services; correct?  A That's what it says.
10:33:38	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes. Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?  A Correct. Q Now, I'd like to turn your attention, ma'am, to we'll use the same page number format that we used for the last volume, okay?  A Very well.		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?  A Yes.  Q And the stated purpose was consulting services; correct?  A That's what it says.  Q What consulting services was K.Jam Productions
10:33:27 10:33:38 10:33:54	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I've handed you what is now marked as Exhibit 3.  A Yes.  Q And it is Volume 2 that continues your 2004 examination under oath in the Thinkfilm bankruptcy; correct?  A Correct.  Q Now, I'd like to turn your attention, ma'am, to we'll use the same page number format that we used for the last volume, okay?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	remember.  Q Going about halfway down the page, a transaction check No. 1004 on May 30, 2008, there was a payment to K.Jam Productions; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was for a little bit more than \$11,000; correct?  A Yes.  Q And the stated purpose was consulting services; correct?  A That's what it says.

		Page 34			Page 36
10:36:32	1	spreadsheet was created?	10:38:37	1	BY MR. WALKER:
	2	MR. WALKER: Yes.		2	Q It states "consulting services production." Do
	3	MR. WIECHERT: So is there actually a date on		3	you see that?
	4	this?		4	A Yes.
10:36:37	5	MR. WALKER: May 30, 2008, shows the date of	10:38:43	5	Q And who was the beneficiary or the recipient of
	6	the transaction.		6	the consulting service for which K.Jam Productions LLC
	7	MR. WIECHERT: And I'll object on relevance		7	was paid?
	8	grounds just to a 2008 transaction.		8	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
	9	BY MR. WALKER:		9	Speculation.
10:36:46	10	Q My question, ma'am, is what consulting services	10:39:00	10	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
	11	was K.Jam Productions providing that resulted in an		11	question?
	12	\$11,076.93 payment?		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	A I don't I don't know.		13	Q Who was the beneficiary or the recipient of the
	14	Q Which company or person was the recipient or		14	consulting services for which K.Jam Productions was pa
10:37:03	15	the beneficiary of those consulting services?	10:39:11	15	on February 8, 2009?
	16	A Of K.Jam Productions?		16	A Well, the check was made out of CT1
	17	Q Yes.		17	whatever whatever it was of CT1 Holdings LLC.
	18	A Well, I will have to assume and I know that		18	So would this be the beneficiary?
	19	I'm not supposed to do that but I can only say I		19	Q I'm asking you, ma'am.
10:37:19	20	would assume it's Kia Jam.	10:39:28	20	A Well, I wouldn't know one way or the other.
	21	MR. WIECHERT: Let me move to strike the answer		21	Q What was the nature of the consulting services
	22	as speculation. And the witness was actually correct in		22	that were provided by K.Jam Productions LLC at this
	23	her legal assessment.		23	time?
	24	MR. WALKER: Again, I would ask that Counsel		24	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
10:37:32	25	conform their objections to the rules.	10:39:49	25	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You're going to have
		Page 35			Page 3
10:37:35	1	BY MR. WALKER:	10:39:49	1	to I am going to ask you to repeat the question
	2	Q Ma'am, if you could look at page 48 of 51.		2	because I'm a little bit lost.
	3	A Forty-eight?		3	DV MD WALKED.
				-	BY MR. WALKER:
	4	Q Yes, ma'am.		4	Q What was the nature of the consulting services
10:37:47	4 5	Q Yes, ma'am. A Forty-eight. Okay.	10:39:56		
10:37:47			10:39:56	4	Q What was the nature of the consulting services
10:37:47	5	A Forty-eight. Okay.	10:39:56	4 5	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of
10:37:47	5 6	<ul><li>A Forty-eight. Okay.</li><li>Q Okay. There on the third line we see a</li></ul>	10:39:56	4 5 6	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?
10:37:47	5 6 7	A Forty-eight. Okay.  Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC;	10:39:56	4 5 6 7	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.
	5 6 7 8	A Forty-eight. Okay.  Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct?	10:39:56	4 5 6 7 8	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I
10:37:47	5 6 7 8 9	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct.		4 5 6 7 8	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did
	5 6 7 8 9	A Forty-eight. Okay.  Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct?  A Correct.  Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made		4 5 6 7 8 9	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:
	5 6 7 8 9 10	A Forty-eight. Okay.  Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct?  A Correct.  Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked;		4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Forty-eight. Okay.  Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct?  A Correct.  Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct?		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do you
10:38:03	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see
10:38:03	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?
10:38:03	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection.	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the
10:38:03	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?
10:38:03	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were paused, Counsel.	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?  A Yes.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were paused, Counsel. BY MR. WALKER:	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?  A Yes.  Q And you see that payment was for \$2,201.80;
10:38:03 10:38:17	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were paused, Counsel. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'll re-ask the question, ma'am.	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?  A Yes.  Q And you see that payment was for \$2,201.80; correct?
10:38:03 10:38:17	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were paused, Counsel. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'll re-ask the question, ma'am. What was the purpose of the \$11,076.93 payment	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?  A Yes.  Q And you see that payment was for \$2,201.80; correct?  A Correct.
10:38:03 10:38:17	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were paused, Counsel. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'll re-ask the question, ma'am. What was the purpose of the \$11,076.93 payment to K.Jam Productions LLC? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?  A Yes.  Q And you see that payment was for \$2,201.80; correct?  A Correct.  Q And the description was for reimbursement of
10:38:03 10:38:17	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Forty-eight. Okay. Q Okay. There on the third line we see a February 8, 2009, payment to K.Jam Productions, LLC; correct? A Correct. Q And again, there was an \$11,076.93 payment made to K.Jam Productions LLC that your spreadsheet tracked; correct? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. MR. WALKER: Please allow me to finish my question before you interpose your objection. MR. WIECHERT: Sorry. I though you were paused, Counsel. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'll re-ask the question, ma'am. What was the purpose of the \$11,076.93 payment to K.Jam Productions LLC?	10:40:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q What was the nature of the consulting services being provided by K.Jam Productions LLC as of February 8, 2009?  MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: I can only, as far as I recollect, K.Jam Productions was a product they did something with movies. That's all I can say.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Going down a few lines on the same date, do yo see  A On same date?  Q Yes. On February 8, 2009, do you see the payment to Kia Jam?  A Yes.  Q And you see that payment was for \$2,201.80; correct?  A Correct.  Q And the description was for reimbursement of expenses; correct?

		Page 38			Page 40
10:41:01	1	that Mr. Jam had incurred expenses on behalf of that	10:43:29	1	looked took the check numbers that's what I would
	2	company?		2	do today and I wrote down whom they were written to
	3	A I don't		3	and then the amount and then I would balance. And if
	4	MR. MCGONIGLE: Objection. Relevance.		4	the account balanced, then I would assume that it was
10:41:09	5	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	10:43:39	5	right.
	6	BY MR. WALKER:		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	Q When you recorded a payment to Kia Jam in this		7	Q And did you make an effort to ensure that it
	8	instance on February 8, 2009, utilizing check No. 1878		8	was right?
	9	in the amount of \$2,201.80, were you careful to ensure		9	A That, I don't remember. I really don't recall
10:41:28	10	that that entry was accurate?	10:43:47	10	what I did then.
	11	A I don't remember. I really don't remember.		11	Q Okay. With respect to the spreadsheets that
	12	Q Well, do you not remember whether or not you		12	you've maintained in the recent years, did you ensure
	13	made an effort to ensure that the transactions and the		13	that the information regarding who was being paid and
	14	payments that you recorded in these spreadsheets were		14	the amount they were being paid was accurately reflected
10:41:42	15	accurate?	10:44:01	15	in your spreadsheets?
	16	A Well, I can only say what I'm doing now and	10.11.01	16	MR. MCGONIGLE: Object. The question is vagu
	17	what I've been doing over the years. When somebody gave		17	and it lacks foundation.
	18	me receipts of monies that he had fronted and I would		18	You can answer it.
	19	write a check or would ask accounting to write a check.		19	THE WITNESS: I'm trying the best I can to do
10:41:58	20	I would make sure that it's for the exact amount of they	10:44:09	20	everything right. If I make mistakes, you know, it's
10.11.00	21	claim that they advanced funds. For example, somebody	10.11.03	21	human.
	22	claims mileage, I making sure that he gives me the		22	BY MR. WALKER:
	23	receipt.		23	Q And that's my point, ma'am. You were making
	24	O So		24	every effort every reasonable effort to ensure that
10:42:10	25	A That's all I can say.	10:44:17	25	the information that you recorded in your spreadsheets
		Page 39			Page 41
10:42:11	1	Q when you entered a payment to Kia Jam on a	10:44:20	1	was accurate; correct?
	2	specific date and noted it in one your spreadsheets, is		2	A We are all trying to do the right thing and do
	3	it fair to say that that payment was actually made?		3	a good job.
	4	A Well, if you look at the cleared items I		4	Q So your answer to that would be yes?
	5	would have see the but you look at the cleared items			
10:42:32	6	,	10:44:28	5	A I would I definitely tried with my best
10:42:32	О	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it	10:44:28	5 6	
10:42:32	7		10:44:28		A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.
10:42:32		and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it	10:44:28	6	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.
10:42:32	7	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment	10:44:28	6 7	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure
	7 8	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.	10:44:28	6 7 8	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the
	7 8 9	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the		6 7 8 9	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?
	7 8 9 10	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were		6 7 8 9	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.
	7 8 9 10 11	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?		6 7 8 9 10 11	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same
	7 8 9 10 11 12	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the		6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.
10:42:44	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.
10:42:44	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top
10:42:44	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.
10:42:44	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51  A Yes.	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.
10:42:44	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51 A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.
10:42:44 10:42:56	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51  A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a series of payees, a series of amounts; correct?  A Uh-huh.	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.
10:42:44 10:42:56	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51 A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a series of payees, a series of amounts; correct?  A Uh-huh.  Q Did you ensure that when you entered any	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was replaced with a cashier's check; correct?
10:42:44 10:42:56	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51 A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a series of payees, a series of amounts; correct?  A Uh-huh.  Q Did you ensure that when you entered any particular transaction or payment on your spreadsheets	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was replaced with a cashier's check; correct?  A On 1/8 it says here.
10:42:44 10:42:56	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51 A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a series of payees, a series of amounts; correct?  A Uh-huh.  Q Did you ensure that when you entered any particular transaction or payment on your spreadsheets that the information that was provided was accurate?	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was replaced with a cashier's check; correct?  A On 1/8 it says here.  Q Why would it have been necessary to replace the
10:42:44 10:42:56	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51 A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a series of payees, a series of amounts; correct?  A Uh-huh.  Q Did you ensure that when you entered any particular transaction or payment on your spreadsheets that the information that was provided was accurate?  MR. WIECHERT: The question's vague and	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was replaced with a cashier's check; correct?  A On 1/8 it says here.  Q Why would it have been necessary to replace the payment with a cashier's check?
10:42:32 10:42:44 10:42:56 10:43:08	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and it says here one, the way I remember it, and then it reduced the actual balance, I would assume the payment was made.  Q Okay. And did you take care to ensure that the entries that you made on these spreadsheet were accurate?  A Will you I'm sorry. Can you explain the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. On the on the spreadsheet such as the kind that we see here on page 48 of 51 A Yes.  Q of Exhibit 3, we see a series of payments, a series of payees, a series of amounts; correct?  A Uh-huh.  Q Did you ensure that when you entered any particular transaction or payment on your spreadsheets that the information that was provided was accurate?	10:44:41	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A I would I definitely tried with my best efforts to do it right.  Q Okay. And by doing right, you mean to ensure that the information that you were putting into the spreadsheet was accurate?  A Yes. I will go with that.  Q Going to the final page, 51 of 51, of this same exhibit.  A Fifty-one? Fifty-one.  Q There towards the kind bottom third, at the top we see a December 11, 2008, entry?  A December 11, 2008. I'm sorry, give me one.  Q It's a payment K.Jam Productions LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And that was replaced with a cashier's check; correct?  A On 1/8 it says here.  Q Why would it have been necessary to replace the

		Page 42			Page 4
10:45:28	1	know.	10:47:53	1	BY MR. WALKER:
	2	BY MR. WALKER:		2	Q Okay.
	3	Q Going down a little bit further. You see on		3	A I'm really sorry, but I don't.
	4	December 11, 2008, a payment to Kia Jam; correct?		4	Q Let's go to the let's go to the last few
10:45:36	5	A Yes.	10:47:56	5	years.
10.10.00	6	Q And that was for \$1,148.31; correct?	1011/100	6	A Okay.
	7	A Correct.		7	Q When when someone presented you with
	8	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance.		8	expenses, would you record it on the spreadsheet for the
	9	BY MR. WALKER:		9	company that they told you the expenses were incurred
10:45:44		Q And that was for reimbursement of expenses?	10:48:07	10	for?
10.45.44	11	A That's what it says.	10.40.07	11	A Well, I normally would write a check if it's
	12	Q Does that reflect that Mr. Jam was incurring		12	a reimbursement of expenses and it's related to a
	13	expenses on behalf of CAPCO Group, LLC, the entity for		13	certain entity, then I would try to write it out of that
	14	which this spreadsheet was created?		14	
10:46:01	15	•	10:48:35	15	particular entity, if I have receipts.  Q And how would you know that the expenses we
10:46:01	16	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance. THE WITNESS: I can only say what it says here,	10:40:33	16	•
		• •		17	related to a particular entity?
	17	so I don't I really don't know. I mean, again,			A Well, I guess the person would say work related
	18	somebody has wants to be reimbursed for his expenses,		18	to X, Y, Z.
10 46 17	19	he gives me an expense that's what I'm doing in	10 40 50	19	Q In other words, that came in the form of
10:46:17	20	general: An expense report, receipts, and then a check	10:48:50	20	direction to you from whoever was requesting the
	21	is being generated.		21	payment; correct?
	22	BY MR. WALKER:		22	A You're talking now or then?
	23	Q So if Mr if Mr. Jam presented you with		23	Q Throughout the entire time that you were
	24	receipts for expenses and said to charge those expense		24	recording expenses incurred for any particular entity,
10:46:32	25	to a particular entity, then you would go to the	10:49:03	25	is the way that you knew which entity to charge the
		Page 43			Page 4
10:46:36	1	spreadsheet for that entity and record the reimbursement	10:49:07	1	expenses to based upon what you were told by the person
	2	of those expenses in that spreadsheet; correct?		2	submitting the expenses for reimbursement?
	3	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Lacks foundation.		3	A That's how it is now.
	4	No time frame.		4	Q Okay.
10:46:48	5	THE WITNESS: Back then or now?	10:49:20	5	A Back then, I don't remember how it was.
	6	BY MR. WALKER:		6	Q Is it likely that it was the same way back
	7	Q Over the course of the time that you maintained		7	then?
	8	these spreadsheets?		8	A I wouldn't know one way or the other.
	9	A I don't think I would put something in a		9	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No
10:46:59	10	spreadsheet when I didn't have some sort of a backup	10:49:29	10	foundation.
	11	for.		11	BY MR. WALKER:
	12	Q Right. So the backup might be expense for a		12	Q Are you suggesting that in 2007, in that time
	13	dinner; correct? It might be expense for the purchase		13	frame, that if you didn't receive instructions from
	14	of a particular item. And when Mr. Jam brought you		14	someone as to which company the expenses were incurre
10:47:15	15	those receipts, would he tell you which company to	10:49:39	15	for, that you would just randomly assign them to a
	16	charge them to?		16	particular entity?
	17	MR. WIECHERT: The question's compound.		17	A I don't think I ever did that because I was not
	18	THE WITNESS: I		18	a signer on any of the accounts. I guess somebody must
	19	MR. MCGONIGLE: Yeah, why don't we can we		19	have told me out of which company to write any
10:47:32		break that down? It is compound.	10:49:53	20	particular check to.
	21	THE WITNESS: I'm I don't remember that	1 10.49.33	21	Q Yes, ma'am.
	22	was in 2000 when was in 2000 in 2008. So that			
	~ ~			22	MR. WALKER: Why don't we take a break for a
	23	Was   Vegre and   don't remember what morely covered			
	23	was 11 years ago. I don't remember what people gave me		23	few minutes.
10.47.52	24	back then, how it was record. I I really don't	10.40.50	24	MR. WIECHERT: Sure.
10:47:53	24		10:49:59		

		ı ı yını	2 2 3 3 3 7 7		33 23
		Page 46			Page 48
10:50:00	1	are now off the record.	11:08:21	1	Jam, and then it says credit. So I the way I see it,
	2	(A recess was taken.)		2	this was a cashier's check or a deposit that came from
	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.		3	Kia Jam to Production Management Services, if I
	4	The time is 11:05 a.m.		4	understand this correctly.
11:05:33	5	BY MR. WALKER:	11:08:41	5	Q Do you recall why Mr. Jam would have been
	6	Q Ms. Biedak, I've handed you what's been marked		6	paying \$200,000 to Production Management Services
	7	as Exhibit 4, and would represent to you that it is		7	that time?
	8	Volume 3 of your prior 2004 examination under oath.		8	A No idea.
	9	Does that appear to be correct to you?		9	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance.
11:05:51	10	A It says it here.	11:08:49	10	BY MR. WALKER:
	11	(Exhibit 4 was marked for		11	Q Going down two line items. On March 20, 200
	12	identification by the Court Reporter		12	there was a wire transfer of \$2 million to Jerome
	13	and is attached hereto.)		13	Swartz.
	14	MR. WALKER: Thank you, ma'am.		14	Do you see that?
1:05:55	15	BY MR. WALKER:	11:08:59	15	A If you look at the spreadsheet, it would be a
	16	Q Using the same page numbering, if you could		16	credit from him. So it would have come from him,
	17	turn to page 37 of 38, please.		17	because this is in the credit column.
	18	A Thirty-seven? Yeah, I see that.		18	Q So that was Production Management Services,
	19	Q Okay. Is this a spreadsheet that you prepared		19	LLC, receiving \$2 million from Mr. Swartz?
11:06:28	20	for Production Management Services, LLC?	11:09:17	20	A That's the way I see it now, yes.
	21	A I don't recollect that format. It may be, but	11100117	21	Q If you'd go to the next page, ma'am, Page 38 of
	22	it's just not it's not complete.		22	Exhibit 4.
	23	Q Why do you say that?		23	A Thirty-eight of 38. Yeah, I'm on the page now,
	24	A Because if you looked at the other spreadsheet,		24	yes.
11:06:52		I always had, like put the actual balances and the	11:09:40	25	Q And is this another portion of a spreadsheet
		Page 47			Page 4:
11:06:55	1	bank balances and whatever cleared. So this is not	11:09:42	1	that you created for Production Management Services,
	2	something that it looks more like a a fragment of		2	LLC?
	3	something that I may have created.		3	A I would think so, yes. It looks definitely
	4	Q Okay. So this appears to be a portion of a		4	the upper part is something, but it's it's just I
11:07:14	5	spreadsheet that you created for Production Management	11:09:58	5	would not presented it this way. Maybe it was printed
	6	Services, LLC; correct?		6	out differently.
	7	A It could be.		7	Q Going to the second to the last item. On
	8	Q Okay.		8	December 20, 2007, check No. 2112 was issued to K.Ja
	9	A It could be.		9	Productions; correct?
11:07:21	10	Q Going approximately two-thirds of the way down,	11:10:16	10	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance.
	11	on March 13, 2008, there's notation "deposit."		11	THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
	12	Do you see that?		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	A It's "deposit cc."		13	Q And the check was issued in the amount of
	14	Q Excuse me.		14	\$11,076.93; correct?
11:07:44	15	What does the cc designate?	11:10:25	15	MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.
	16	A What I would use it now, I would say cashier's		16	THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
	17	check.		17	BY MR. WALKER:
	18	Q And that was that reflecting a payment to		18	Q Do you know the purpose for that payment to
	19	Kia Jam? I'm looking at the one for March 13, 2008, a		19	K.Jam Productions at that time?
11:08:03	20	deposit by cashier's check, and the vendor or deposit	11:10:34	20	MR. WIECHERT: Same objection.
	21	from is Kia Jam for \$200,000.		21	THE WITNESS: If it's not here, I don't
	22	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Relevance.		22	remember.
	23	BY MR. WALKER:		23	(Exhibit 5 was marked for
	24	Q Do you see that entry?		24	identification by the Court Reporter
11:08:17	25	A I see an entry here and it says deposit, Kia	11:10:38	25	and is attached hereto.)
		- · · · · · ·			,

		Page 50			Page 52
11:11:01	1	BY MR. WALKER:	11:13:18	1	evidence. No foundation.
	2	Q Ma'am, I've handed you what's been marked as		2	THE WITNESS: Oh, that's what it says on the
	3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5. And I think you'll see that it's		3	spreadsheet, yes.
	4	a series of charts; correct?		4	BY MR. WALKER:
11:11:18	5	A Yes.	11:13:25	5	Q And do you understand Integrated
	6	Q Okay. And these charts were created by the		6	Administration, at that time, to have been Mr. Jam's
	7	federal government in its trial against Mr. Bergstein;		7	company? Kia Jam's company?
	8	correct?		8	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for a legal conclusion.
	9	A I've never seen them before.		9	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that question?
11:11:28	10	Q Well, if you look to the right corner you'll	11:13:36	10	I'm sorry.
	11	see it says Government Exhibit 50; correct?		11	MR. WALKER: Yes, ma'am.
	12	A That's what it says, yes.		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	Q Okay. Now, the first page of Exhibit 5 looks		13	Q As of February 2nd, 2012, did you understand
	14	at transfers from Swartz IP Services Group to Pacific		14	Integrated Administration to be Kia Jam's company?
11:11:45	15	Life, the Bergstein Trust, Integrated Administration,	11:13:46	15	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for a
	16	and Graybox; correct?		16	conclusion.
	17	A That's what it says, yes.		17	THE WITNESS: You want me to say something
	18	Q Do you know on February 12 I'm sorry do		18	BY MR. WALKER:
	19	you know on February 2nd, 2012, why Swartz IP Services		19	Q I'd like you to answer the question, yes,
11:12:02	20	Group would have paid \$29,000 to Pacific Life?	11:13:54	20	ma'am. I'm asking for your understanding as of
	21	MR. WIECHERT: Assumes facts not in evidence.	11.13.31	21	February 2nd, 2012, as to whether or not Integrated
	22	MR. MCGONIGLE: I'm going to object to the		22	Administration was Kia Jam's company?
	23	extent there's no foundation.		23	A Well, when you say Kia Jam's company, what d
	24	But you can answer it.		24	you mean by that? Did he own it? I don't ownership
11:12:12		THE WITNESS: Where do you see that the	11:14:10	25	I don't know. I just can say that he was one way or the
		·			
		Page 51			Page 53
11:12:14	1	date? On the top? Okay. On that day?	11:14:13	1	other connected with this entity. I think he had an
	2	I have no idea. I don't even know who Pacific		2	office. That's all I can say.
	3	Life is, quite frankly.		3	Q Okay. If you could turn to the third page of
	4	BY MR. WALKER:		4	this exhibit, ma'am. And I apologize they're not
11:12:27	5	Q The next item reflects a \$50,000 transfer from	11:14:37	5	numbered.
	6	Swartz IP to Bergstein trust; correct?		6	A That's okay.
	7	A Yes, that's what it says.		7	Q You'll just have to thumb through it.
	8	Q As of 2012, what what was the total value of		8	A Yes.
	9	the assets held by the Bergstein trust?		9	Q Okay. Now we see a chart that's entitled
11:12:46	10	A I have no idea.	11:14:45	10	G.X.715, February 2nd, 2012, transfers from Swartz IP
	11	Q Do you know could you identify any assets		11	Services Group to Incident Administration; correct?
	12	individually that were held in that trust?		12	A That's what it says.
	12				
		*		13	
	13	A I never saw that any any kind information		13 14	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP
11:12:58	13 14	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.	11:15:01		
11:12:58	13 14 15	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and	11:15:01	14	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?
11:12:58	13 14 15 16	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?	11:15:01	14 15	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says.
11:12:58	13 14 15 16 17	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't	11:15:01	14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says.  Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for
11:12:58	13 14 15 16 17	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?	11:15:01	14 15 16	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says.
	13 14 15 16 17 18	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.		14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one.
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.	11:15:01	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one. Q You never a maintained spreadsheet for Swartz
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one. Q You never a maintained spreadsheet for Swartz IP?
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going down a bit, we see Swartz IP		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one. Q You never a maintained spreadsheet for Swartz IP? A No.
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going down a bit, we see Swartz IP  Service Group transferred \$50,000 to Integrated		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one. Q You never a maintained spreadsheet for Swartz IP? A No. Q Why not?
11:12:58 11:13:08	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going down a bit, we see Swartz IP  Service Group transferred \$50,000 to Integrated  Administration; correct?	11:15:15	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one. Q You never a maintained spreadsheet for Swartz IP? A No. Q Why not? A Because I didn't know even I didn't know
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I never saw that any any kind information on that trust.  Q Who was handling or managing those items and assets for Mr. Bergstein?  A I don't  MR. MCGONIGLE: You mean the ones in the trust?  MR. WALKER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Going down a bit, we see Swartz IP  Service Group transferred \$50,000 to Integrated		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. And it shows a transfer from Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration of \$50,000 correct?  A That's what it says. Q Now, were you maintaining a spreadsheet for Swartz IP Services Group as of February 2nd, 2012? A No. Never had one. Q You never a maintained spreadsheet for Swartz IP? A No. Q Why not?

		Page 54			Page 56
11:15:26	1	with at the time.	11:17:07	1	those transfers.
	2	Q Was that an oversight on your part?		2	BY MR. WALKER:
	3	MR. MCGONIGLE: Objection.		3	Q Ma'am, my question was, did you maintain a
	4	THE WITNESS: I was not involved.		4	spreadsheet for K.Jam Productions or K.Jam Media?
11:15:33	5	MR. MCGONIGLE: Excuse me, ma'am.	11:17:15	5	A I have no.
	6	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.		6	Q And do you know why you did not?
	7	MR. MCGONIGLE: I just wanted to take a moment		7	A Because nobody ever told me to. Or because I
	8	to object.		8	never had access to anything. I cannot create something
	9	THE WITNESS: Sorry about that.		9	that I don't have access to.
11:15:39	10	MR. MCGONIGLE: The question is vague and	11:17:30	10	Q So to the extent that Swartz IP Services Group
	11	ambiguous.		11	transferred \$50,000 to Integrated Administration, you
	12	BY MR. WALKER:		12	would not have maintained a spreadsheet to show how th
	13	Q Was there a specific reason that you understood		13	money went from Integrated to either K.Jam Productions
	14	as to why you were not asked to create a spreadsheet for		14	or K.Jam Media?
1:15:47	15	Swartz IP?	11:17:50	15	A No.
	16	A I don't know if there was a reason I was not		16	MR. WIECHERT: Assumes facts not in evidence.
	17	asked, so I didn't maintain one.		17	BY MR. WALKER:
	18	Q Now, going on in this chart, it shows the		18	Q And I take it that's largely because Kia Jam
	19	transfer from Swartz IP to Integrated Administration.		19	did not ask you to create a spreadsheet for his
11:16:04	20	Did you maintain a spreadsheet for Integrated	11:17:58	20	companies; correct?
	21	Administration?		21	MR. MCGONIGLE: Object to that. It's
	22	A I did not.		22	there's no foundation. It's argumentative.
	23	Q And why was that?		23	You can answer it.
	24	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for a conclusion. No		24	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I forget the
			l		
11:16:15	25	foundation.	11:18:06	25	questions. I really apologize.
11:16:15	25	foundation.  Page 55	11:18:06	25 	questions. I really apologize.  Page 5
11:16:15	25	Page 55	11:18:06	25	
					Page 5' BY MR. WALKER:
	1	Page 55 THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?		1	Page 5
	1 2	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER:		1 2	Page 5 BY MR. WALKER: Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration,
11:16:15	1 2 3	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.		1 2 3	Page 5  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration,
11:16:15	1 2 3 4	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.	11:18:09	1 2 3 4	Page 5  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a
11:16:15	1 2 3 4 5	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5	Page 5 BY MR. WALKER: Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?
	1 2 3 4 5	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 5° BY MR. WALKER: Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a
11:16:15	1 2 3 4 5	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 5° BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.
11:16:15 11:16:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 5.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct?
11:16:15 11:16:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 5  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes.
11:16:15 11:16:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 5  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access
11:16:15 11:16:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 5:  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration,
11:16:15	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.  Q And do you know why you did not?	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 5.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.  Q And do you know why you did not?  A I did not have access to that account online.	11:18:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.  Q And do you know why you did not?  A I did not have access to that account online.  Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 5.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no.
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question. MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact Repeat the question, please. Sorry. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration? A I did not. Q And do you know why you did not? A I did not have access to that account online. Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 5.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question. MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact Repeat the question, please. Sorry. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration? A I did not. Q And do you know why you did not? A I did not have access to that account online. Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct?	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.  Q And do you know why you did not?  A I did not have access to that account online.  Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct?  A That's what it says.	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 5 BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question.  MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact  Repeat the question, please. Sorry.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration?  A I did not.  Q And do you know why you did not?  A I did not have access to that account online.  Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct?  A That's what it says.  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 5 BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'll object to that as compound.
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question. MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact Repeat the question, please. Sorry. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration? A I did not. Q And do you know why you did not? A I did not have access to that account online. Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct? A That's what it says. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. There's no foundation as to whether you know whether	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 5:  BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'll object to that as
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question. MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact Repeat the question, please. Sorry. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration? A I did not. Q And do you know why you did not? A I did not have access to that account online. Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct? A That's what it says. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. There's no foundation as to whether you know whether transfers occurred or not. MR. WALKER: I would I would ask Counsel	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'll object to that as compound.  MR. WALKER: Well, let me I'll go ahead and
11:16:15 11:16:21 11:16:28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question. MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact Repeat the question, please. Sorry. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration? A I did not. Q And do you know why you did not? A I did not have access to that account online. Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct? A That's what it says. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. There's no foundation as to whether you know whether transfers occurred or not. MR. WALKER: I would I would ask Counsel again to please conform his objections to the rules.	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Ja did not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'll object to that as compound.  MR. WALKER: Well, let me I'll go ahead and break up to address the objection. BY MR. WALKER:
11:16:15 11:16:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 55  THE WITNESS: So do you want me not to answer? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am, you can answer the question. MR. MCGONIGLE: You can you can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because I never know how how exact Repeat the question, please. Sorry. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. Did you maintain a spreadsheet at any time for Integrated Administration? A I did not. Q And do you know why you did not? A I did not have access to that account online. Q Now, this shows a transfer from Integrated Administration to K.Jam Productions of \$18,000 and K.Jam Media of \$33,000; correct? A That's what it says. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. There's no foundation as to whether you know whether transfers occurred or not. MR. WALKER: I would I would ask Counsel	11:18:09 11:18:19 11:18:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 5° BY MR. WALKER:  Q I take it that the reason that you didn't have a spreadsheet for either Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media was because Kia Jadid not ask you to prepare a spreadsheet or maintain a spreadsheet for those companies?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Is that correct? A I would assume so, yes. Q And I take it that you also did not have access to the bank accounts for Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media? A I have not, no. Q Is that true at all times, that you never had access to the bank accounts of Integrated Administration, K.Jam Productions, or K.Jam Media?  MR. MCGONIGLE: I'll object to that as compound.  MR. WALKER: Well, let me I'll go ahead and break up to address the objection.

		Page 58			Page 60
11:19:04	1	Q Have you, at any time, had access to the bank	11:21:29	1	filed; correct?
	2	accounts of K.Jam Productions?		2	A That's what it that's what it says on the
	3	A Definitely not.		3	on the document, yes.
	4	Q Have you, at any time, had access to the bank		4	Q And, likewise, it states that a complete signed
11:19:14	5	records for K.Jam Media?	11:21:38	5	public information report, form 05-102 was also not
	6	A Definitely not.		6	filed; correct?
	7	Q Now, going on to the next page, we see the		7	A That's what it says, yes.
	8	chart reflects that of the \$18,000 that went to K.Jam		8	Q Okay. Were those forms and tax reports ever
	9	Productions, that that was transferred to Zarrinkelk		9	filed with the state of Texas, to your knowledge?
11:19:32	10	Kashefipour?	11:21:58	10	A I am I vaguely remember and that is
	11	A That's what it says.		11	really vaguely that something was filed. But I think
	12	Q Okay. And are you familiar with the entity		12	for a prior year for this Swartz IP Services with the
	13	the Zarrinkelk?		13	franchise tax. But I think was and I actually think
	14	A To the best of my knowledge, they were an		14	Scott Woodward did a filing. I I vaguely remember
11:19:46	15	accounting firm.	11:22:18	15	that one. But this is the only one, because I think
	16	Q Okay. And did the Zarrinkelk accounting firm		16	something needed to be done and he was he is a CPA
	17	provide accounting services for both Mr. Jam's		17	Scott Woodward. And I think he did the filing with
	18	companies, as well as Mr. Bergstein's companies?		18	Texas.
	19	A I don't think they provided services to		19	Q For the 2010 year?
11:20:04	20	Mr. Bergstein. But I'm not sure. I'm real I really	11:22:32	20	A That's the way I think so. It was it
	21	don't know.		21	was I think this one I think it was for the
	22	Q Did you understand that Mr. Zarrinkelk was only		22	previous year. It was filed for one year, but I don't
	23	Kia Jam's accountant?		23	remember for which year.
	24	A I wouldn't know one way or the other.		24	Q Okay. And was Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.,
11:20:17	25	Q Going down there towards the bottom of that	11:22:49	25	to your knowledge, allowed to forfeit its charter in
		Page 59			Page 61
11:20:19	1	Page 59 chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000	11:22:53	1	Page 61
11:20:19	1 2	_	11:22:53	1 2	-
11:20:19		chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000	11:22:53		Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.
11:20:19	2	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.	11:22:53	2	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz
	2	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?	11:22:53	2	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road
	2 3 4	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.		2 3 4	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.
	2 3 4 5	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.		2 3 4 5	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road
	2 3 4 5 6	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:		2 3 4 5 6	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.
	2 3 4 5 6 7	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you		2 3 4 5 6 7	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.
11:20:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz  IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.
11:20:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.  Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6.	11:23:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz  IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
11:20:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.  Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked	11:23:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz  IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.
11:20:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.  Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6.  A Are we done with this now?	11:23:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am.  (Exhibit 7 was marked for
11:20:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.  Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6.  A Are we done with this now?  Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you.  (Exhibit 6 was marked for	11:23:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address' A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am.  (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
11:20:31 11:20:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.  Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6.  A Are we done with this now?  Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you.  (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter	11:23:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am.  (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)
11:20:31 11:20:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you  A I have no idea.  Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6.  A Are we done with this now?  Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you.  (Exhibit 6 was marked for	11:23:02 11:23:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Texas?  A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz  IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as  Exhibit 7, ma'am.  (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:
11:20:31 11:20:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)	11:23:02 11:23:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I have no idea. I don't know. Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas. Do you know why that address was used? A I have no idea. Q Do you know what was located at that address: A I have no idea. Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am. (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you
11:20:31 11:20:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, can you identify Exhibit 6 as the Texas	11:23:02 11:23:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address?  A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am.  (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)
11:20:31 11:20:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:	11:23:02 11:23:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I have no idea. I don't know. Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas. Do you know why that address was used? A I have no idea. Q Do you know what was located at that address' A I have no idea. Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am. (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you is two pages, and it has a list of entities with related
11:20:31 11:20:54 11:20:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, can you identify Exhibit 6 as the Texas notice of delinquent franchise tax that was issued for	11:23:02 11:23:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I have no idea. I don't know. Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas. Do you know why that address was used? A I have no idea. Q Do you know what was located at that address.' A I have no idea. Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am. (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you is two pages, and it has a list of entities with related information; correct? A Yes.
11:20:31 11:20:54 11:20:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, can you identify Exhibit 6 as the Texas notice of delinquent franchise tax that was issued for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.? A That's what it says, yes.	11:23:02 11:23:23 11:23:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I have no idea. I don't know. Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas. Do you know why that address was used? A I have no idea. Q Do you know what was located at that address.' A I have no idea. Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am. (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you is two pages, and it has a list of entities with related information; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Was this a list that you created?
11:20:31 11:20:54 11:20:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, can you identify Exhibit 6 as the Texas notice of delinquent franchise tax that was issued for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.? A That's what it says, yes. Q And it says that the date of delinquency up in	11:23:02 11:23:23 11:23:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I have no idea. I don't know. Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas. Do you know why that address was used? A I have no idea. Q Do you know what was located at that address. A I have no idea. Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am. (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you is two pages, and it has a list of entities with related information; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Was this a list that you created? A It looks like something that I may have
11:20:31 11:20:54 11:20:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, can you identify Exhibit 6 as the Texas notice of delinquent franchise tax that was issued for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.? A That's what it says, yes. Q And it says that the date of delinquency up in the upper right comer was May 17, 2011; correct?	11:23:02 11:23:23 11:23:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I have no idea. I don't know. Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas. Do you know why that address was used? A I have no idea. Q Do you know what was located at that address? A I have no idea. Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am. (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you is two pages, and it has a list of entities with related information; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Was this a list that you created? A It looks like something that I may have created, yes.
11:20:19 11:20:31 11:20:54 11:20:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chart, from K.Jam Media, we see it reflects that \$13,000 was transferred to K.Jam House.  Is that just Mr. Jam's home? MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Do you A I have no idea. Q Ma'am, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6. A Are we done with this now? Q Yes, ma'am. We are. Thank you. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, can you identify Exhibit 6 as the Texas notice of delinquent franchise tax that was issued for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.? A That's what it says, yes. Q And it says that the date of delinquency up in	11:23:02 11:23:23 11:23:27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I have no idea. I don't know.  Q Going to the bottom left of Exhibit 6, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., listed a 10101 Fondren Road, Suite 515 address in Houston, Texas.  Do you know why that address was used?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know what was located at that address? A I have no idea.  Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 7, ma'am.  (Exhibit 7 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 that I've handed you is two pages, and it has a list of entities with related information; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was this a list that you created? A It looks like something that I may have

		Page 62			Page 64
11:23:55	1	Q Who would have been whom?	11:26:51	1	these 17 entities appeared in this particular chart?
	2	A That would have been Steven Piskula.		2	A No.
	3	THE COURT REPORTER: Steven who?		3	Q And what was your understanding of the purpose
	4	THE WITNESS: Steven Piskula. P as in papa, I,		4	for forming all of those 16 entities over the course of
11:24:02	5	S as in Sam, K as in kite, U as in unicorn, L as in	11:27:06	5	the 2010 and 2011 years?
	6	Larry, A as in apple. First name is Steven.		6	MR. WIECHERT: The question is compound. Also
	7	BY MR. WALKER:		7	no foundation.
	8	Q Okay. And what was the purpose of creating		8	THE WITNESS: I did not form all of I formed
	9	this form?		9	only a few, as far as I recollect.
11:24:23	10	A I can only assume that I was instructed by	11:27:19	10	BY MR. WALKER:
	11	somebody to put together a form of entities and their		11	Q Okay. And do you recall or could you point
	12	EIN numbers.		12	us to those few that you formed?
	13	Q Now, by my count well, let me ask you this:		13	A I try. I think and I'm not sure. I think I
	14	When you look at the second page, is it is the second		14	formed I may have formed CAC Group maybe.
11:24:41	15	page, was it intended to be a second page or is that	11:27:56	15	Q Okay. What else?
	16	simply another column that should appear on the right of		16	A Cyrano Group.
	17	what the first page is?		17	Q All righty.
	18	A I don't know why this is not the same format as		18	A Maybe Tristate Lighting Inc.
	19	this one. I have no idea.		19	Well, these are the same. These are these
11:25:10	20	Q Do you recognize the the chart with the name	11:28:34	20	are the same as these one, no?
	21	of entity and the EIN number on the second page of		21	Q It appears that they are, yes, ma'am.
	22	Exhibit 7?		22	A I think that's from what I recall. That's
	23	A When you say recognize, what do		23	what I think I may have formed.
	24	Q Yes, ma'am. Is that a separate document or		24	Q Now, some of the companies on the first page of
				_ 1	
11:25:25	25	chart that you created?	11:28:50	25	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?
11:25:25		chart that you created?	11:28:50	25	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?
	25	chart that you created?			Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65
11:25:25	25	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't	11:28:50	1	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.
	25 1 2	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I		1 2	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California;
	25 1 2 3	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very		1 2 3	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California; correct?
11:25:26	1 2 3 4	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format.	11:28:55	1 2 3 4	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California; correct?  A Yes.
	1 2 3 4 5	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.		1 2 3 4 5	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was
11:25:26	1 2 3 4 5 6	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct?
11:25:26	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes.
11:25:26	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each
11:25:26 11:25:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?
11:25:26	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?  MR. WIECHERT: It's compound.
11:25:26 11:25:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?  MR. WIECHERT: It's compound.  THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the
11:25:26 11:25:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?  MR. WIECHERT: It's compound.  THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed.
11:25:26 11:25:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER:
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?  MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.	11:28:55	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?  MR. WIECHERT: It's compound.  THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed.  BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 65  A Yes.  Q And some were incorporated in California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in?  MR. WIECHERT: It's compound.  THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in?
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.  Q It shows that six entities were formed in 2010,	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in? A I would say that at that time, I would have
11:25:26 11:25:36	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.  Q It shows that six entities were formed in 2010, including Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.; correct?	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in? A I would say that at that time, I would have received instructions from David Bergstein.
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.  Q It shows that six entities were formed in 2010, including Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.; correct?  A That was it says here, yes. No, wait. Okay.	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in? A I would say that at that time, I would have
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.  Q It shows that six entities were formed in 2010, including Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.; correct?  A That was it says here, yes. No, wait. Okay.  Q And it shows one entity, K.Jam Media, Inc., was	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in? A I would say that at that time, I would have received instructions from David Bergstein.
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.  Q It shows that six entities were formed in 2010, including Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.; correct?  A That was it says here, yes. No, wait. Okay.  Q And it shows one entity, K.Jam Media, Inc., was created in 2007; correct?	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in? A I would say that at that time, I would have received instructions from David Bergstein. Q Now, K.Jam Media is included in this list;
11:25:26 11:25:36 11:25:50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chart that you created?  Page 63  A I would not know one way or the other. I don't think that I would create something with like, I I think I probably would have followed this. I'm very consistent. I probably would have done the same format. Why this is chopped up, I don't know.  Q Do you recall the purpose for creating this?  Just that someone instructed you to do it?  A I would assume so.  Q And why were these 17 entities selected to be included within this chart?  A I have no way knowing of one or the other.  Q Okay. Now, this reflects and you're welcome to check my accounting if you like but this reflects that 10 entities were formed in 2011; is that correct?  A If that's what it says here.  Q And those entities would include Integrated Administration; correct?  A That's what it says here, yes.  Q It shows that six entities were formed in 2010, including Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.; correct?  A That was it says here, yes. No, wait. Okay.  Q And it shows one entity, K.Jam Media, Inc., was	11:28:55 11:29:00 11:29:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 7 were incorporated in Delaware; correct?  A Yes. Q And some were incorporated in California; correct? A Yes. Q And one, Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., was incorporated in Texas; correct? A That's what it says, yes. Q Who made the decision as to which state each entity would be incorporated in? MR. WIECHERT: It's compound. THE WITNESS: I can only speak of the of the entities that I think I may have formed. BY MR. WALKER: Q All right, ma'am. Who made the decision as to which states the entities that you named as that you were possibly involved in forming, who made the decision as to which state those entities would be incorporated in? A I would say that at that time, I would have received instructions from David Bergstein. Q Now, K.Jam Media is included in this list; correct?

		Page 66			Page 68
11:29:56	1	A An entity connected with Kia Jam, one way or	11:32:51	1	Inc., then. The responsible party is listed as K.Jam
	2	the other.		2	Media, Inc. Did you secure that information as to whom
	3	Q And Integrated Administration is also listed on		3	to designate as a responsible party from Mr. Jam?
	4	this particular list; correct?		4	A I need to think about this for a second.
11:30:07	5	A Correct.	11:33:15	5	The way it goes with EIN numbers and I'm
	6	Q And you understood that Integrated		6	going to have to explain this when you have applied
	7	Administration was a Kia Jam company?		7	online for an EIN number and you want to list this same
	8	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Call for a		8	entity as applying for another for another EIN, you
	9	conclusion. No foundation.		9	cannot do it online. You need to do it via facsimile.
11:30:16	10	MR. MCGONIGLE: And I'll object to it. No	11:33:40	10	So I don't remember applying for this EIN number. If
	11	foundation. It's vague.		11	Q Which one, ma'am, that you're pointing to?
	12	THE WITNESS: So do I need to answer this now?		12	A We are talking the CAC Group, Inc.
	13	MR. MCGONIGLE: You can answer it.		13	Q Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
	14	THE WITNESS: Oh. The way I see it, Integrated		14	A And if it says K.Jam Media, Inc., I don't think
11:30:26	15	Administration was connected with Kia Jam.	11:34:02	15	that I have applied for this online.
11.00.20	16	BY MR. WALKER:	11.01.02	16	Q So when you listed K.Jam Media, Inc., as the
	17	Q Were there any other companies on this list		17	responsible party for CAC Group Inc., who gave you that
	18	that you understood were connected, as you say it, with		18	information? Was it Kia Jam?
	19	Kia Jam?		19	A I don't think I I I don't think I would
11:30:47	20	A I most of these entities I don't even I	11:34:26	20	have taken instructions from David Bergstein to to
11.30.47	21	don't if I haven't formed them, I couldn't tell you.	11.54.20	21	to put K.Jam Media, Inc., on an as a responsible
	22	Q Okay.		22	party. I don't think so.
	23	A I wouldn't I wouldn't know.		23	Q So is it likely then that you took those
	24	Q What does the column signify when it says that		24	instructions from Kia Jam?
11:31:06		those entities are responsible parties?	11:34:38	25	A I don't know one way or the other.
		Page 67			Page 69
11:31:09	1	A A responsible party is when you file for an EIN	11:34:42	1	Q Okay. Where did you secure the employer
	2	number online, it asks you for a responsible party. And		2	identification number that's listed on this chart for
	3	that would be the responsible party.		3	CAC Group, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc., and Integrated
	4	Q Who determined which company would be listed as		4	Administration? Would that have come from Mr. Jam?
	5	the responsible party for any of the entities on the		_	
11:31:26	~	1 1 2 2	11:35:08	5	A I don't want to really assume, so I don't I
11:31:26	6	left side of this chart?	11:35:08	5 6	A I don't want to really assume, so I don't I mean, it def it didn't have it.
11:31:26			11:35:08		•
11:31:26	6	left side of this chart?	11:35:08	6	mean, it def it didn't have it.
11:31:26	6 7	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess	11:35:08	6 7	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created
	6 7 8	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein	11:35:08	6 7 8	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?
	6 7 8 9	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The		6 7 8 9	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also
	6 7 8 9	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.		6 7 8 9	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.
	6 7 8 9 10	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or		6 7 8 9 10	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam		6 7 8 9 10 11	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant,
11:31:47	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?
11:31:47	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number,	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.
11:31:47	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and
11:31:47	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for this.	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and requested information regarding his companies, the
11:31:47 11:32:06	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for this.  Q Okay. So I take it that with respect to CAC	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and requested information regarding his companies, the accountant would provide it to you; correct?
11:31:47 11:32:06	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for this.  Q Okay. So I take it that with respect to CAC Group, Inc., Integrated Administration, and K.Jam Media,	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and requested information regarding his companies, the accountant would provide it to you; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for
11:31:47 11:32:06	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for this.  Q Okay. So I take it that with respect to CAC Group, Inc., Integrated Administration, and K.Jam Media, Inc., that you did secure that information from Mr. Bergstein; is that correct?	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and requested information regarding his companies, the accountant would provide it to you; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I would not call him on my own
11:31:47 11:32:06	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for this.  Q Okay. So I take it that with respect to CAC Group, Inc., Integrated Administration, and K.Jam Media, Inc., that you did secure that information from Mr. Bergstein; is that correct?  A Well, here it is question mark, so I don't I	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and requested information regarding his companies, the accountant would provide it to you; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I would not call him on my own unless Mr unless Kia Jam would have said to me, "You
11:31:47 11:32:06	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	left side of this chart?  A I most likely must have asked either I guess in this case I would have probably asked David Bergstein whoever the attorney was at the time there. The in-house counsel, maybe.  Q With respect to Integrated Administration or K.Jam Media or CAC Group, would you have asked Mr. Jam for that information?  A Those ones I did not apply for an EIN number, so I don't know. Because if there is a question mark and there is two question mark, so I did not apply for this.  Q Okay. So I take it that with respect to CAC Group, Inc., Integrated Administration, and K.Jam Media, Inc., that you did secure that information from Mr. Bergstein; is that correct?	11:35:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean, it def it didn't have it.  Q But you did have it at the time you created this chart; correct?  A Yeah, I put it there. It could have come also from his accountant.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  So you were working with Mr. Jam's accountant, for example, on this type of project?  A Well, working with is not really a I couldn't really say working with his no.  Q If you went to Mr. Jam's accountant and requested information regarding his companies, the accountant would provide it to you; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I would not call him on my own

		Page 70			Page 72
11:36:11	1	Mr. Jam approved your contacting his accountant to get	11:38:24	1	Q As of November 2, 2011, did you have more than
	2	the information about from Mr. Jam's companies		2	one e-mail address?
	3	MR. WIECHERT: Assumes		3	A I may have had can you hear me I may have
	4	BY MR. WALKER:		4	had an e-mail address and I'm not sure. Maybe
11:36:17	5	Q that we see on that particular chart?	11:38:51	5	fbiedak@kjammedia.com. It's possible.
	6	MR. WIECHERT: Assumes facts not in evidence.		6	Q Okay. So you believe that it's possible that
	7	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat?		7	you had a kjammedia.com e-mail address and a
	8	BY MR. WALKER:		8	grayboxllc.com e-mail address as of
	9	Q Yes. Do you believe then that Mr. Jam		9	A That's very possible, yes.
11:36:28	10	authorized you to contact his accountant to secure the	11:39:07	10	Q Okay. And that was as of November 2, 2011,
	11	information regarding his three companies, CAC Group,		11	that time frame?
	12	Integrated, and K.Jam Media, that appears on the chart		12	A I don't know which time frame, but it's I
	13	that we've marked as Exhibit 7?		13	don't remember the time frame.
	14	A I would have not contacted somebody who is not		14	Q Okay. But you believe that you had both e-mail
11:36:44	15	belonging to who is not directly connected with David	11:39:18	15	addresses at one time?
	16	Bergstein based on just like, I wouldn't contact your		16	A I think so.
	17	assistant without you giving me assist permission.		17	Q Now, looking at your e-mail here to Mr. Stern,
	18	Does this answer your question?		18	who was Mr. Stern?
	19	Q Do you believe then that, given your normal		19	A Effie Stern, he worked for VCorp Services. He
11:37:01	20	practices, that Mr. Jam would have authorized you	11:39:36	20	was a representative then.
	21	contact his accountant to secure the information		21	Q Now, you list yourself at the bottom of your
	22	regarding his three companies that appears in the chart		22	e-mail as assistant to David Bergstein; correct?
	23	we've marked as Exhibit 7?		23	A Yes.
	24	A That's how I do. That's how I normally react.		24	Q And you say: "Hi Effie, it was nice meeting
					Q jj , · · · · · · · · · · ·
11:37:17	25	That I'm not if it's if something is it doesn't	11:39:55	25	you over the phone."
11:37:17	25		11:39:55	25	
		Page 71			Page 73
	1	Page 71 really matter who it is I need to get permission to	11:39:55 11:39:56	1	Page 73 As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously
	1 2	Page 71 really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.		1 2	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?
	1 2 3	Page 71  really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured		1 2 3	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.
11:37:21	1 2 3 4	Page 71 really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person. Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to	11:39:56	1 2 3 4	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following
11:37:21	1 2 3 4 5	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies		1 2 3 4 5	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last
11:37:21	1 2 3 4 5	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."
11:37:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?
11:37:21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.
11:37:21 11:37:31	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 73  As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.
11:37:21 11:37:31	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself.	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.
11:37:21 11:37:31	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER:	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if
11:37:21 11:37:31	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am.	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.
11:37:21 11:37:31	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.	11:39:56 11:40:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for	11:39:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter	11:39:56 11:40:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)	11:39:56 11:40:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:	11:39:56 11:40:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, there are on the bottom two-thirds of	11:39:56 11:40:07 11:40:20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. Thank you very much.
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, there are on the bottom two-thirds of Exhibit 8, is that an e-mail from you to Effie Stern on	11:39:56 11:40:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. Thank you very much.  All right. So there were six entities formed
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, there are on the bottom two-thirds of Exhibit 8, is that an e-mail from you to Effie Stern on November 2, 2011?	11:39:56 11:40:07 11:40:20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. Thank you very much.  All right. So there were six entities formed that are referenced in your e-mail; correct?
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, there are on the bottom two-thirds of Exhibit 8, is that an e-mail from you to Effie Stern on	11:39:56 11:40:07 11:40:20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. Thank you very much.  All right. So there were six entities formed that are referenced in your e-mail; correct?  A Yes.
11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, there are on the bottom two-thirds of Exhibit 8, is that an e-mail from you to Effie Stern on November 2, 2011?	11:39:56 11:40:07 11:40:20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previousl worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. Thank you very much.  All right. So there were six entities formed that are referenced in your e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q And what was the purpose of your e-mail to
11:37:17 11:37:21 11:37:31 11:37:42 11:37:51	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	really matter who it is I need to get permission to to contact the other person.  Q So do you believe then that you secured permission from Mr. Jam to contact his accountant to secure the information regarding his three companies that appears in the chart that we've marked as Exhibit 7?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Unless he had given me the information himself. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 8.  (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, there are on the bottom two-thirds of Exhibit 8, is that an e-mail from you to Effie Stern on November 2, 2011? A That's what it says, yes.	11:39:56 11:40:07 11:40:20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	As of November 2, 2011, had you not previously worked with Mr. Stern?  A No.  Q You go on to state: "As discussed, following is a list of entities that VCorp has formed end of last year per request of Aaron Grunfeld, Esquire."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes, you did.  Q Okay.  A Excuse me for one second.  Q Of course, ma'am. And we can take a break if you need to take a break.  A That's okay. I have very bad allergies.  So I'm good now.  Q Okay. Are you are you okay to  A Yes.  Q continue?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. Thank you very much.  All right. So there were six entities formed that are referenced in your e-mail; correct?  A Yes.

		Page 74			Page 76
11:41:24	1	when I form an entity, I always form it with Parasec.	11:43:41	1	A That's the one that I used when I when I
	2	That's the only service I use because I've been I		2	Q Except, of course, in this instance, you you
	3	I like them more. And I think and they always send		3	provided him a courtesy copy
	4	me notifications that you need to do this, you need to		4	A Apparently.
11:41:37	5	file it, whatever.	11:43:52	5	Q Okay. So you were you were also using the
	6	And I I did not have any any documents on		6	abexyz.cc domain as well in your communications with
	7	these entities. So when I would have the way I		7	Mr. Bergstein; correct?
	8	can only vaguely remember that I assume that I asked		8	A Well, I here is I will just try to look
	9	Mr. Grunfeld how to get information of this. And then I		9	at this one. What what's what's weird here,
11:41:53	10	called Effie Stern, or sent him an e-mail asking about	11:44:16	10	because apparently I sent the e-mail at 5:40 p.m. And
	11	what was due, what needed to be done on these entities		11	then he responds at 5:24 p.m.: "Thanks. We will look
	12	in order not to miss any filings or whatever.		12	into this."
	13	But that's just the way I see it from reading		13	Q Uh-huh.
	14	it.		14	A Which seems a little bit odd to me.
11:42:06	15	Q Now, you copied on your e-mail a	11:44:29	15	Q Well, the clock on either his computer or yours
	16	jeffreymsolomon@me.com; correct?		16	was off.
	17	A Yes.		17	A Apparently, yes.
	18	Q And what was that gentleman's role in the		18	Q Okay. But going back to the courtesy copy of
	19	context of this communication?		19	the e-mail that you drafted, clearly you were aware of
11:42:18	20	A I think I think he was hired to to deal	11:44:43	20	and utilized David Bergstein's aboxyz.cc domain?
	21	with the to put together the corporate records of		21	A Would I assume, yes.
	22	these entities. I think he was an attorney, actually.		22	Q And then, of course, you copied
	23	Q And david@abcxyz.cc was David Bergstein's		23	kiajam@kjammedia.com; correct?
	24	address?		24	A Yes. Yeah.
11:42:41	25	A Yes.	11:44:57	25	Q Was it common when you were discussing matters
		Page 75			Page 77
11:42:42	1	Q Okay. Do you know why he would use an e-mail	11:45:01	1	regarding entities such as the six that we see on
	2	address with a Chinese domain?		2	Exhibit 8 for you to copy both Mr. Bergstein and
	3	A I didn't even know it was Chinese.		3	Mr. Jam?
	4	O The dot cc?		4	MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and
11:42:54	5	A I would not know that.	11:45:10	5	•
	6	Q Do you know why he would use an e-mail with a			ambiguous.
				6	ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why
	7	Chinese domain that would delete automatically after 30			THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why
	7 8	Chinese domain that would delete automatically after 30 days?		6	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I
		days?		6 7	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why
11:43:04	8	•	11:45:39	6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these
11:43:04	8 9	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in	11:45:39	6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people.
11:43:04	8 9 10	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.	11:45:39	6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you.
11:43:04	8 9 10 11	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first	11:45:39	6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect,
11:43:04	8 9 10 11 12	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no	11:45:39	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam
	8 9 10 11 12 13	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.	11:45:39	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect,
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea.		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails?
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that.		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails? MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first  MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER:		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails? MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous.
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER:  Q When did Mr. Bergstein start using the		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails?  MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. WALKER:
	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER:  Q When did Mr. Bergstein start using the abexyz.cc domain for his e-mail?		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails?  MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. WALKER: Q During this same time frame?
11:43:14	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER: Q When did Mr. Bergstein start using the abcxyz.cc domain for his e-mail? A I have no idea.	11:45:49	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails? MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. WALKER: Q During this same time frame? MR. MCGONIGLE: Relating to these entities?
11:43:14	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER: Q When did Mr. Bergstein start using the abexyz.cc domain for his e-mail?  A I have no idea. Q Did he have other e-mail addresses that he used	11:45:49	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails? MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. WALKER: Q During this same time frame? MR. MCGONIGLE: Relating to these entities? BY MR. WALKER:
11:43:14	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER: Q When did Mr. Bergstein start using the abcxyz.cc domain for his e-mail?  A I have no idea. Q Did he have other e-mail addresses that he used at this same time?	11:45:49	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails?  MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. WALKER: Q During this same time frame?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Relating to these entities? BY MR. WALKER: Q I would like to take a half a step back to your
11:43:14	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	days?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.  THE WITNESS: That's the first MR. MCGONIGLE: Same objection. There's no foundation for it.  THE WITNESS: I don't have the slightest idea. I didn't even know anything about that. BY MR. WALKER: Q When did Mr. Bergstein start using the abexyz.cc domain for his e-mail?  A I have no idea. Q Did he have other e-mail addresses that he used at this same time? A I thought he always used	11:45:49	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't even know why I why I copied Jeffrey Solomon on this e-mail. I have no idea how what what made me copy these three well, I don't know why I copied these people. I couldn't tell you. BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am. But my question, with all respect, was, was it relatively common for you to include Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein on your e-mails?  MR. WIECHERT: The question is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. WALKER: Q During this same time frame? MR. MCGONIGLE: Relating to these entities? BY MR. WALKER: Q I would like to take a half a step back to your normal business practices

		Page 78			Page 80
11:46:09	1	and Mr. Jam on your communications regarding their	11:48:26	1	A Not to the best of my knowledge, no.
	2	companies?		2	Q Ma'am, let me hand you what's been marked as
	3	MR. WIECHERT: Same objections.		3	Exhibit 9.
	4	THE WITNESS: If I copied either of if I		4	(Exhibit 9 was marked for
11:46:16	5	copied any of three people, they must have given me	11:48:50	5	identification by the Court Reporter
	6	instructions: Get the information and copy X, Y, Z.		6	and is attached hereto.)
	7	That's the way I can only understand it. But somebody		7	BY MR. WALKER:
	8	must have given me instruction because I usually I		8	Q And I would like to direct your attention first
	9	don't there must have had some sort of a relationship		9	to the e-mail toward the bottom from a
11:46:41	10	was what was going on in this e-mail. So somebody must	11:49:00	10	jswoodwardcpa@aol.com to you with certain courtesy copy
	11	have given me instructions whom to copy.		11	recipients.
	12	MR. WALKER: Okay.		12	Do you see that?
	13	MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as		13	A Yes, I do.
	14	speculation. No foundation.		14	Q And it's dated November 8, 2011?
11:46:50	15	BY MR. WALKER:	11:49:13	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Now, with respect to the six entities that are		16	Q What was Mr. Woodward's or Ms. Woodward's role
	17	listed in your e-mail, Hojo Capital Partners Corp. is		17	A It's Scott Woodward, so it's Mister.
	18	the first one; correct?		18	Q Thank you. What was Scott Woodward's role in
	19	A Yes.		19	all of this?
11:46:59	20	Q As of November 2, 2011, did Hojo Capital have	11:49:27	20	A He is a CPA.
	21	any actual employees?		21	Q And was he retained for all the various
	22	A Not that I'm aware of.		22	entities that we've discussed as a CPA for those
	23	Q The second item is Kambe Asset Management		23	entities?
	24	Group, Inc.		24	A I think he was the one who filed that one
11:47:13	25	Do you see that?	11:49:41	25	return with the franchise tax that we talked before. I
11:47:14	1	Page 79  A Yes, I see it.	11:49:45	1	Page 81 think he was one who, I guess, helped with reinstating
	2	Q As of November 2011, did Kambe Asset have any		2	the entity.
	3	actual employees?		3	Q Okay.
	4	A Not as far I not as far as I know, which		4	A I think.
11:47:24	5	doesn't mean anything.	11:49:53	5	O Okay. You think Mr. Woodward filed the 2010
	6	Q The third company is Owari Opus, Inc.; correct?		6	return for the franchise return in Texas for Swartz IP?
	7	A That's what yes.		7	A I think so, yes.
	8	Q As of November 2011, did Owari Opus, Inc., have		8	O But you don't know that whether or not the 201
			1		
	9	any actual employees?		9	franchise return was ever filed?
11:47:37	9 10	any actual employees?  A No.	11:50:08	9 10	franchise return was ever filed?  A I don't know.
11:47:37		A No.	11:50:08		A I don't know.
11:47:37	10	A No. Q As to the next company	11:50:08	10	A I don't know. Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8
11:47:37	10 11	A No.  Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm	11:50:08	10 11	A I don't know.
11:47:37	10 11 12	A No.  Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't.	11:50:08	10 11 12	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?
11:47:37	10 11 12 13	A No.  Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't.  Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements,	11:50:08	10 11 12 13	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.
	10 11 12 13 14	A No.  Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't.  Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any		10 11 12 13 14	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?
	10 11 12 13 14	A No.  Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't.  Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements,		10 11 12 13 14	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David
	10 11 12 13 14 15	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of.		10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to payroll, payroll taxes yeah, I don't think so.		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.  Q And he was sending it to Kia Jam as well;
11:47:47	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to payroll, payroll taxes yeah, I don't think so. Q With respect to Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.,	11:50:20	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.  Q And he was sending it to Kia Jam as well; correct?  A Correct.
11:47:47	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to payroll, payroll taxes yeah, I don't think so. Q With respect to Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., as November 2, 2011, did that company have any actual	11:50:20	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.  Q And he was sending it to Kia Jam as well; correct?
11:47:47	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to payroll, payroll taxes yeah, I don't think so. Q With respect to Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., as November 2, 2011, did that company have any actual employees?	11:50:20	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.  Q And he was sending it to Kia Jam as well; correct?  A Correct.  Q All right. So the subject of his e-mail is
11:47:47	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to payroll, payroll taxes yeah, I don't think so. Q With respect to Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., as November 2, 2011, did that company have any actual employees? A Not to the best of my knowledge.	11:50:20	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.  Q And he was sending it to Kia Jam as well; correct?  A Correct.  Q All right. So the subject of his e-mail is Swartz IP Services efile confirmation and filed forms attached.
11:47:47	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No. Q As to the next company A I mean, not to the best of my knowledge. I'm sorry. I really don't. Q The next company, Gion Funding Settlements, Inc., did that entity, as of November 2011, have any actual employees? A Not that I am aware of. And when you say employees, you refer to payroll, payroll taxes yeah, I don't think so. Q With respect to Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., as November 2, 2011, did that company have any actual employees?	11:50:20	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I don't know.  Q And he was sending this e-mail on November 8 2011, to you utilizing your grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Okay. And there we see he was using David Bergstein's grayboxllc.com address; correct?  A Yes.  Q And he was sending it to Kia Jam as well; correct?  A Correct.  Q All right. So the subject of his e-mail is Swartz IP Services efile confirmation and filed forms

		Page 82			Page 84
11:50:48	1	Q Okay. And he just notes: "Hi Frymi, I am	11:53:43	1	owns"; right?
	2	sorry but when we spoke I was on my cell phone and I had		2	A That's what it says, yes.
	3	a bad connection. I have completed everything that you		3	Q And you understood at that time that he was
	4	requested in your e-mail, though."		4	referring to Swartz IP Services; correct?
11:51:00	5	So, and then he describes what he had done;	11:53:52	5	A I could not say one way or the other. I
	6	correct?		6	really I mean, I understand what the e-mail says and
	7	A Yes.		7	I agree with you 100 percent. I just I I don't
	8	Q Now, Kia Jam responds with an e-mail to you		8	think that I would have made I don't think that I
	9	approximately two and a half hours later; correct?		9	would have made any and apparently because I don't
11:51:17	10	A Yes.	11:54:15	10	know who owns what. I never understood that. So why
	11	Q And this was on November 8, 2011, at 9:39 p.m.;		11	have I really don't know.
	12	correct?		12	Q Well, clearly Mr. Jam asked you: "Is this the
	13	A Yes.		13	one that KJM owns?" within the context of an e-mail
	14	Q And he asks you: "Is this the one that KJM		14	regarding Swartz IP Services; right?
11:51:28	15	owns?"	11:54:35	15	A That's what the e-mail says.
	16	Did I read correctly?		16	Q And clearly your response was: "This is owned
	17	A That's what it says.		17	by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as
	18	Q And when you received this, did you understand		18	responsible party when when we file for an EIN
	19	that KJM was referring to K.Jam Media?		19	number"; correct?
11:51:43	20	A I I have no idea. I really have no idea.	11:54:51		A Yes, and and I don't think I filed for an
11.01.10	21	Q Okay. And then the next day, at 11:50 a.m. you	11.01.01	21	EIN number for Swartz IP. I don't remember myself
	22	responded to Mr. Jam; correct?		22	filing for that.
	23	A Yes.		23	Q So is it possible that as of November 9, 2011,
	24	Q Okay. And the courtesy copy recipients include		24	you were expecting or anticipating that you would file
11:52:01		David Bergstein at his aboxyz.cc address; correct?	11:55:05		for one, but you had not done so as of that date? And
		,			
		Page 83			Page 85
11:52:07	1	A Yes. I wasn't very consistent.	11:55:07	1	that's why you're saying K.Jam will be named as
	2		1		
		Q And what does Jeff Solomon forwarder signify?		2	responsible party when we file for an EIN number?
	3	Q And what does Jeff Solomon forwarder signify?  A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes		2	responsible party when we file for an EIN number?  A That's what the e-mail suggests.
	3 4				
11:52:22		A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes	11:55:21	3	A That's what the e-mail suggests.
11:52:22	4	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it	11:55:21	3 4	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the
11:52:22	4 5	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very	11:55:21	3 4 5	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP
11:52:22	4 5 6	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on	11:55:21	3 4 5 6	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?
11:52:22	4 5 6 7	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.	11:55:21	3 4 5 6 7	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really
11:52:22	4 5 6 7 8	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this	11:55:21	3 4 5 6 7 8	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.
	4 5 6 7 8 9	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by		3 4 5 6 7 8	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to
	4 5 6 7 8 9	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm
11:52:40	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?	11:55:38	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.
11:52:40	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I	11:55:38	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.
11:52:40	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there	11:55:38	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No
11:52:40	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time	11:55:38	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.
11:52:40 11:52:54	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.	11:55:38 11:55:57	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:
11:52:40	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay. But the first e-mail from Mr. Woodward	11:55:38	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Let me let me rephrase the question, ma'am.
11:52:40 11:52:54	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay. But the first e-mail from Mr. Woodward references Swartz IP Services and certain filings that	11:55:38 11:55:57	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Let me let me rephrase the question, ma'am. I wasn't trying to be offensive.
11:52:40 11:52:54	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay. But the first e-mail from Mr. Woodward references Swartz IP Services and certain filings that you requested of him; correct?	11:55:38 11:55:57	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Let me let me rephrase the question, ma'am. I wasn't trying to be offensive.  When you wrote the e-mail to Mr. Jam on
11:52:40 11:52:54	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay. But the first e-mail from Mr. Woodward references Swartz IP Services and certain filings that you requested of him; correct?  A Yes, yes.	11:55:38 11:55:57	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Let me let me rephrase the question, ma'am. I wasn't trying to be offensive.  When you wrote the e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, advising him that this is owned by
11:52:40 11:52:54 11:53:25	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay. But the first e-mail from Mr. Woodward references Swartz IP Services and certain filings that you requested of him; correct?  A Yes, yes.  Q And the second e-mail is from Mr. Jam to	11:55:38 11:55:57 11:55:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Let me let me rephrase the question, ma'am. I wasn't trying to be offensive.  When you wrote the e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, advising him that this is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible
11:52:40 11:52:54	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A I'm not a technical person, but sometimes people have, like, different e-mail addresses where it forwards directly to them. So I'm I'm not very that's the way I understand it.  Q Fair enough. Now, in your e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, you respond to his question: "Is this the one that KJM owns?" by stating: "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as the responsible party when we file for an EIN number."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says.  Q Okay. So you're referring there to Swartz IP Services; correct?  A I I thought I don't know. I mean, I it doesn't seem because I thought that there there was an EIN number for Swartz IP Services at the time already, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay. But the first e-mail from Mr. Woodward references Swartz IP Services and certain filings that you requested of him; correct?  A Yes, yes.	11:55:38 11:55:57	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A That's what the e-mail suggests.  Q Okay. And do you recall where you received the information that as of November 9, 2011, that Swartz IP Services was owned by K.Jam and Owari?  A I have no idea why I wrote that. I really don't.  Q Is there any reason that you can recall that as of November 9, 2011, when you wrote this e-mail to Mr. Jam, that you would have been inaccurate or that you would have been dishonest about your answer?  A Well, definitely not  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. I'm sorry.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I apologize.  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Let me let me rephrase the question, ma'am. I wasn't trying to be offensive.  When you wrote the e-mail to Mr. Jam on November 9, 2011, advising him that this is owned by

		Page 86			Page 88
11:56:15	1	to be anything but accurate at that time; correct?	11:59:52	1	personal knowledge as to what was located at that
	2	MR. WIECHERT: Objection again. No foundation.		2	address?
	3	THE WITNESS: I again, I don't understand.		3	A No.
	4	That's not something that I would write now.		4	Q Do you have any personal knowledge as to why
11:56:31	5	BY MR. WALKER:	11:59:58	5	that address was selected for Swartz IP Services?
	6	Q Yes, ma'am. But at the time that you wrote the		6	A No. I have never seen I don't remember
	7	top of the e-mail on November 9, 2011, to Mr. Jam		7	ever I mean, I must have seen it, but I have not
	8	answering his question: "Is this the one that K.Jam		8	maybe not noticed it.
	9	owns?" and you advised him: "This is owned by K.Jam and		9	Q Okay. Down at the bottom right corner you see
11:56:44	10	Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party when	12:00:13	10	a series of numbers. It's jam, underscore, TT,
	11	we file for an EIN number"		11	underscore, and then 000 and then
	12	A EIN number.		12	A 499 and
	13	Q was it your practice to be accurate and		13	Q Okay. Could you turn to 501, please.
	14	truthful in responding to Mr. Jam's questions?		14	All right. Now, this is a certificate of
11:56:58	15	A Well, I would have definitely made an effort.	12:00:27	15	filing of Advisory IP Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP
	16	Q Let me hand you what's been marked as		16	Services Group, Inc.
	17	Exhibit 10. Why don't we work through this and then we		17	Did I read that correctly?
	18	can take a lunch break.		18	A Yes.
	19	(Exhibit 10 was marked for		19	Q Do you know why the name Swartz IP Services
11:57:13	20	identification by the Court Reporter	12:00:40	20	Group, Inc., was changed to Advisory IP Services, Inc.
	21	and is attached hereto.)		21	A No. I don't know.
	22	MR. WALKER: Are you doing okay, ma'am?		22	Q You have no recollection or understanding as to
	23	THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. I'm fine.		23	why that name change was effectuated?
	24	BY MR. WALKER:		24	A No.
11:57:23	25	Q Okay, ma'am. Exhibit 10 well, let me ask	12:00:56	25	Q Looking at Page 504. Have you found that page
		Page 87			Page 89
11:57:25	1	you this: Could you review the various pages of	12:01:03	1	A Yes.
	2	Exhibit 10 and identify this collection of documents for		2	Q Thank you. That's executed and the printed or
	3	me.		3	typed name states David Bergstein; correct?
	4	A Okay.		4	A Yes.
11:58:48	5	Q Is Exhibit 10 a collection of records	12:01:13	5	Q Okay. Is that Mr. Bergstein's signature stamp
	6	pertaining to Swartz IP Services?		6	that was used?
	7	A Yes.		7	A Yes.
	8	Q On the first page we see an e-mail from you on		8	Q Okay. Would you have affixed that signature
	9	November 9, 2011; correct?		9	stamp to this document?
11:59:00		November 9, 2011; correct?  A Uh-huh.	12:01:22	9 10	
11:59:00			12:01:22		stamp to this document?  A I think so.
11:59:00	10	A Uh-huh.	12:01:22	10	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't
11:59:00	10 11	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and	12:01:22	10 11	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?
11:59:00	10 11 12	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes.	12:01:22	10 11 12	stamp to this document?  A I think so. Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally? A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so
	10 11 12 13 14	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to	12:01:22	10 11 12 13 14	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first
	10 11 12 13 14	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes.		10 11 12 13 14	stamp to this document?  A I think so. Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally? A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so
	10 11 12 13 14 15	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes.		10 11 12 13 14 15	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.
	10 11 12 13 14 15	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct?		10 11 12 13 14 15	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct?		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?
11:59:13	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes.	12:01:42	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification
11:59:13	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes. Q Now going to the second page of Exhibit 10, we		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification to what office, Counsel?
11:59:13	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes. Q Now going to the second page of Exhibit 10, we see the certificate of formation for-profit corporation	12:01:42	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification to what office, Counsel?  BY MR. WALKER:
11:59:13	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes. Q Now going to the second page of Exhibit 10, we see the certificate of formation for-profit corporation for Swartz IP Services Group Inc.; correct?	12:01:42	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification to what office, Counsel?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q The office that you were in in November of
11:59:13	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes. Q Now going to the second page of Exhibit 10, we see the certificate of formation for-profit corporation for Swartz IP Services Group Inc.; correct? A Yes.	12:01:42	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification to what office, Counsel?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q The office that you were in in November of 2011, what was that address?
11:59:00 11:59:13 11:59:28	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes. Q Now going to the second page of Exhibit 10, we see the certificate of formation for-profit corporation for Swartz IP Services Group Inc.; correct? A Yes. Q And again, with respect to the 10101 Fondren	12:01:42	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification to what office, Counsel?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q The office that you were in in November of 2011, what was that address?  A 2425 Colorado.
11:59:13	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Uh-huh. Q And it's to mkatz@vcorpservices and sonali@vcorpservices; correct? A Yes. Q And you provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Bergstein at his abcxyz.cc address; correct? A Yes. Q And you also provided a courtesy copy to Mr. Solomon and Kia Jam; correct? A That's correct, yes. Q Now going to the second page of Exhibit 10, we see the certificate of formation for-profit corporation for Swartz IP Services Group Inc.; correct? A Yes.	12:01:42	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stamp to this document?  A I think so.  Q Was there a reason why Mr. Bergstein didn't sign that personally?  A Mr. Bergstein was not much in the office, so that's why we created the signature stamp in the first place, so that when he was he was not in the office much.  Q Was Mr. Jam regularly in the office at this time?  MR. WIECHERT: Could we have a specification to what office, Counsel?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q The office that you were in in November of 2011, what was that address?

		Page 90			Page 92
12:02:05	1	to day as a normal course of business?	12:04:35	1	Q Okay. And that form was dated June 11, 2012;
	2	A I think Mr. Jam came as far as I recollect,		2	correct? If you go to the next page.
	3	I think he was there on a fairly regular basis, yes.		3	A June 11, 2012.
	4	Q Now, going to page 518. Let know what you find		4	Q Okay. So that's two days before the name
12:02:25	5	that document.	12:04:54	5	change to Advisory Services Group, Inc.; correct?
	6	A 518?		6	A That's what it says.
	7	Q Yes, ma'am.		7	Q Okay. And on the form changing the name to IP
	8	A Okay.		8	Services Group, Inc., dated June 11, 2012, again we see
	9	Q All right. Now, this is an amendment to		9	Mr. Bergstein's signature stamp was affixed; correct?
12:02:34	10	registration. The legal name of the filing entity is	12:05:16	10	A Apparently, yes.
	11	Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., and it shows the		11	Q Okay. And did you do that?
	12	registration is amended to change the legal name of the		12	A I would assume so, yes.
	13	entity as amended in the entity's jurisdiction of		13	Q Okay. And does looking at this refresh your
	14	formation. The new name is IP Services Group, Inc.		14	recollection as to why Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.,
12:02:52	15	Were you familiar with the fact that this name	12:05:28	15	had its name changed twice over a two-day period?
12.02.32	16	change was made to Swartz IP?	12.03.20	16	A I did not remember this one, this IP Services
	17	A No. I thought I no.		17	Group, Inc.
	18	Q Do you know what the purpose for that name		18	Q Do you mind if we go through one set one
	19	change was?		19	more exhibit?
12:03:09	20	A I don't think	12:05:45	20	A Not at all.
12:03:09	21	MR. WIECHERT: Assumes facts not in evidence.	12:03:43	21	
	22	No foundation.		22	Q Okay. Then we'll take a lunch break.
	23	THE WITNESS: I have to look at this one.		23	MR. WALKER: What time is it?
	24			24	MR. MCGONIGLE: It is 12:09.
12:03:18	25	BY MR. WALKER:	12:05:54		MR. WALKER: Okay.
12:03:10	23	Q Yes, ma'am.	12:03:34	23	MR. WIECHERT: When do you want to return?
		Page 91			Page 93
12:03:20	1	A I thought it was changed somehow I always	12:05:58	1	MR. WALKER: Sir?
	2	thought that it was changed from Swartz IP Services		2	MR. WIECHERT: An hour or you want to do less?
	3	Group, Inc., to Advisory IP Service. That's that's		3	MR. WALKER: I was going to go through one more
	4	the way I remember it.		4	exhibit. She said she'd go through one more.
12:03:34	5	Q Right. And that particular name change, as we	12:06:02	5	MR. WIECHERT: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay.
	6	saw on Page 501, was done and had an effective date of		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	June 13, 2012; correct?		7	Q Ma'am, let me hand you what's been marked as
	8	A I have to look at this. One second. June 13,		8	Exhibit 11.
	9	2012; correct, yes.		9	(Exhibit 11 was marked for
12:03:54	10	Q Okay. So the effective date of the name change	12:06:14	10	identification by the Court Reporter
	11	from Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., to Advisory IP		11	and is attached hereto.)
	12	Services, Inc., was June 13, 2012; correct?		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	A That's the way that what the document says,		13	Q Does Exhibit 11 appear to be checks drawn on
	14	yes.		14	the Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., account?
12:04:06	15	Q Okay. And I believe you testified that you	12:06:33	15	A Yes.
	16	don't have any personal knowledge as to why that name		16	Q Okay. Now, the first check is dated
	17	change was done?		17	December 9, 2011; correct? On the first page of
	18	A No.		18	Exhibit 11?
	19	Q Okay. But if you look back at the other form,		19	A Yes.
12:04:16	20	the name change, which was Page 518.	12:06:44	20	Q Okay. And that check was payable to cash?
	21	A Yes.		21	A Yes.
	22	Q Okay. Now, that purports to change the name of		22	Q For \$6,000?
	23	Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., to IP Services Group,		23	A Yes.
	24	Inc.; correct?		24	O And I take it that was a counter check?
12:04:34	25	A That's what it says.	12:06:58	25	A When you say co what other checks are
		· <del>y</del>	12.00.00	20	11 Then you say to - what other theeks are

		Page 94			Page 96
12:07:00	1	there?	12:09:12	1	Bergstein's actual signature?
	2	Q Well, in other words, the check that we see for		2	A Yes.
	3	\$6,000 payable to cash dated December 9, 2011, was not		3	Q Who was Robert Pressler?
	4	on a check that had the Swartz IP name or address		4	A I have no idea.
12:07:16	5	printed on it?	12:09:19	5	Q That's not a name that you had run into in any
	6	A It must have been maybe a temporary check.		6	of your dealings with Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?
	7	Q Okay. So was this one of the first checks that		7	A No.
	8	was cashed on the Swartz IP account?		8	Q Looking at the endorsement, it says RPP
	9	A It's didn't have access to the Swartz. I		9	Enterprises. Does that refresh your recollection as to
12:07:26	10	didn't keep a spreadsheet on the Swartz IP account, as I	12:09:33	10	who Mr. Pressler might have been?
	11	said before.		11	A No.
	12	Q Okay. Who had access to the Swartz IP bank		12	Q Going to the next check, it's a check dated
	13	account at this time?		13	July 23, 2012, in the amount of \$12,000 made payable to
	14	A I not me.		14	Graybox LLC; correct?
12:07:39	15	Q Okay. Was there a reason that you were not	12:09:49	15	A That's correct, yes.
12.07.03	16	provided access to the Swartz IP bank account?		16	Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's
	17	A I I don't know why, but and I never		17	actual signature?
	18	asked.		18	A Yes.
	19	Q And is that signature one that you recognize of		19	Q Do you know what the purpose of this particular
12:07:54	20	David Bergstein?	12:10:00	20	payment to Graybox LLC was that Mr. Bergstein made out
12.07.34	21	A Yes.	12.10.00	21	of this Swartz IP account?
				22	A I don't know.
	22 23	Q Okay.		23	
		A But that's his signature.		24	Q Going to the next check, we see a check on
12:08:00	24 25	Q That's his actual signature? A Yes.	12:10:22		December 18, 2013, in the amount of \$10,000 drawn on the
12.00.00	23	A 165.	12.10.22	23	Swartz IP Services Group account and payable to
		Page 95			Page 97
12:08:01	1	Q Okay. Going to the next page, is that also	12:10:24	1	Integrated Administration; correct?
	_				
	2	now we see it's a printed check, 1026, dated July 2,		2	A That's correct.
	2 3	now we see it's a printed check, 1026, dated July 2, 2012; correct?		2	A That's correct.
					A That's correct.
12:08:14	3	2012; correct? A July 2. Yes.	12:10:33	3	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated
12:08:14	3 4	2012; correct?	12:10:33	3 4	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.
12:08:14	3 4 5	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz	12:10:33	3 4 5	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated  Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.
12:08:14	3 4 5 6	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz  IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue,	12:10:33	3 4 5 6	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated  Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by
12:08:14	3 4 5 6 7	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California;	12:10:33	3 4 5 6 7	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?
12:08:14	3 4 5 6 7 8	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.	12:10:33	3 4 5 6 7 8	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013,
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027 dated August 22, 2012, in the amount of \$4,960 to Robert	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been dissolved?
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027 dated August 22, 2012, in the amount of \$4,960 to Robert Pressler. Do you see that?	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been dissolved?  A I did not know that. I
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027 dated August 22, 2012, in the amount of \$4,960 to Robert Pressler. Do you see that?  A Yes.	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been dissolved?  A I did not know that. I  Q Let me ask it a different way. Was it your
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have — had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027 dated August 22, 2012, in the amount of \$4,960 to Robert Pressler. Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this is also on the same Swartz IP Services	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been dissolved?  A I did not know that. I  Q Let me ask it a different way. Was it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, that Swartz
12:08:28	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027 dated August 22, 2012, in the amount of \$4,960 to Robert Pressler. Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this is also on the same Swartz IP Services Grouping, Inc., account; correct?	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been dissolved?  A I did not know that. I  Q Let me ask it a different way. Was it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, that Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., had forfeit its charter in the
12:08:28 12:08:40	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2012; correct?  A July 2. Yes.  Q And now we see it's a printed check for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., at the 2425 Colorado Avenue, Suite B205, address in Santa Monica, California; correct?  A Yes.  Q And who is Che Sheng?  A I don't know.  Q Have — had you ever heard of that name in the context of Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam?  A No.  Q And you recognize that as being Mr. Bergstein's signature?  A Yes. Not the stamp.  Q Going to the next page, there is check 1027 dated August 22, 2012, in the amount of \$4,960 to Robert Pressler. Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q And this is also on the same Swartz IP Services	12:10:45	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A That's correct.  Q And it was, apparently, deposited to Integrated Administration's account?  A That's the way it looks like, yes.  Q Do you know why this payment was being made by Swartz IP Services Group to Integrated Administration for \$10,000?  A I don't know.  Q Do you know why it was made as late as December 18, 2013?  A Well can you repeat the question?  Q Yes. Do you know why, on December 18, 2013, this checking account was used to pay Integrated Administration \$10,000?  A I don't know. I have no idea.  Q Is it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, Swartz IP as a company had been dissolved?  A I did not know that. I  Q Let me ask it a different way. Was it your understanding that as of December 18, 2013, that Swartz

		Page 98			Page 100
12:11:37	1	not in evidence.	12:14:06	1	familiarity with Mr. Bergstein's signature, you don't
	2	THE WITNESS: Can you tell me what what		2	believe that Mr. Bergstein signed this check; is that
	3	can you repeat the question? I'm sorry.		3	correct?
	4	BY MR. WALKER:		4	A I wouldn't know one way or the other. I
12:11:51	5	Q Yes, ma'am.	12:14:14	5	couldn't I couldn't tell. This one I could say this
	6	A I'm very confused.		6	is Mr. Bergstein's signature. This one.
	7	Q Do you know whether or not, as of December 18,		7	Q So the second-to-last page?
	8	2013, that Swartz IP Services Group, Inc., had forfeit		8	A The second to the second-to-last page.
	9	its charter with the state of Texas?		9	Q The \$10,000 check dated December 18, 2013, to
12:12:03	10	A When you say forwarded its charter with the	12:14:31	10	Integrated Administration, you believe that's was
12.12.05	11	state of Texas, do you mean it was not in compliance?	12.14.51	11	Mr. Bergstein's signature?
				12	
	12	Q Yes. It was no longer a recognized			Is that your testimony?
	13	corporation?		13	A I now I'm not sure. When I'm looking at
10 10 16	14	A That, I didn't know. I just know that that	10 15 00	14	this and I'm looking at this, I'm not sure. This one
12:12:16	15	thing with the taxes wasn't done correctly.	12:15:08	15	this one I think was his because
	16	Q And going to the next page in this exhibit, we		16	Q The first page of the exhibit?
	17	see another payment to Integrated Administration, and		17	A The first page I think I'm pretty sure was
	18	this time in the amount of \$12,000; correct?		18	his.
	19	A That was done on 12/17/2019.		19	Q Okay. Is it possible that Mr. Jam simply
12:12:39	20	Q Yes. Do you know what the purpose of this	12:15:20	20	completed these checks and signed Mr. Bergstein's name
	21	payment might have been?		21	for the two checks that constitute the last two pages of
	22	A In 2019?		22	Exhibit 11?
	23	Q Yes.		23	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for
	24	A But we are now in March.		24	speculation.
12:12:50	25	MR. WIECHERT: I think her point is we haven't	12:15:31	25	THE WITNESS: I mean, I have a big issue with
		Page 99			Page 101
12:12:52	1	gotten to December 17, 2019, yet.			
		gotten to December 17, 2017, yet.	12:15:33	1	the with the 2019 date. So I can't really comment on
	2	BY MR. WALKER:	12:15:33	1 2	the with the 2019 date. So I can't really comment on this one. I don't understand this at all.
	2	BY MR. WALKER:	12:15:33		· ·
		BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be	12:15:33	2	this one. I don't understand this at all. BY MR. WALKER:
12:12:59	3	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?	12:15:33	2	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect
	3 4 5	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is		2 3 4	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one
	3 4	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.		2 3 4 5	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in
	3 4 5 6	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000		2 3 4 5 6	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not
	3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?
12:12:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't	12:15:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.
12:12:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is	12:15:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for
12:12:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his	12:15:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.
12:12:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?	12:15:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We
12:12:59 12:13:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation.	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.
12:12:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.	12:15:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)
12:12:59 12:13:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
12:12:59 12:13:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.  Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is
12:12:59 12:13:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.
12:12:59 12:13:16 12:13:35	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.  BY MR. WALKER:
12:12:59 12:13:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. Did Mr. Jam ever, for whatever reason	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. Ware now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I'll hand you what's been marked as
12:12:59 12:13:16 12:13:35	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Did Mr. Jam ever, for whatever reason convenience, haste did Mr. Jam ever sign	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. Ware now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.  BY MR. WALKER:
12:12:59 12:13:16 12:13:35	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Did Mr. Jam ever, for whatever reason convenience, haste did Mr. Jam ever sign Mr. Bergstein's name with Mr. Bergstein's permission on	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I'll hand you what's been marked as
12:12:59 12:13:16 12:13:35	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Did Mr. Jam ever, for whatever reason convenience, haste did Mr. Jam ever sign  Mr. Bergstein's name with Mr. Bergstein's permission on any particular documents or checks to your knowledge?	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I'll hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 12.
12:12:59 12:13:16 12:13:35	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Right. So do you know why that date would be used on this check?  A I think it was an error. Something is that's weird.  Q Okay. Do you know the purpose of the \$12,000 payment to Integrated Administration regardless of date?  A I have no idea. I don't know what I don't know who would have written this.  Q Is is that Mr. Bergstein's signature or is that someone just signing his name to look like his signature?  MR. MCGONIGLE: That calls for speculation. You can answer it.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me, I would not say that this is Mr. Berg I could not say one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. Did Mr. Jam ever, for whatever reason convenience, haste did Mr. Jam ever sign Mr. Bergstein's name with Mr. Bergstein's permission on	12:15:43 12:16:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this one. I don't understand this at all.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And as we sit here today, with respect to the last two checks to Integrated Administration, one for 10,000 and one for 12,000, one in 2013, and one in 2019 albeit in the future I take it you were not aware that these two checks had been issued?  A I was not aware of any of these checks that were issued.  MR. WALKER: Okay. We can take a break for lunch, ma'am.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:15 p.m. We are now off the record.  (A recess was taken.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. Here marks the beginning of Disk 2. The time is 1:30 p.m.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Ma'am, I'll hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 12.  (Exhibit 12 was marked for

		Page 102			Page 104
13:30:33	1	BY MR. WALKER:	13:33:17	1	And going to the top of the first page of
	2	Q I'll take it this was a series of e-mails that		2	plaintiff's Exhibit 12, there is an e-mail from
	3	you were involved in?		3	Mr. Woodard back to you on January 19, 2012 at
	4	A Yes.		4	4:46 p.m.; correct?
13:31:01	5	Q So there at the bottom, you sent an e-mail to	13:33:29	5	A Yes.
	6	Mr. Woodard, the CPA, with a copy to Mr. Bergstein and		6	Q And again, we see courtesy copy recipients of
	7	Mr. Jam regarding Swartz IP Services Texas taxes on		7	Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Mr. Piskula; correct?
	8	November 8th, 2011; correct?		8	A Correct. Yes.
	9	A That's what it says, yes.		9	Q Okay. And Mr. Woodard was asking you to
13:31:19	10	Q And you're providing Mr. Woodard the requested	13:33:43	10	provide him with certain documents related to Swartz IP
	11	information regarding Swartz IP; correct?		11	correct?
	12	A I'm just reading the other e-mail, too.		12	A That's what it says, yes.
	13	Q Of course. Take your time.		13	Q And there in the paragraph, the full paragraph,
	14	A Okay.		14	after he asked you for the balance sheet, the general
13:31:41	15	Q So at the very bottom there on the	13:33:55	15	ledger, and the profit and loss statement, effectively
	16	November 8th, 2011 at 6:45 p.m., you're sending		16	for the 2011 year, he tells you that he would need to
	17	Mr. Woodard the requested information; correct?		17	file the federal corporate income tax return and the
	18	A I don't know what I sent him here.		18	related Texas franchise tax return.
	19	Q Well, whatever it was, it was apparently what		19	Did I read that correctly?
13:31:54	20	he requested of you; correct?	13:34:11	20	A You read it correctly, yes.
	21	A Okay.		21	Q Were any of those tax returns filed on behalf
	22	Q I'm looking at the very, very bottom		22	of Swartz IP Services?
	23	A Yeah. Yeah. I I I just don't know		23	A I don't know.
	24	what I sent because normally		24	Q He goes on to state, "If the Texas corporation
13:32:04	25	Q It doesn't note in the attachment, does it?	13:34:23	25	is doing business in California or additional states, I
		Page 103			Page 105
13:32:07	1	A It doesn't show anything.	13:34:26	1	would have to file return to those states as well
	2	Q Okay. Fair enough.		2	apportioning income among the respective states."
	3	Then going up to the middle e-mail, this on		2	D
				3	Do you know if any if you were asked to
	4	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail		4	provide any information along those lines to
13:32:21	4 5	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein,	13:34:37	4 5	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?
13:32:21	4 5 6	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?	13:34:37	4 5 6	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in
13:32:21	4 5 6 7	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.	13:34:37	4 5 6 7	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?
13:32:21	4 5 6 7 8	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media;	13:34:37	4 5 6 7 8	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard? A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California? Q Yes, or any other states.
	4 5 6 7 8 9	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?		4 5 6 7 8 9	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard? A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California? Q Yes, or any other states. A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not
13:32:21 13:32:32	4 5 6 7 8 9	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.	13:34:37	4 5 6 7 8 9	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard? A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California? Q Yes, or any other states. A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes. Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct? A He was the officer manager. Q Thank you. And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting.  A I would have not had it.
13:32:32	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for	13:34:49	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?
13:32:32	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II.
13:32:32	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about	13:34:49	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of	13:34:49	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?
13:32:32	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?	13:34:49	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.
13:32:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?  A I think I was we talked about that earlier.	13:34:49 13:35:06	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as
13:32:32	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?  A I think I was we talked about that earlier.  I think I was referring to the information that he had	13:34:49	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 13.
13:32:32 13:32:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?  A I think I was we talked about that earlier. I think I was referring to the information that he had provided, to bring it back in good standing, which I	13:34:49 13:35:06	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 13.  (Exhibit 13 was marked for
13:32:32 13:32:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?  A I think I was we talked about that earlier. I think I was referring to the information that he had provided, to bring it back in good standing, which I think was for 2010. I think now, wanted the same we	13:34:49 13:35:06	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 13.  (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
13:32:32 13:32:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?  A I think I was we talked about that earlier. I think I was referring to the information that he had provided, to bring it back in good standing, which I think was for 2010. I think now, wanted the same we wanted the same thing for 2011. That's the way I	13:34:49 13:35:06	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting?  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz Il Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 13.  (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)
13:32:32 13:32:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	January 19, 2012, short time later, you sent an e-mail to Mr. Woodard with a copy to Mr. Jam, Mr. Bergstein, and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Yes.  Q And Mr. Piskula was also with K.Jam Media; correct?  A He was the officer manager.  Q Thank you.  And you advised Mr. Woodard that you were going through your filings for the new year and you note that he was able help you out with the franchise filing for Swartz IP in Texas last November.  So I take it that would have been on or about the time of the e-mail that you have at the bottom of this string?  A I think I was we talked about that earlier. I think I was referring to the information that he had provided, to bring it back in good standing, which I think was for 2010. I think now, wanted the same we	13:34:49 13:35:06	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	provide any information along those lines to Mr. Woodard?  A You mean if it was qualified to do business in California?  Q Yes, or any other states.  A Not to the best of my knowledge, but I'm not sure. Somebody else may have done it.  Q And do you recall providing any of this information to Mr. Woodard that he was requesting.  A I would have not had it.  Q And why is that?  A Because I didn't keep the record for Swartz II Services.  Q And who did, do you know?  A No. I really don't.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 13.  (Exhibit 13 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter

		Page 106			Page 108
13:35:37	1	intent to purchase certain real estate dated April 1st,	13:37:54	1	maybe to follow up, I don't know. But I did not do this
	2	2012?		2	wire. I did not do any wires related with Wells Fargo
	3	A That's what it looks like.		3	and I know that I had an account with Wells Fargo.
	4	Q And it looks like it was a letter of intent for		4	Q How do you know that?
13:35:53	5	Swartz IP Services to purchase the real estate that's	13:38:07	5	A Because that's where the checks were from.
	6	referenced?		6	Q Fair enough.
	7	A That's what it says.		7	There is a separate note to Kia that well,
	8	Q Did this sale ever go forward, to your		8	the e-mail goes on, "Kia, money for Amex being wired in
	9	knowledge?		9	separately."
13:36:04	10	A I I have no idea. This is the first time	13:38:21	10	I take it Kia Jam had an America Express
	11	I've seen this document.		11	account that was being used by Mr. Bergstein at times?
	12	Q There is no signature on the last page;		12	A I don't know one way or the other.
	13	correct?		13	Q Did you ever see any of the American Express
	14	A I don't see it. I don't see a signature.		14	account statements?
13:36:22	15	Q If you could look at the second-to-last page.	13:38:36	15	A No, I have not.
	16	A The second-to-last page, yes.		16	Q Were there times when you were asked to pay one
	17	Q There, the signature line for Swartz IP		17	of the America Express bills from funds from one of the
	18	Services, Inc., it has Kia Jam listed as the proposed		18	accounts to which you had access?
	19	signatory; correct?		19	A I don't remember. It's possible. I don't
13:36:37	20	A That's what it says, yes.	13:38:57	20	remember.
	21	Q Do you know whether or not Mr. Jam ever signed		21	Q Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit
	22	this contract?		22	15, ma'am.
	23	A I would not one way or the other.		23	(Exhibit 15 was marked for
	24	Q Thank you. I'll hand you what's been marked as		24	identification by the Court Reporter
13:36:52	25	Exhibit 14.	13:39:10	25	and is attached hereto.)
		Page 107			Page 109
13:36:53	1	Page 107 (Exhibit 14 was marked for	13:39:10	1	Page 109
13:36:53	1 2	(Exhibit 14 was marked for	13:39:10	1 2	BY MR. WALKER:
13:36:53	2	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter	13:39:10	2	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would
13:36:53	2	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)	13:39:10	2	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.
	2 3 4	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:		2 3 4	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.
	2 3 4 5	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to	13:39:10	2 3 4 5	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered
	2 3 4 5	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?		2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and
	2 3 4 5	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.		2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the
13:37:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.	13:39:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one
13:37:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?
13:37:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz;	13:39:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.
13:37:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?	13:39:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very
13:37:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.	13:39:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Dave
13:37:00 13:37:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated	13:39:26 13:39:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Dav Bergstein?
13:37:00 13:37:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account	13:39:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and David Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.
13:37:00 13:37:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.	13:39:26 13:39:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and David Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?
13:37:00 13:37:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?	13:39:26 13:39:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Dav Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.
13:37:00 13:37:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.	13:39:26 13:39:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Davis Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.
13:37:00 13:37:12 13:37:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.  Q Okay. How were you let me ask you this.	13:39:26 13:39:56 13:40:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Dav Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And you were sending this on April 19, 2012 at
13:37:00 13:37:12 13:37:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.  Q Okay. How were you let me ask you this.  Did you comply with Mr. Bergstein's instruction to wire	13:39:26 13:39:56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Dav Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And you were sending this on April 19, 2012 at 10:09 a.m., correct?
13:37:00 13:37:12 13:37:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.  Q Okay. How were you let me ask you this.  Did you comply with Mr. Bergstein's instruction to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz?	13:39:26 13:39:56 13:40:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Davibottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Davibottom from you to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And you were sending this on April 19, 2012 at 10:09 a.m., correct?  A That's correct, yes.
13:37:00 13:37:12 13:37:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.  Q Okay. How were you let me ask you this.  Did you comply with Mr. Bergstein's instruction to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz?  A Well, the e-mail goes from from David	13:39:26 13:39:56 13:40:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Dav Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And you were sending this on April 19, 2012 at 10:09 a.m., correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. So we go to the next page.
13:37:00 13:37:12 13:37:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.  Q Okay. How were you let me ask you this.  Did you comply with Mr. Bergstein's instruction to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz?  A Well, the e-mail goes from from David Bergstein to myself and to Kia. So to Kia Jam, I'm	13:39:26 13:39:56 13:40:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Davis Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And you were sending this on April 19, 2012 at 10:09 a.m., correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. So we go to the next page.  And that is the e-mail that you were sending on
13:37:00 13:37:12 13:37:23 13:37:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Exhibit 14 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail from David Bergstein to you and Kia Jam; correct?  A Correct.  Q And it's dated April 16, 2012; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q So Mr. Bergstein was instructing you to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So I take it you had access to Integrated Administrations's account  A No.  Q that would allow you to wire the money?  A No. I have not.  Q Okay. How were you let me ask you this.  Did you comply with Mr. Bergstein's instruction to wire \$20,000 from Integrated Administration to Jerry Swartz?  A Well, the e-mail goes from from David	13:39:26 13:39:56 13:40:07	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. This is a series of e-mails. I would like to ask you about certain of them, if we could.  A Okay.  Q Okay. If you go to the page that's numbered there in the bottom right, 481, then actually, and you may want to look at the preceding page at the very bottom to see the the names on the e-mail. It's the preceding page, ma'am, the one  A The one before?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see that e-mail that starts at the very bottom from you to Majid Zarrinkelk, Kia Jam, and Davis Bergstein?  A With a courtesy copy to Steve.  Q To Mr. Piskula?  A Yes.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And you were sending this on April 19, 2012 at 10:09 a.m., correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. So we go to the next page.

		Page 110			Page 112
13:40:25	1	Q And so you're saying that Steve asked that you	13:42:37	1	A I must have had them, maybe. I I must have
	2	e-mail to Mr. Zarrinkelk the following summary of		2	asked somebody. Somebody must have given them to me.
	3	today's wire transfers out of Pineboard Holdings LLC.		3	Q Who would have had them, if not you?
	4	So I take it you had access to the account for		4	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
13:40:38	5	Pineboard Holdings LLC?	13:42:51	5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
	6	MR. MCGONIGLE: Objection. The question is		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	vague.		7	Q What about the 450,000-dollar wire transfer to
	8	You can answer.		8	Integrated Administration?
	9	THE WITNESS: I had not. I don't remember		9	A This came from Pineboard? Oh, yeah, from
13:40:48	10	having access to this account. And I don't remember	13:43:02	10	Pineboard.
	11	myself sending wires out of Wells Fargo.		11	Q That's what your e-mail suggests; correct?
	12	BY MR. WALKER:		12	A Yes.
	13	Q And how did you know that Pineboard Holdings		13	Q What was the purpose of the 450,000-dollar wire
	14	had a Wells Fargo account?		14	transfer from Pineboard Holdings to Integrated
13:41:01	15	A Because Kia asked them on various Mr. Jam	13:43:15	15	Administration?
	16	asked me on various occasions and and that that		16	MR. WEICHERT: Objection. No foundation.
	17	the balance was low and put some money in.		17	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
	18	Q Okay. So Mr. Jam was managing the Pineboard		18	BY MR. WALKER:
	19	Holdings account?		19	Q Who is Donald Carroll?
13:41:17	20	MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Calls for a	13:43:26	20	A Donald Carroll is a a very good friend of
	21	conclusion. No foundation.		21	David Bergstein. They go back like 40 years.
	22	THE WITNESS: It was I I know that I		22	Q Was Mr. Carroll at or affiliated in some way
	23	had not access to it.		23	with Integrated Administration?
	24	BY MR. WALKER:		24	A I think he worked there at the time.
13:41:29	25	Q But there were times when Mr. Jam pointed out	13:43:47	25	Q How did Mr. Bergstein first meet Mr. Carroll?
		Page 111			Page 113
13:41:32	1	that the balance in the Pineboard Holdings account was	13:43:52		A They go back to New Jersey. They're all Jersey
	2	low and asked for you to move some money into it?		2	boys. I think they are like when they were like 16
	3	A Not move some money. We I mean as far		3	or 17.
	4	and I this is just very vaguely. I think I put some,		4	Q Did Mr. Carroll also work out of the same
13:41:43	5	like like 50 or \$100 cash in there.	13:44:03		office with you and Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein at this
	6	Q Now, looking		6	time?
	7	A As far as I recollect.		7	A I I don't I really don't remember because
	8	Q Looking at the wire transfers that are		8	he lived far away. So I don't know if he came there
10 41 57	9	mentioned in your e-mails having come out of Pineboard	1 2 4 4 0 0	9	every day.
13:41:57	10	Holdings LLC, one is for \$500,000 from Citizens to	13:44:20		Q But he lived here in California?
	11	Citizens Bank; correct?		11	A In I think, at the time, in Hermosa Beach.
	12	A That's what is says, yes.		12	I think.
	13	Q And it was a credit to General Health		13	Q That's quite a drive, isn't it?
13:42:09	14 15	Technologies LLC? A Correct.	12.44.24	14	A I wouldn't drive.
13:42:09			13:44:34		Q And then going to the next page, let's go to
	16 17	Q Okay. What was the purpose of that payment?  A I don't know.		16 17	I guess it would be the second page of this exhibit.
	18				Mr. Zarrinkelk asks you to provide him the address for the bank and the recipient on the third
	19	· ·		18 19	wire; correct?
13:42:20	20		13.44.57		A Wh where? This one?
13.42.20	21		13:44:57	21	
	22	that information and to convey it to Mr. Zarrinkelk if		22	Q Yeah. The second page of 480 of the exhibit itself.
	23	you were not the person that arranged for the wire transfer?		23	A Right. I'm I'm reading the e-mail.
	24	A Those are wire transfer instructions.		23	
13:42:35	25	Q Yes, ma'am.	13:45:14		Q Yes, ma'am. A 12/24?
		<u> </u>		23	. L . L

		Page 114			Page 116
13:45:16	1	Q Yes, ma'am.	13:47:21	1	A Correct. Yes.
	2	A And what what what am I supposed to		2	Q Okay. And then on the next page, 484, we see
	3	answer? I'm sorry.		3	the wire confirmation that you referenced as going to
	4	Q Okay. No, it's okay.		4	Donald Carroll at Integrated Administration; correct?
13:45:36	5	Have you had the opportunity to review that	13:47:36	5	A Yes.
	6	e-mail, ma'am?		6	Q Okay. But what your e-mail to Mr. Zarrinkelk
	7	A Yes, I have.		7	did not indicate was any information about this third
	8	Q Okay. Now, Mr. Zarrinkelk is asking you to		8	wire which is the last page of the exhibit which sent a
	9	provide him the address for the bank and recipient on		9	million dollars to Swartz IP Services Group; correct?
13:45:44	10	the third wire transfer; correct?	13:47:52	10	MR. WEICHERT: I'm sorry sorry, but there
	11	A That's what it says, yes.		11	are multiple e-mails in this exhibit and there seems to
	12	Q Okay. If you go back to the last few pages of		12	be at least one e-mail that refers to this wire.
	13	this exhibit, there is three wire confirmations;		13	THE WITNESS: But I think there is another
	14	correct?		14	e-mail here with just spoke with David and asked that he
13:45:59	15	A That's correct, yes.	13:48:06	15	arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard. I
20.10.03	16	Q Okay. So you provided them the information		16	think this is the one that you're referring to.
	17	about the first wire transfer confirmation on page 483		17	BY MR. WALKER:
	18	involving General Health Technologies; correct?		18	Q Yes, ma'am. We'll get to that.
	19	A Correct.		19	A Okay. All right.
13:46:13	20	Q Okay. You provided them the equivalent	13:48:11	20	Q But with respect to Mr. Zarrinkelk's e-mail
13.40.13	21	information to the wire that went to Integrated	13.40.11	21	saying, "Could you please send me the information abou
	22	Administration with the beneficiary of Donald Carroll		22	the third wire"
	23	that appears on Page 484; correct?		23	A Okay.
	24	A On on on the one to Integrated		24	Q the third wire he's referencing is the wire
13:46:33	25	Administration, I didn't put the address of the bank.	13:48:21	25	that's reflected on the last page of Exhibit 12 that was
10.10.00	20	Administration, I didn't put the address of the bank.	10.10.21	20	that s reflected on the last page of Exhibit 12 that was
		Page 115			Page 117
13:46:35	1	Doesn't appear here.	13:48:24	1	the 1-million-dollar wire confirmation to Swartz IP
	2	Q Well, okay, ma'am.		2	Services; correct?
	3	But the third wire that he's talking about is		3	A T1-41
				_	A That's correct, yes.
	4	the last page of Exhibit 12; correct?		4	Q Okay. Very good.
13:46:43	4 5	the last page of Exhibit 12; correct?  A That's Bank of America, yes.	13:48:32		· •
13:46:43			13:48:32	4	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going
13:46:43	5	A That's Bank of America, yes.	13:48:32	4 5	Q Okay. Very good.
13:46:43	5 6	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?	13:48:32	4 5 6	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that
13:46:43	5 6 7	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services	13:48:32	4 5 6 7	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also
13:46:43 13:46:55	5 6 7 8	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.	13:48:32	4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he
	5 6 7 8 9	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald		4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated
	5 6 7 8 9	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations		4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly?
13:46:55	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?	13:48:53	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says, yes.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So when he says "transfer between our own
13:46:55	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that	13:48:53	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. Very good.  So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and
13:46:55	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three	13:48:53	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to
13:46:55	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages	13:48:53	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly?  A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?
13:46:55 13:47:01	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages  A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes.	13:48:53 13:49:05	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
13:46:55	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A That's Bank of America, yes. Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct? A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said. Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations A Okay. Okay. Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay? A Okay. Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes. Q Okay. On page 483 down at the bottom, do you	13:48:53	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: What I thought back then?
13:46:55 13:47:01	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages  A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes.  Q Okay. On page 483 down at the bottom, do you see that?	13:48:53 13:49:05	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: What I thought back then? BY MR. WALKER:
13:46:55 13:47:01	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A That's Bank of America, yes.  Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct?  A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said.  Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations  A Okay. Okay.  Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages  A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes.  Q Okay. On page 483 down at the bottom, do you see that?  A Yes.	13:48:53 13:49:05	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: What I thought back then? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am.
13:46:55 13:47:01	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A That's Bank of America, yes. Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct? A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said. Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations A Okay. Okay. Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay? A Okay. Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes. Q Okay. On page 483 down at the bottom, do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. That is the wire that you had referenced	13:48:53 13:49:05	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: What I thought back then? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. What was your understanding as to who the "our"
13:46:55 13:47:01 13:47:09	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A That's Bank of America, yes. Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct? A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said. Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations A Okay. Okay. Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay? A Okay. Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes. Q Okay. On page 483 down at the bottom, do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. That is the wire that you had referenced in your e-mail to Mr. Zarrinkelk referencing General	13:48:53 13:49:05	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: What I thought back then? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. What was your understanding as to who the "our" was, as Mr. Zar Mr. Zarrinkelk is referring to it
13:46:55 13:47:01	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A That's Bank of America, yes. Q Okay. And that would be for Swartz IP Services group; correct? A This one, the 33 is to bank to Donald Carroll, you said. Q Yes, ma'am. I'm I'm looking at the the wire confirmations A Okay. Okay. Q at the very end of the exhibit. So let's just start over. Okay? A Okay. Q Okay. Do you see three wire confirmations that Mr. Zarrinkelk is referencing? They're the last three pages A Yes. I see it. I see it. Yes. Q Okay. On page 483 down at the bottom, do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. That is the wire that you had referenced	13:48:53 13:49:05	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Very good. So he also tells you on his April 19 going back now to the second page of the exhibit. He also tells you in his e-mail to you of April 19, 2012 that for future reference, Mr. Zarrinkelk had access to all the accounts, thus transfer between what he characterizes as "our own accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, can be done online without the need for wiring."  Did I read that correctly? A That's what it says, yes. Q So when he says "transfer between our own accounts," i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard, who did you understand the "our" to reference?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: What I thought back then? BY MR. WALKER: Q Yes, ma'am. What was your understanding as to who the "our"

		Page 118			Page 120
13:49:39	1	accounts, i.e., Integrated Administration and Pineboard	13:51:51	1	office address at this time?
	2	can be done online without the need for wiring."		2	A I don't think Graybox used that office address.
	3	When you received this at the time, who did you		3	I m may be totally wrong, but I don't remember
	4	understand the word "our" to be referring to?		4	but I don't recall.
13:49:50	5	A I don't know what I was thinking at the time.	13:52:07	5	Q And then you come back and you say in your nex
	6	I know what I was think now I would think that they		6	e-mail just a short time later although it appeared
	7	are on the same portfolio and that you can transfer in		7	to be a short time earlier, that 10:52 a.m., "Sorry.
	8	between accounts without paying for the wire transfers.		8	The name is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc. My
	9	But I don't know what I was thinking then.		9	apologies."
13:50:04	10	Q Okay.	13:52:26	10	Did I read that correctly?
13.30.04	11	MR. WEICHERT: Move to strike. The answer is	13.32.20	11	A Yes.
	12	speculation. No foundation.		12	Q So you were just correcting the name of Swartz
		•			
	13	BY MR. WALKER:		13	IP Group Services, Inc. in your previous e-mail to
10 50 11	14	Q And Mr. Zarrinkelk is sending this e-mail to	10 50 00	14	Mr. Zarrinkelk; correct?
13:50:11	15	you on Thursday, April 19, 2012 along with not only you,	13:52:39	15	A That's what it appears to be, yes.
	16	but Mr. Jam; correct?		16	Q Do you know why the time stamp on that e-mail
	17	A And David Bergstein.		17	would show that it was earlier than the e-mail you had
	18	Q And David Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to		18	sent that you were correcting?
	19	Mr. Piskula; correct?		19	A I vaguely remember that there was an issue
13:50:23	20	A Yes.	13:52:58	20	with with there was a time issue with the Graybox.
	21	Q And there we see Mr. Piskula is using another		21	I I'm not sure, but I think there was something
	22	e-mail address, correct, 14spiskula@capitalfilms.us;		22	and because I asked people to correct it, but I
	23	correct?		23	think I think it was a computer problem with an an
	24	A That's correct.		24	hour delay, summertime, wintertime, I really but I
13:50:38	25	Q Now, going to the next e-mail in the thread	13:53:13	25	vaguely remember something but I couldn't confirm it.
		Page 119			Page 121
13:50:42	1		13:53:17	1	_
13:50:42	1 2	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see	13:53:17	1 2	Q Was that on the Graybox server?
13:50:42		which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and	13:53:17		Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail.
13:50:42	2	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?	13:53:17	2	<ul><li>Q Was that on the Graybox server?</li><li>A It was on the Graybox e-mail.</li><li>Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-ma</li></ul>
	2 3 4	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.		2 3 4	Q Was that on the Graybox server?  A It was on the Graybox e-mail.  Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server?
13:50:42 13:51:00	2 3 4 5	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David	13:53:17 13:53:31	2 3 4 5	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry.
	2 3 4 5 6	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire		2 3 4 5 6	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al
	2 3 4 5 6 7	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he
13:51:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to	13:53:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp
13:51:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.	13:53:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the
13:51:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the	13:53:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded
13:51:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the	13:53:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct?
13:51:00 13:51:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?	13:53:31 13:53:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct.
13:51:00 13:51:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.	13:53:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue
13:51:00 13:51:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where	13:53:31 13:53:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your
13:51:00 13:51:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?	13:53:31 13:53:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that.
13:51:00 13:51:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.	13:53:31 13:53:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Al the wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this
13:51:00 13:51:13 13:51:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.  Q So Mr. Jam worked there at this date and time;	13:53:31 13:53:47 13:54:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this reflect your communication back to Mr. Zarrinkelk
13:51:00 13:51:13 13:51:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.  Q So Mr. Jam worked there at this date and time; correct?	13:53:31 13:53:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this reflect your communication back to Mr. Zarrinkelk thanking him; correct?
13:51:00 13:51:13 13:51:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.  Q So Mr. Jam worked there at this date and time; correct?  A Well, he was there.	13:53:31 13:53:47 13:54:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this reflect your communication back to Mr. Zarrinkelk
13:51:00 13:51:13 13:51:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.  Q So Mr. Jam worked there at this date and time; correct?  A Well, he was there.  Q That's where he worked, wasn't it, ma'am? That	13:53:31 13:53:47 13:54:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at the bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this reflect your communication back to Mr. Zarrinkelk thanking him; correct?
13:51:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.  Q So Mr. Jam worked there at this date and time; correct?  A Well, he was there.	13:53:31 13:53:47 13:54:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at th bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this reflect your communication back to Mr. Zarrinkelk thanking him; correct? A Yes.
13:51:00 13:51:13 13:51:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which starts at the bottom of the first page, do you see the e-mail from you to Mr. Zarrinkelk, Mr. Jam, and Mr. Bergstein on April 19, 2012 at 12:48 p.m.?  A Yes.  Q And you say, "Dear Majid, just spoke with David and he asked that you arrange for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings LLC"; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q And that was a million-dollar wire transfer to Swartz IP Group Services, Inc.; correct?  A That's correct.  Q And the address listed for Swartz IP was the very same office address where you were working at the time; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q That was also the same office address where Mr. Jam was working at the time; correct?  A We all worked from this office at the time.  Q So Mr. Jam worked there at this date and time; correct?  A Well, he was there.  Q That's where he worked, wasn't it, ma'am? That	13:53:31 13:53:47 13:54:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Was that on the Graybox server? A It was on the Graybox e-mail. Q Okay. Did you run your grayboxllc.com e-mathrough the Graybox server? A I don't have a clue. I'm sorry. Q And then Mr. Zarrinkelk responds to you, "Althe wire's gone here, confirmations"; correct? A Correct. And I think right. That's what he says. Q And again his, e-mail also has a time stamp that would suggest it was earlier than the e-mail at th bottom of the first page of Exhibit 15 that preceded both of them; correct? A That's correct. Q And and it's just there was some issue with the time stamp on your A I vaguely remember something like that. Q Okay. And this is the very Exhibit 16, this reflect your communication back to Mr. Zarrinkelk thanking him; correct? A Yes. (Exhibit 16 was marked for

		Page 122			Page 124
13:54:33	1	BY MR. WALKER:	13:57:02		Q Looking at the last page of Exhibit 17, did you
	2	Q Going to hand you, ma'am, what has been marked		2	affix Mr. Bergstein's signature stamp?
	3	as Exhibit 17.		3	A That's his signature stamp.
	4	(Exhibit 17 was marked for		4	Q Yes, ma'am.
13:54:41	5	identification by the Court Reporter	13:57:10	5	Did you put that on there?
	6	and is attached hereto.)		6	A I'm pretty sure, yes.
	7	BY MR. WALKER:		7	Q But you don't know who actually drafted that
	8	Q And ask you if the first page of Exhibit 17		8	document?
	9	begins with an e-mail from you to Keith Welner at		9	A No.
13:54:59	10	westoncapital.com?	13:57:20	10	Q You don't know the source of the document at
	11	A Yes.		11	all?
	12	Q It shows a courtesy copy to David Bergstein;		12	A No.
	13	correct?		13	Q Did you ever see those bylaws amended?
	14	A Yes.		14	A I don't even remember that there were this
13:55:05	15	Q And the subject is "Swartz IP Services Group,	13:57:32	15	is the first time that I mean, I I looked at them.
	16	Inc."?		16	Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
	17	A That's correct.		17	Exhibit 18, ma'am.
	18	Q Who was Keith Welner, as you understood it?		18	(Exhibit 18 was marked for
	19	A At the time, I didn't understand it at all.		19	identification by the Court Reporter
13:55:18	20	Later on I found out that he was working for Weston	13:57:40	20	and is attached hereto.)
	21	Capital.		21	BY MR. WALKER:
	22	Q What was Weston Capital's relationship to any		22	Q Now, this is an e-mail dated April 27, 2012
	23	of Mr. Bergstein's or Mr. Jam's companies?		23	from you to Kia Jam; correct?
	24	A I have no idea.		24	A Correct.
13:55:31	25	MR. WEICHERT: Compound.	13:57:52	25	Q And you've provided a courtesy copy to David
		Page 123			Page 125
13:55:32	1				
	T	BY MR. WALKER:	13:57:55	1	Bergstein and Steve Piskula; correct?
	2	BY MR. WALKER:  Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's	13:57:55	1 2	Bergstein and Steve Piskula; correct?  A Correct.
		Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's	13:57:55		-
-0.00.02	2		13:57:55	2	A Correct.
	2	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's	13:57:55 13:58:03	2	A Correct.  Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA";
	2 3 4	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?		2 3 4	A Correct.  Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct?  A That's that it says.
	2 3 4 5	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea.		2 3 4 5	A Correct.  Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct?
	2 3 4 5 6	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea.  Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's		2 3 4 5	A Correct.  Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct?  A That's that it says.  Q And that would be Integrated Administration?  A I would assume so, yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea.		2 3 4 5 6 7	A Correct.  Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct?  A That's that it says.  Q And that would be Integrated Administration?
13:55:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the
13:55:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know.	13:58:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions."
13:55:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in	13:58:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct?
13:55:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that.	13:58:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh.
13:55:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently	13:58:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Correct.  Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct?  A That's that it says.  Q And that would be Integrated Administration?  A I would assume so, yes.  Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions."  And then you have your initial F; correct?  A Uh-huh.  Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of
13:55:43 13:55:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal	13:58:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance?
13:55:43 13:55:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea.
13:55:43 13:55:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group?	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular
13:55:43 13:55:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group? A All I know is that he was convicted and that	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product?
13:55:43 13:55:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group? A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft.	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No.
13:55:43 13:55:54 13:56:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group? A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft. Q Now, with respect to Exhibit 17, you're	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No. Q And as I understand it, you were conveying
13:55:43 13:55:54 13:56:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea.  Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A I wouldn't know.  Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud?  A I did not know that.  Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group?  A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft.  Q Now, with respect to Exhibit 17, you're forwarding Mr. Welner bylaws for Swartz IP Services	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No. Q And as I understand it, you were conveying Mr. Bergstein's request that Kia Jam wire \$12,000 out or
13:55:43 13:55:54 13:56:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea.  Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies?  A I wouldn't know.  Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud?  A I did not know that.  Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group?  A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft.  Q Now, with respect to Exhibit 17, you're forwarding Mr. Welner bylaws for Swartz IP Services Group; correct?	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No. Q And as I understand it, you were conveying Mr. Bergstein's request that Kia Jam wire \$12,000 out of Integrated Administration's account to Phoenix Life
13:55:43 13:55:54 13:56:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group? A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft. Q Now, with respect to Exhibit 17, you're forwarding Mr. Welner bylaws for Swartz IP Services Group; correct? A Yes.	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No. Q And as I understand it, you were conveying Mr. Bergstein's request that Kia Jam wire \$12,000 out of Integrated Administration's account to Phoenix Life Insurance?
13:55:43 13:55:54 13:56:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group? A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft. Q Now, with respect to Exhibit 17, you're forwarding Mr. Welner bylaws for Swartz IP Services Group; correct? A Yes. Q Where did you get that document?	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No. Q And as I understand it, you were conveying Mr. Bergstein's request that Kia Jam wire \$12,000 out of Integrated Administration's account to Phoenix Life Insurance? MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Assumes facts not in the subject of the subject in the subject
13:55:43 13:55:54 13:56:12 13:56:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q What was what was Mr. Wellner's relationship well, let me ask you this. What was the relationship of Weston Capital to any of Mr. Jam's companies?  A I wouldn't have any idea. Q What about with respect to Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I wouldn't know. Q Were you aware that Mr. Wellner had admitted in federal court that Swartz IP Services was a fraud? A I did not know that. Q Were you aware that Mr. Bergstein was recently convicted and is sentenced to eight years in federal prison in part for the transactions involving Swartz IP Services Group? A All I know is that he was convicted and that he's now in Taft. Q Now, with respect to Exhibit 17, you're forwarding Mr. Welner bylaws for Swartz IP Services Group; correct? A Yes.	13:58:03 13:58:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Correct. Q And the subject is "Wire Transfer Out of IA"; correct? A That's that it says. Q And that would be Integrated Administration? A I would assume so, yes. Q And you say, "Hi, Kia. Per David's request, a wire needs to be sent to Phoenix Life Insurance in the amount of \$12,000. I gave Steve the instructions." And then you have your initial F; correct? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. What was the purpose of that wire of \$12,000 to Phoenix Life Insurance? A I have no idea. Q Do you know who was insured by that particular life insurance product? A No. Q And as I understand it, you were conveying Mr. Bergstein's request that Kia Jam wire \$12,000 out of Integrated Administration's account to Phoenix Life

		Page 126			Page 128
13:58:59	1	BY MR. WALKER:	14:01:22	1	formed in Delaware?
	2	Q That's effectively what it says, does it not?		2	MR. WEICHERT: Objection. A fact assumes
	3	A That's what it says, yes.		3	facts not in evidence. Calls for a conclusion.
	4	Q Was that was that money actually sent, to		4	BY MR. WALKER:
13:59:09	5	your knowledge?	14:01:30	5	Q Let me let me restate the question, ma'am.
	6	A I don't know.		6	This list of entities are all companies that
	7	Q Let me hand you what's been marked as		7	have been incorporated in the State of Delaware;
	8	Exhibit 19, ma'am.		8	correct?
	9	(Exhibit 19 was marked for		9	MR. WEICHERT: Same objections.
13:59:16	10	identification by the Court Reporter	14:01:39	10	THE WITNESS: Can I answer?
	11	and is attached hereto.)		11	BY MR. WALKER:
	12	BY MR. WALKER:		12	Q Yes, ma'am.
	13	Q Okay. Now, we go to the very bottom of the		13	A I think I think so. I'm not quite sure
	14	first page of Exhibit 19.		14	about Sovrin Health Systems. I'm not sure about that
13:59:36	15	We see the top of an e-mail from you to Kia Jam	14:01:55	15	one.
13.33.30	16	and David Bergstein dated January 2, 2013; correct?	11.01.00	16	Q Okay. And you note that there were more
	17	A Yes.		17	notices to come shortly; correct?
	18	Q Okay. Looking at the second page, your e-mail		18	A Yes, because this is the time when you have to
	19	actually references as the subject "Delaware Entities		19	do the filings for the incs.
13:59:54	20	Annual Franchise Taxes"; correct?	14:02:08	20	Q Yes, ma'am.
13.33.34	21	A That's correct.	14.02.00	21	For the for the corporations?
	22	Q And you say, "Kia and David, please be aware		22	A For the corporations, yes. The LLCs, I think,
	23			23	in June, something like that. June.
	24	that the annual franchise tax bills are coming up. The		24	
14:00:08	25	basic fee is \$250 per entity as long as we pay prior to	14:02:19		Q Now, what was the nature of the business as you
14.00.00	23	March 1, 2013 (we have about 30 entities)."	14.02.13	25	understood it for Swartz Technology Group, Inc.?
		Page 127			Page 129
14:00:12	1	Did read that correctly?	14:02:23	1	A I don't know.
	2	A Yes.		2	Q Was that a company that was separate and apart
	3	Q And Kia Jam responds to you there toward the		3	from Swartz IP Services, Inc.?
	4	bottom of the first page on January 2, 2013 and asks you		4	MR. WEICHERT: Objection. No foundation.
14:00:27	5	to send a list of the entities; correct?	14:02:32	5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
	6	A That's what it says.		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	Q And he notes there that his title is CEO and		7	Q And why are you unsure about Sovrin Health
	8	1 677 1 14 15 40			
	0	producer of K.Jam Media; correct?		8	Systems, Inc.? What do you mean by that?
	9	A That's what it says.		8 9	Systems, Inc.? What do you mean by that?  A I somehow thought that it was formed in
14:00:39		A That's what it says.	14:02:45		
14:00:39	9	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado,	14:02:45	9	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really
14:00:39	9	A That's what it says.	14:02:45	9	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity.
14:00:39	9 10 11	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?	14:02:45	9 10 11	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really
14:00:39	9 10 11 12	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado,  Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa	14:02:45	9 10 11 12	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity.  Q Let me hand you what has been marked as
14:00:39	9 10 11 12 13	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.	14:02:45	9 10 11 12 13	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity.  Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for
	9 10 11 12 13 14	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado,  Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa  Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And that was the same address were you worked?		9 10 11 12 13 14	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And that was the same address were you worked?  A That's where I was at the time, yes.		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity.  Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And that was the same address were you worked?  A That's where I was at the time, yes.  Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And that was the same address were you worked?  A That's where I was at the time, yes.  Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you
14:00:50	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's what it says. Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address? A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica. Q Yes, ma'am. And that was the same address were you worked? A That's where I was at the time, yes. Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct?	13:59:16	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And that was the same address were you worked?  A That's where I was at the time, yes.  Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct?  A Correct.		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list under the subject of "Delaware Entities Annual Franchis
14:00:50	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's what it says. Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address? A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica. Q Yes, ma'am. And that was the same address were you worked? A That's where I was at the time, yes. Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct? A Correct. Q And you state, "So far, we have received	13:59:16	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list under the subject of "Delaware Entities Annual Franchis Taxes."
14:00:50	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's what it says.  Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address?  A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica.  Q Yes, ma'am.  And that was the same address were you worked?  A That's where I was at the time, yes.  Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct?  A Correct.  Q And you state, "So far, we have received notices for," and then you provide a list of entities;	13:59:16	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20.  (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list under the subject of "Delaware Entities Annual Franchis Taxes." But here in Exhibit 20, you're providing an
14:00:50	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A That's what it says. Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address? A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica. Q Yes, ma'am. And that was the same address were you worked? A That's where I was at the time, yes. Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct? A Correct. Q And you state, "So far, we have received notices for," and then you provide a list of entities; correct?	13:59:16	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20. (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list under the subject of "Delaware Entities Annual Franchis Taxes."  But here in Exhibit 20, you're providing an e-mail to Mr. Jam along with a copy to Dave Bergstein
14:00:50 14:01:07	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A That's what it says. Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address? A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica. Q Yes, ma'am. And that was the same address were you worked? A That's where I was at the time, yes. Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct? A Correct. Q And you state, "So far, we have received notices for," and then you provide a list of entities; correct? A Yes.	13:59:16 14:03:24	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20. (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list under the subject of "Delaware Entities Annual Franchis Taxes." But here in Exhibit 20, you're providing an e-mail to Mr. Jam along with a copy to Dave Bergstein and the subject matter is "List of Corporations";
14:00:50	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A That's what it says. Q And it also shows the same 2425 Colorado, Suite B-205, Santa Monica, California address? A That's what the address in in in Santa Monica. Q Yes, ma'am. And that was the same address were you worked? A That's where I was at the time, yes. Q And you responded to Mr. Jam and to Mr. Bergstein, with a courtesy copy to Steve Piskula, on January 2, 2013 at 3:45 p.m.; correct? A Correct. Q And you state, "So far, we have received notices for," and then you provide a list of entities; correct?	13:59:16	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I somehow thought that it was formed in California. I don't know why. But I'm I I really don't know. But I thought it was a California entity. Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 20. (Exhibit 20 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q Now, as we saw in Exhibit 19, Kia Jam asked you for a list of the entities and you provided that list under the subject of "Delaware Entities Annual Franchis Taxes."  But here in Exhibit 20, you're providing an e-mail to Mr. Jam along with a copy to Dave Bergstein

14:03:50 1 A Uh-huh. 14:05:59 1 Q Thank yo	Page 132
2 O And say "Kin here is the requested list of 2 And then	ou, ma'am.
2 Q And say, Kia, here is the requested list of [ 2 And then	we go to Integrated Administration
3 corporations," and that's your initial that follows; 3 farther down th	e list, and there is a question mark
4 correct? 4 there as to the r	responsible party; correct?
14:03:56 5 A Yes. 14:06:08 5 A Yes.	
6 Q So what specific list of corporations did 6 Q But Integ	grated Administration was one of
7 Mr. Jam request of you? 7 Mr. Jam's comp	panies; correct?
8 A I was asked by so many times to to to 8 A When I -	-
9 give lists of I wouldn't I really don't remember. 9 MR. WEI	CHERT: Calls for a conclusion. No
14:04:19 10 People ask for something and then I'm I really don't 14:06:16 10 foundation.	
11 remember this one. 11 THE WIT	NESS: Sorry.
12 Q And I take it that as we see in Exhibit 20, if 12 When I re	fer to a responsible party, I was
13 Mr. Jam asked you for a list of certain corporations, 13 kind I the v	way I see it, I usually refer to the
you complied with that request? 14 EIN numbers.	
14:04:36 15 MR. WEICHERT: Objection. The question the 14:06:26 15 BY MR. WALI	KER:
16 question is argumentative. Overbroad. 16 Q Yes, ma'	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nd since I don't think that I have
	EIN number for Integrated Administration,
	a question mark on it.
	ust didn't have that information?
	apply for the EIN number online.
	done through someone else by someone
And here we see an instance where Mr. Jam asked 23 else at Mr. Jam	_
	CHERT: Objection. Calls for
14:04:56 25 complied with that request; correct? 14:06:46 25 speculation.	
Page 131	Page 133
14:04:57 1 A Yes Liust don't know which entities why 14:06:49 1 THE WI	TNESS: I I would not know.
14:04:57 1 A Yes. I just don't know which entities why   14:06:49 1 THE WI	TNESS. 1 I WOULD HOLKHOW.
14:04:57 1 A Yes. I just don't know which entities why 14:06:49 1 THE WI 2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL	
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL	
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q Fair enough. 3 Q You jus	KER:
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q Fair enough. 3 Q You jus 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 4 A I know	.KER: t know that you didn't do it?
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q Fair enough. 3 Q You jus 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 14:06:54 5 Q And Int	KER: tt know that you didn't do it? that I didn't do it, yes.
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q Fair enough. 3 Q You jus 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 6 A I would assume so, yes. 6 that you did not	KER:  It know that you didn't do it?  It didn't do it, yes.  It didn't do it, was a company
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q Fair enough. 3 Q You jus 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 6 A I would assume so, yes. 6 that you did not	KER:  It know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company  of have access to the bank account?
2 I'd listed these entities. 2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q Fair enough. 3 Q You jus 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 6 A I would assume so, yes. 6 that you did not 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 7 A Not to the state of the state o	KER:  tt know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company  tt have access to the bank account?  he bank account, not to anything, no.
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 2 BY MR. WAL 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:06:	KER:  It know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  ime.  Id that change with respect to Integrated
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 BY MR. WAL 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:07:05 10 Q Okay. 14:07:05 10 Q When d 14:07:05 10 Administration	EKER:  It know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  ime.  tid that change with respect to Integrated in?
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California?  2 BY MR. WAL 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:07:05 10 Q And Int 14:07:05 10 Q When d 14:07:05 10 Q When d 15:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:07:	KER:  It know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company  of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  ime.  tid that change with respect to Integrated in?  o say when discovery was done for
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 2 BY MR. WAL 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:06:54 5 Q An	KER:  that I didn't do it, yes. egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account? he bank account, not to anything, no.  ime. id that change with respect to Integrated 1? o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it  2 BY MR. WAL 3 Q You jus 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 15:06:54 5 Q And Int 16:06:54 5 Q	EKER:  It know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company  of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  ime.  did that change with respect to Integrated  of say when discovery was done for  when the when the lawsuit was filed  of the
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC?  15 BY MR. WAL  3 Q You jus 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 4 A Not to t 6 that you did not 6 A Not to t 7 A Not to t 7 A Not to t 7 A Not to t 8 Q Okay. 9 Inc."; correct? 9 A At the t 14:07:05 10 Q When d 15 A I want t 16 A I want t 17 Administration 18 A Correct. 19 A I want t 19 A I want	tkER:  tt know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  ime.  did that change with respect to Integrated 1?  o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in as filed. I would say 2000. And then
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC? 16 A And that's correct too, yes. 16 BY MR. WAL  3 Q You jus 4 A I know 14:06:54 5 Q And Int 14:07:06:54 5 Q And 14:07:06:54 5	tkER:  tt know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  time.  tid that change with respect to Integrated 11?  to say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed. I would say 2000. And then  to lists of of I I remember myself
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC? 16 A And that's correct too, yes. 17 Q Okay. Working down the list, we see "CAC" 18 BY MR. WAL 3 Q You jus 4 A I know 4 A I know 4 A I know 6 that you did not 7 A Not to t 7 A Not to t 7 A Not to t 8 Q Okay. 9 Inc."; correct? 9 A At the t 14:07:05 10 Q When d 15 A I want t 16 A I want t 17 A Roccorrect too, yes. 16 We we made	tkER:  tt know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  time.  tid that change with respect to Integrated of?  o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in  as filed. I would say 2000. And then the lists of of I I remember myself  f, for example, who was employed by IA,
2   I'd listed these entities.   2   BY MR. WAL   3   Q   You jus   Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that   4   A   I know   14:05:07   5   you created, I presume, to comply with his request?   14:06:54   5   Q   And Int   4   Volume   4   A   I know   14:05:07   5   Q   And Int   4   A   I know   A   I k	tkER:  tt know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  time.  tid that change with respect to Integrated on?  o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in  as filed. I would say 2000. And then the lists of of I I remember myself  f, for example, who was employed by IA,  e on the on the payroll. And I think
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC? 16 A And that's correct too, yes. 17 Q Okay. Working down the list, we see "CAC 18 Group, Inc."; correct? 19 A That's when I making lists of that when I making lists of the making lists of that when I making lists of the making lists of	tkER:  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  time.  tid that change with respect to Integrated an?  o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in as filed. I would say 2000. And then to lists of of I I remember myself of for example, who was employed by IA, en on the on the payroll. And I think may have seen something.
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC? 16 A And that's correct too, yes. 17 Q Okay. Working down the list, we see "CAC Group, Inc."; correct? 18 Group, Inc."; correct? 19 A That's correct. 19 When down against when	tkER:  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  time.  tid that change with respect to Integrated an?  o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in  as filed. I would say 2000. And then the lists of of I I remember myself  f, for example, who was employed by IA,  e on the on the payroll. And I think
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 6 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 9 A A the televation of the sound and the services in Santa Monica, 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC? 16 A And that's correct too, yes. 17 Q Okay. Working down the list, we see "CAC Group, Inc."; correct? 18 Group, Inc."; correct? 19 A That's correct. 19 A That's correct. 19 A That's correct. 19 A That's correct. 19 That's when I in that's when I in Inc.; correct? 20 Q And the responsible party there is K.Jam Media, Inc.; correct? 21 referencing?	tkER:  that I didn't do it, yes. egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account? he bank account, not to anything, no.  ime. id that change with respect to Integrated 1? o say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in as filed. I would say 2000. And then elists of of I I remember myself f, for example, who was employed by IA, it is on the on the payroll. And I think may have seen something.
2	EXER:  It know that you didn't do it?  that I didn't do it, yes.  egrated Administration was a company  of have access to the bank account?  the bank account, not to anything, no.  ime.  did that change with respect to Integrated  of say when discovery was done for  when the when the lawsuit was filed  of the lawsuit was filed in in  as filed. I would say 2000. And then  edists of of I I remember myself  of, for example, who was employed by IA,  the on the on the payroll. And I think  that have seen something.  the the there was so many at that
2	tkER:  It know that you didn't do it?  It that I didn't do it, yes.  It grated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account?  In the bank account, not to anything, no.  It ime.  It that change with respect to Integrated on?  It is say when discovery was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed on the lawsuit was filed in in  It is filed. I would say 2000. And then the lists of of I I remember myself  If, for example, who was employed by IA, is e on the on the payroll. And I think may have seen something.  It is not the what lawsuit are you  It is the the there was so many at that one of the Wimbledon. I can only assume.
2 I'd listed these entities. 3 Q Fair enough. 4 Now, this is another spreadsheet of sorts that 14:05:07 5 you created, I presume, to comply with his request? 4 A I would assume so, yes. 7 Q And at the very top we see "Advisory IP 8 Services, Inc., formerly Swartz IP Services Group, 9 Inc."; correct? 14:05:20 10 A That's correct, yes. 11 Q And it shows an address in Santa Monica, 12 California? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And the responsible party in this list shows it 14:05:30 15 to be Graybox LLC? 16 A And that's correct too, yes. 17 Q Okay. Working down the list, we see "CAC 18 Group, Inc."; correct? 19 A That's correct. 19 A That's correct. 19 A That's correct. 19 A That's correct. 19 California, It when we we made making lists of the group, Inc."; correct? 19 A That's correct. 20 Q And the responsible party there is K.Jam Media, 21 Inc.; correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q And that's Mr. Jam's company, I just know 24 Q One of the correct of the correct of the correct of the correct of time. One — of time. One — of time.	tkER:  that I didn't do it, yes. egrated Administration was a company of have access to the bank account? he bank account, not to anything, no.  time.  tid that change with respect to Integrated of the company was done for when the when the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in the lawsuit was filed in in as filed. I would say 2000. And then the lists of of I I remember myself of the for example, who was employed by IA, the on the on the payroll. And I think may have seen something.  The company that is the company that is the company that the the there was so many at that

		Page 134			Page 136
14:07:56	1	Q Wimbledon?	14:10:08	1	Workz, Inc.?
	2	A Yes, it was. It was always at Wimbledon.		2	A They did IT services and phone services and
	3	Q Okay. Okay. And and what year was that,		3	and I would say various consulting regarding tech
	4	roughly, do you recall?		4	technical computer.
14:08:14	5	A Maybe 2014, but I'm not sure. I'm really not	14:10:21	5	Q Okay. And was that a company that Don Carroll
	6	sure. I don't want to this is just very vague.		6	was affiliated with, obviously?
	7	Q Yes, ma'am.		7	A I think IT Workz, Inc., I'm pretty sure that
	8	Now, going up a couple of companies, there is a		8	was his company.
	9	Global Services Group.		9	Q Okay. And then K.Jam Aviation, Inc. is the
14:08:27	10	Do you see that?	14:10:36	10	next company down and the responsible party listed there
	11	A I do.		11	is Kia Jim; correct?
	12	Q Was Freddie Wilson, the person designated as a		12	A Correct, yes.
	13	responsible party, was he working in one of Mr. Jam's		13	Q And likewise, M2 Aircraft Group Inc., a Nevada
	14	companies?		14	corporation, also lists Kia Jam as a responsible party;
14:08:36	15	A Freddie Wilson was this company did security	14:10:52	15	correct?
	16	pu services. They provided se security.		16	A That's what it says, yes.
	17	Q Okay. So did Mr. Bergstein or Mr. Jam have		17	Q And then the next company down, it's K.Jam
	18	some ownership interest in that company?		18	Media, Inc., certainly one affiliated with Kia Jam;
	19	A I wouldn't know.		19	correct?
14:08:58	20	Q Going back down, below Integrated	14:11:02	20	A Well, when you see a responsible party, it's
	21	Administration, do you see Iskra Enterprises, LLC?		21	the entity under which we would have applied for an EIN
	22	A Yes.		22	number.
	23	Q And there, Don Carroll, the individual you		23	Q Yes, ma'am.
	24	identified as being affiliated with Integrated		24	But K.Jam Media, certainly, you understood to
14:09:12		Administration, he's listed as a responsible party.	14:11:14	25	be affiliated with Kia Jam, though?
					Dago 127
14 00 14	1	_	14.11.14	1	_
14:09:14	1	Do you see that?	14:11:14	1	A That's the way I understood it, yes.
14:09:14	2	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the	14:11:14	2	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few
14:09:14	2	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?	14:11:14	2	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?
	2 3 4	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.		2 3 4	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.
	2 3 4 5	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a	14:11:14	2 3 4 5	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.;
	2 3 4 5 6	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra		2 3 4 5	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?
14:09:14 14:09:20	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?		2 3 4 5 6 7	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed
14:09:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with	14:11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number.
14:09:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.	14:11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number.  Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health
14:09:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a	14:11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number.  Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the
14:09:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?	14:11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number.  Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party?
14:09:20 14:09:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN	14:11:30 14:11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number.  Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party?  A No.
14:09:20 14:09:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.	14:11:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A That's the way I understood it, yes.  Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes.  Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that.  Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number.  Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party?  A No.  Q So this would appear to be a group of companies
14:09:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.	14:11:30 14:11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were
14:09:20 14:09:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am. Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC? A Yes. Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam? A I don't think so. Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party? A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. A I'm really not sure.	14:11:30 14:11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct?
14:09:20 14:09:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?	14:11:30 14:11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct? MR. WEICHERT: Question is vague and ambiguous.
14:09:20 14:09:31 14:09:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?  A Yes.	14:11:30 14:11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct?
14:09:20 14:09:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?	14:11:30 14:11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct? MR. WEICHERT: Question is vague and ambiguous
14:09:20 14:09:31 14:09:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?  A Yes.	14:11:30 14:11:44 14:11:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct? MR. WEICHERT: Question is vague and ambiguous Overbroad. Calls for a conclusion. No foundation.
14:09:20 14:09:31 14:09:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?  A Yes.  Q Also lists Don Carroll as the responsible	14:11:30 14:11:44 14:11:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct? MR. WEICHERT: Question is vague and ambiguous. Overbroad. Calls for a conclusion. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
14:09:20 14:09:31 14:09:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?  A Yes.  Q Also lists Don Carroll as the responsible party.  Was that a company that was affiliated in some fashion with Kia Jam?	14:11:30 14:11:44 14:11:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's the way I understood it, yes. Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct? A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct? A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there? A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party? A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct? MR. WEICHERT: Question is vague and ambiguous. Overbroad. Calls for a conclusion. No foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. Can you rephrase?
14:09:20 14:09:31 14:09:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you see that?  A Well I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?  Q Yes, ma'am.  Do you see where Don Carroll is listed as a responsible party for the company known as Iskra Enterprises, LLC?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Was that also a company affiliated with Kia Jam?  A I don't think so.  Q How was it that Mr. Carroll was listed as a responsible party?  A I can only assume that we applied for the EIN number with his Social Security, but I'm not sure.  Q Okay.  A I'm really not sure.  Q Also, the next company down, IT Workz, Inc.?  A Yes.  Q Also lists Don Carroll as the responsible party.  Was that a company that was affiliated in some	14:11:30 14:11:44 14:11:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And then Pineboard Holdings, Inc. is a few companies down from that; correct?  A Yes. Q And then we see Swartz Technology Group, Inc.; correct?  A Swartz Technology Group, Inc., yes, I see that. Q Okay. And there is no responsible party listed for Swartz Technology Group, Inc., is there?  A I guess then we didn't apply for an EIN number. Q Okay. Do you know why, under Sovrin Health Systems, Inc., K.Jam Media, Inc. is listed as the responsible party?  A No. Q So this would appear to be a group of companies that both Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam and Mr. Carroll were all involved with one way or the other; correct?  MR. WEICHERT: Question is vague and ambiguous. Overbroad. Calls for a conclusion. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. Can you rephrase? BY MR. WALKER:

		Page 138			Page 140
14:12:27	1	Is that a fair statement?	14:14:50	1	address on Colorado Boulevard?
	2	MR. WEICHERT: Same objections. Same		2	A I don't Carroll and I also go back 30 years,
	3	objections.		3	so, I mean it's like, I would would have just
	4	THE WITNESS: I don't know if I would say		4	asked him whatever I needed. So I met I met David
14:12:33	5	"interest." I would say "connected with."	14:15:05	5	Bergstein and Don Carroll at the same time so this was
	6	BY MR. WALKER:		6	more like a I need something, I called him. If I
	7	Q And you had access to certain corporate		7	needed IT services, I called him.
	8	information about each of these companies like their		8	So so he may have giv I cannot say with
	9	employer identification number, for example?		9	secure thing that whenever you see "a responsible
14:12:45	10	A Yes.	14:15:18	10	party," that I have applied for it. For example, I I
	11	Q You had information for certain of the		11	don't remember applying for Iskra Enterprises. I don't
	12	companies regarding the responsible party that was		12	remember it. But since he was the manager, I would
	13	listed as part of the EIN number filing?		13	I'd probably put that down. Does this
	14	A When I filed it, yes. Or when it was given to		14	Q And and as we sit here today, looking at
14:13:00	15	me and asked for something.	14:15:36	15	this chart doesn't reflect doesn't refresh your
	16	Q So we can I I take it, then, from your		16	recollection as to what all these companies were doing
	17	testimony that every company on this list for which a		17	in the same chart together?
	18	responsible party is listed is a company that you filed		18	A No.
	19	for the employer identification number for?		19	Q And it doesn't refresh your recollection as to
14:13:18	20	A I have to see this one. Or we did it as I	14:15:48	20	why Mr. Jam asked you to prepare that list?
	21	said before, if if let's just say okay. I'm		21	A No.
	22	I'm going to have to take an example here. Let's just		22	Q Let me hand you what's been marked as
	23	say K.Jam Media, Inc. filed online for a a a an		23	Exhibit 21, ma'am.
	24	EIN number. Let's just say like this.		24	(Exhibit 21 was marked for
14:13:42	25	Q Uh-huh.	13:57:40	25	identification by the Court Reporter
14 12 40	1	Page 139	12.57.40	1	Page 141
14:13:42	1	A You could not use the same entity and file	13:57:40	1	and is attached hereto.)
14:13:42	2	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.	13:57:40	2	and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER:
14:13:42	2	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a	13:57:40	2 3	and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q And ask you if you can identify that document.
	2 3 4	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile		2 3 4	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.
14:13:42 14:13:51	2 3 4 5	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.	13:57:40	2 3 4 5	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify
	2 3 4 5 6	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten		2 3 4 5	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?
	2 3 4 5 6 7	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.		2 3 4 5 6 7	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee
	2 3 4 5 6	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.		2 3 4 5	and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WALKER: Q And ask you if you can identify that document. A I'm ready. Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us? A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?
14:13:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.	14:16:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital
14:13:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.	14:16:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I
14:13:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some	14:16:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital
14:13:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.	14:16:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't
14:13:51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where	14:16:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that	14:16:34 14:16:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number;	14:16:34 14:16:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it. Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile Q Yes, ma'am. A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number. Q Okay. A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number. Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?	14:16:34 14:16:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it. Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.	14:16:34 14:16:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it. Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q Okay. So then can we take from your testimony	14:16:34 14:16:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the Wimbledon lawsuits that you had compiled a list of
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q Okay. So then can we take from your testimony that every entity for which you listed a responsible	14:16:34 14:16:50 14:17:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the Wimbledon lawsuits that you had compiled a list of Integrated Administration employees, is this that list?
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q Okay. So then can we take from your testimony that every entity for which you listed a responsible party is one for which you did apply for the EIN number?	14:16:34 14:16:50 14:17:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the Wimbledon lawsuits that you had compiled a list of Integrated Administration employees, is this that list?  A I don't think so. I think mine was more I
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it.  Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q Okay. So then can we take from your testimony that every entity for which you listed a responsible party is one for which you did apply for the EIN number?  A No, I cannot say that.	14:16:34 14:16:50 14:17:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the Wimbledon lawsuits that you had compiled a list of Integrated Administration employees, is this that list?  A I don't think so. I think mine was more I would have done an Excel spreadsheet.
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it. Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile Q Yes, ma'am. A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number. Q Okay. A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number. Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct? A That's the way I see it, yes. Q Okay. So then can we take from your testimony that every entity for which you listed a responsible party is one for which you did apply for the EIN number? A No, I cannot say that. Q How were you able to secure the information,	14:16:34 14:16:50 14:17:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the Wimbledon lawsuits that you had compiled a list of Integrated Administration employees, is this that list?  A I don't think so. I think mine was more I would have done an Excel spreadsheet.  Q Okay. Does this appear to be all the the
14:13:51 14:14:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A You could not use the same entity and file online for another entity. The IRS would not allow it. Then you would the only way to do it was would send a fax, a facsimile  Q Yes, ma'am.  A to the IRS and then within eight to ten days, they would reply via fax with the EIN number.  Q Okay.  A So when it says here "responsible party," I would say this is the part you applied for the EIN number.  Q Okay. Well, you testified earlier that on some of the companies like Integrated Administration where you didn't have a responsible party to list, that that was because you didn't apply for that EIN number; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q Okay. So then can we take from your testimony that every entity for which you listed a responsible party is one for which you did apply for the EIN number?  A No, I cannot say that.  Q How were you able to secure the information, then?	14:16:34 14:16:50 14:17:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And ask you if you can identify that document.  A I'm ready.  Q Does this well, could you please identify this document we've marked as Exhibit 21 for us?  A It says, "Integrated Administration employee list, 8/11 to 12/2013."  Q Okay. And is this a list that you created?  A I I don't think that I would use capital letters for everything. That's not my style. So, I mean, I I I I don't I wouldn't do it. So it it it I could have created it but I don't it I would usually not use everything capital letters.  Q Let me ask you this: You've referenced earlier in your testimony that in response to one of the Wimbledon lawsuits that you had compiled a list of Integrated Administration employees, is this that list?  A I don't think so. I think mine was more I would have done an Excel spreadsheet.  Q Okay. Does this appear to be all the the same number of names, for example, you put you had

			_		
		Page 142			Page 144
14:17:51	1	Integrated Administration employees that you had on your	14:20:37	1	A Of this list?
	2	list?		2	Q marked yes which was marked as
	3	A I would have to look at the list at the		3	Exhibit 20.
	4	other list, the one that I created.		4	MR. WIECHERT: Objection.
14:18:01	5	Q Do you know why David Bergstein is listed as an	14:20:50	5	MR. MCGONIGLE: I think the problem is we
	6	employee of Integrated Administration for that time		6	didn't really lay a foundation, which was Bergstein's
	7	period of August 2011 to December 2013?		7	companies or something else.
	8	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation. No		8	But you can answer if you just want to look
	9	foundation.		9	at the list and
14:18:17	10	THE WITNESS: He may have been on on IA's	14:21:01	10	THE WITNESS: I don't think any of these
	11	payroll at a certain time. He may have been. It's		11	entities had a payroll.
	12	possible.		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	Q Is that also true with respect to Donald		13	Q Okay. Okay. So let's let's go back to
	14	Carroll he is listed as No. 12 was he also an		14	Exhibit 20.
14:18:32	15	employee of Integrated Administration during this time	14:21:11	15	So it's your testimony that it was your
	16	period?		16	understanding that the list of companies on the second
	17	A I think so.		17	page of Exhibit 20 did not have a payroll and therefore
	18	Q Were you also listed there is No. 16. Let		18	had no actual employees.
	19	me ask you this way. It reflects that you were an		19	Is that a fair statement?
14:18:45	20	employee of Integrated Administration for the same time	14:21:34	20	A Integrated Administration had a payroll. This
	21	frame, there on No. 16, where you're listed.		21	is this is what we are talking here?
	22	Was that also true?		22	Q Yes, ma'am.
	23	A Yes, I was an employee.		23	A I vaguely remember that Global Services Grou
	24	Q Now, was Integrated Administration actually the		24	had a had a separate payroll.
14:18:58	25	company that appeared on your paycheck during this time	14:21:51	25	Q Okay. Anything else?
14:18:58	25	company that appeared on your paycheck during this time  Page 143	14:21:51	25	Q Okay. Anything else?  Page 145
	25		14:21:51 14:21:57	25	Page 14
		Page 143			
	1	Page 143 period?		1	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but
	1 2	Page 143 period? A I think so.		1 2	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but
14:19:01	1 2 3	Page 143  period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is		1 2 3	Page 14:  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh
14:19:01	1 2 3 4	Page 143  period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration;	14:21:57	1 2 3 4	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin
14:19:01	1 2 3 4 5	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?	14:21:57	1 2 3 4 5	Page 14  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh.
14:19:01	1 2 3 4 5 6	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.	14:21:57	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 145  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I
14:19:01	1 2 3 4 5 6	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated	14:21:57	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure.
14:19:01 14:19:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration?	14:21:57	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's
14:19:01 14:19:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration?  A I think so, but I don't remember filing a	14:21:57 14:22:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by
14:19:01 14:19:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration?  A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity.	14:21:57 14:22:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated?
14:19:01 14:19:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity.  MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as	14:21:57 14:22:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so.
14:19:01 14:19:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration?  A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity.  MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation.	14:21:57 14:22:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration?  A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity.  MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:	14:21:57 14:22:14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER:
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer.
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	period?  A I think so.  Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct?  A Correct, yes.  Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration?  A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity.  MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct?	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so.
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct? A Yes. We worked together a lot.	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so. Q Thank you.
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct? A Yes. We worked together a lot. Q Looking at this list of people, do you see any	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 14  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so. Q Thank you. Let me hand you what's been marked as
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct? A Yes. We worked together a lot. Q Looking at this list of people, do you see any names of people that at one time worked for any of	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so. Q Thank you. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 22.
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct? A Yes. We worked together a lot. Q Looking at this list of people, do you see any names of people that at one time worked for any of Mr. Bergstein's companies?	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so. Q Thank you. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 22. (Exhibit 22 was marked for
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct? A Yes. We worked together a lot. Q Looking at this list of people, do you see any names of people that at one time worked for any of Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I'm trying to think.	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 14.  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so. Q Thank you. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 22. (Exhibit 22 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
14:19:01 14:19:13 14:19:36 14:19:45	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	period?  A I think so. Q And then, of course, No. 25, Kiarash Jam is listed as an employee of Integrated Administration; correct? A Correct, yes. Q And was he the president and CEO of Integrated Administration? A I think so, but I don't remember filing a statement of information for this entity. MR. WIECHERT: Move to strike the answer as speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And then No. 38, Steven Piskula is also listed as an employee of Integrated Administration for the same time frame; correct? A Yes. We worked together a lot. Q Looking at this list of people, do you see any names of people that at one time worked for any of Mr. Bergstein's companies? A I'm trying to think. When you say "Mr. Bergstein's companies,"	14:21:57 14:22:14 14:22:27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 145  A And I want to say that Sovrin Health Systems or Sovrin I don't remember the name. I think, but I'm not sure, that Sovrin Health Systems, the people wh worked for Sovrin Q Uh-huh. A that they were on IA's payroll. I vaguely I think, but I'm not sure. Q Okay. So you believe that that Sovrin's anyone working at Sovrin were actually employed by Integrated? A I think so. MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation. BY MR. WALKER: Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead and restate your answer. A I think so. Q Thank you. Let me hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 22. (Exhibit 22 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)

		Page 146			Page 148
14:23:12	1	BY MR. WALKER:	14:25:46	1	Q Okay. If you could turn to the third page,
	2	Q Okay. So this document is entitled "Summary of		2	ma'am. The bottom number there is 000534.
	3	Entities"; correct?		3	A Yeah.
	4	A Correct.		4	Q Okay. Now, up at the top, we have Swartz IP
14:23:26	5	Q Do you know who prepared this document?	14:25:58	5	Services Group, Inc.; correct?
	6	A No. Wasn't me.		6	A Yes.
	7	Q Now, looking at the first entity mentioned,		7	Q It shows the type of entity as a Texas C
	8	Gion Funding Settlements, Inc.		8	corporation; correct?
	9	Do you see that?		9	A That's what it says.
14:23:40	10	A Yes.	14:26:05	10	Q It shows the date of incorporation was
	11	Q Okay. It has the date of incorporation on		11	December 2, 2010; correct?
	12	December 29, 2010; correct?		12	A Yes.
	13	A That's what it says, yes.		13	Q Okay. And it shows the secretary was likely
	14	Q It says, "The type of entity is a Delaware C		14	Aaron Grunfeld or Howard Apple; correct?
14:23:50	15	corporation"; correct?	14:26:16	15	A Yes.
	16	A That's what it says, yes.		16	Q And there at the bottom well, on Item No. 3,
	17	Q Okay. And it says, "The secretary is likely		17	it says let me back up just a little bit more.
	18	Aaron Grunfeld or Howard Apple"; correct?		18	If you're looking at "next steps" do you see
	19	A Correct.		19	that section?
14:24:04	20	Q Who was Mr. Apple?	14:26:34	20	A Next steps, yes.
	21	A I have no idea.		21	Q Okay.
	22	Q And there there is a list of next steps;		22	A What what subpoena are we talking now?
	23	correct?		23	Q Yes. Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.
	24	A Yes.		24	A Okay.
14:24:16	25	Q And under item 3, it says, "Frymi to obtain EIN	14:26:40	25	Q Under the "next steps," it says, "No. 2, Jeff
14 04 01	1	_	14 06 40	1	3
14:24:21	1	and make sure taxes and payments to VCorp (register	14:26:43	1 2	to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media and 1,000 shares to Owari Opus and appoint Kia president and David secretary
	2	agent) are paid," and then notes that was done; correct?		/.	
	- 3	A V II:			
	4	A Yes. I'm impressed.		3	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual
14 04 26	4	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says,	14.06.50	3 4	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."
14:24:36	5	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on	14:26:59	3 4 5	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?
14:24:36	5 6	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th."	14:26:59	3 4 5 6	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.
14:24:36	5 6 7	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th."  Did I read that correctly?	14:26:59	3 4 5 6 7	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is
14:24:36	5 6 7 8	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though.	14:26:59	3 4 5 6 7 8	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?
	5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though.  Q Fair enough.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
14:24:36	5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being	14:26:59	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though.  Q Fair enough.  And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.
14:24:49	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being	14:27:07	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would — I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.
14:24:49	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam.	14:27:07	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.
14:24:49	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you	14:27:07	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:
14:24:49	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though.  Q Fair enough.  And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff?  A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year.  Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there?  A Kia Jam.  Q And who do you unders- — who did you understand the David?	14:27:07	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to
14:24:49 14:25:10	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you understand the David? A David Bergstein.	14:27:07	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to
14:24:49	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you understand the David? A David Bergstein. Q Okay. And Majid, of course, is Mr. Zarrinkelk?	14:27:07	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to
14:24:49 14:25:10	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you understand the David? A David Bergstein.	14:27:07 14:27:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would — I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media," you understood that was Mr. Jam's company
14:24:49 14:25:10	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you understand the David? A David Bergstein. Q Okay. And Majid, of course, is Mr. Zarrinkelk?	14:27:07 14:27:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would — I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media," you understood that was Mr. Jam's company correct, K.Jam Media?
14:24:49 14:25:10	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you understand the David? A David Bergstein. Q Okay. And Majid, of course, is Mr. Zarrinkelk? A Yes.	14:27:07 14:27:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media," you understood that was Mr. Jam's company correct, K.Jam Media?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
14:24:49 14:25:10	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. And then there at the bottom, it says, "Jeff, Kia, and David to discuss taxes of Majid on Thursday, November 10th." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. It doesn't say the year, though. Q Fair enough. And who would you understand are being mentioned there? Who is Jeff? A Well, I would have to know what November 10th of which year. Q Okay. Who did you understand Kia is being referenced there? A Kia Jam. Q And who do you unders who did you understand the David? A David Bergstein. Q Okay. And Majid, of course, is Mr. Zarrinkelk? A Yes. Q And it states on the note, "Graybox owns Gion";	14:27:07 14:27:16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and take any other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings, et cetera."  Did I read that correctly?  A Yes.  Q And is it your understanding that Kia is referencing Kia Jam?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  Calls for speculation.  The witness did not prepare this document. She doesn't even indicate she knows who prepared this document.  MR. WALKER: And I would — I would again ask that counsel conform his objections to the rules.  MR. WIECHERT: That's the truth.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says that "Jeff to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media," you understood that was Mr. Jam's company correct, K.Jam Media?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.  This is the first day I understand she's seen

		Page 150			Page 152
14:27:46	1	now?	14:29:22	1	the author of a document she doesn't even know.
	2	BY MR. WALKER:		2	BY MR. WALKER:
	3	Q Yeah.		3	Q is that correct, ma'am?
	4	A I'm sorry.		4	A Can you repeat the question?
14:27:47	5	Q That's okay.	14:29:31	5	Q Yes, ma'am.
	6	A I'm a li I'm a little bit confused.		6	This document indicates that it was envisioned
	7	Q No, I understand. I understand why, too.		7	that Kia Jam would be appointed president of Swartz
	8	Okay. Let me let me just walk you through		8	Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.; correct?
	9	this Item No. 2, okay, under "next steps."		9	MR. WIECHERT: Objection. No foundation.
14:27:57	10	A Yeah.	14:29:45	10	Calls for speculation.
	11	Q Who did you understand Jeff to reference?		11	THE WITNESS: You want me to answer?
	12	A Well, that		12	MR. MCGONIGLE: You can answer. He's just
	13	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for excuse me, ma'am.		13	making
	14	THE WITNESS: Sorry.		14	THE WITNESS: Okay. All right.
14:28:02	15	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No	14:29:53	15	MR. MCGONIGLE: those objections.
	16	foundation.		16	THE WITNESS: That's what the document says,
	17	BY MR. WALKER:		17	yes.
	18	Q Who did you understand Jeff to reference there?		18	BY MR. WALKER:
	19	MR. WIECHERT: Same objections.		19	Q Okay. And then on Item No. 3, that next step
14:28:16	20	THE WITNESS: That's what I asked, which year	14:30:03	20	involved you obtaining the employer ID number and just
	21	we are referring to, because there were two Jeffs. One		21	to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp, the registered
	22	was Jeff Solomon and one is Jeff Kranzton.		22	agents were paid; correct?
	23	BY MR. WALKER:		23	A That's what it says.
	24	Q Okay. Fair enough. Thank you.		24	MR. WIECHERT: Objection.
14:28:28	25	And so whichever those two Jeffs it might have		25	///
					Page 153
14:28:32	1	Page 151 been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media;	14:30:15	1	Page 153
14:28:32	1 2		14:30:15	1 2	<del>-</del>
14:28:32		been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media;	14:30:15		BY MR. WALKER:
14:28:32	2	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?	14:30:15	2	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay.
14:28:32	2	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No	14:30:15	2	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of
	2 3 4	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.		2 3 4	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.
	2 3 4 5	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.		2 3 4 5	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:
	2 3 4 5	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:		2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this
	2 3 4 5 6 7	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?
14:28:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.	14:30:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."
14:28:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.	14:30:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?  MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself.
14:28:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:	14:30:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?  MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the
14:28:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president;	14:30:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?  MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document.
14:28:39 14:28:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?	14:30:19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?  MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document.  BY MR. WALKER:
14:28:39 14:28:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No	14:30:19 14:30:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it?
14:28:39 14:28:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.	14:30:19 14:30:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it?
14:28:39 14:28:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to	14:30:19 14:30:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it? MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the
14:28:39 14:28:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to her that she didn't prepare.	14:30:19 14:30:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it? MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the evidence.
14:28:39 14:28:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to her that she didn't prepare.  THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to say something	14:30:19 14:30:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?  MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it?  MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the evidence.  BY MR. WALKER:
14:28:39 14:28:50 14:29:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to her that she didn't prepare.  THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to say something now?	14:30:19 14:30:31 14:30:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay.  MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz."  Did I read that correctly?  MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it?  MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the evidence.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q If you recall?
14:28:39 14:28:50 14:29:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to her that she didn't prepare.  THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to say something now?  BY MR. WALKER:	14:30:19 14:30:31 14:30:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it? MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the evidence. BY MR. WALKER: Q If you recall? A I you would have to tell me which document
14:28:39 14:28:50 14:29:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to her that she didn't prepare.  THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to say something now?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am.	14:30:19 14:30:31 14:30:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it? MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the evidence. BY MR. WALKER: Q If you recall? A I you would have to tell me which document it was.
14:28:39 14:28:50 14:29:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been, he was going to issue 1100 shares to K.Jam Media; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what it says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And 1,000 shares to Owari Opus; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Okay. And Kia was to be appointed president; correct?  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Speculation. No foundation.  Counsel, you're just reading the document to her that she didn't prepare.  THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to say something now?  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Yes, ma'am.  That's what this envisioned appointing Kia	14:30:19 14:30:31 14:30:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. MR. WIECHERT: Again, her interpretation of this document is speculation. BY MR. WALKER: Q And under the note there at the bottom of this section, it says, "K.Jam Media and Owari Opus own Swartz." Did I read that correctly? MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself. THE WITNESS: That's what it says on the document. BY MR. WALKER: Q And that's actually consistent with another document we looked at earlier, isn't it? MR. WIECHERT: Misstates the testimony and the evidence. BY MR. WALKER: Q If you recall? A I you would have to tell me which document it was. Q Fair enough.

		Page 154			Page 156
14:30:57	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.	14:32:53	1	A I vaguely re I I vaguely recollect
	2	BY MR. WALKER:		2	something. I may be mixing up it was something
	3	Q Now, going down to the next item, we see a		3	but I think at some point, it was not in good standing.
	4	similar section for Owari Opus, Inc.; correct?		4	And I think we reinstated it, but I'm not 100 percent
14:31:05	5	A Yes.	14:33:05	5	sure.
	6	Q And again, on item 2, we see the same		6	Q And then going to the last page of this
	7	statement, "Jeff to issue 100 shares to K.Jam Media and		7	exhibit, "There were additional action items for Jeff
	8	appoint Kia president and David secretary and take any		8	and Frymi."
	9	other required actions, resolutions, annual meetings,		9	Do you see that?
14:31:20	10	et cetera"; correct?	14:33:22	10	MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself.
	11	MR. WIECHERT: The document speaks for itself.		11	THE WITNESS: Additional documents, yes.
	12	THE WITNESS: That's what it		12	BY MR. WALKER:
	13	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation.		13	Q And it says, "Additional action items for Jeff
	14	THE WITNESS: That's what the document says.		14	and Frymi."
14:31:25	15	BY MR. WALKER:	14:33:31	15	A Uh-huh.
	16	Q And there on the bottom, the note states that		16	Q Do you see that?
	17	K.Jam Media owns Owari; correct?		17	A Yes.
	18	MR. WIECHERT: Document speaks for itself. No		18	Q Okay. The first one was formed, Tri-State
	19	foundation.		19	Lighting, Inc., in Delaware.
14:31:39	20	THE WITNESS: That's what it says.	14:33:36	20	A Yes.
	21	BY MR. WALKER:		21	Q Were you involved in the formation of that
	22	Q Now, were you ever privy to do any meetings or		22	company?
	23	discussions or conferences of of any kind when any of		23	A I'm pretty sure I was.
	24	these items were discussed?		24	Q The second one is formed Cyrano Group, Inc. in
14:31:51	25	MR. MCGONIGLE: Are you talking about Owari or	14:33:44	25	Delaware.
		Page 155			Page 157
14:31:53	1	Swartz or both?	14:33:46	1	Do you see that?
	2	MR. WALKER: Both.		2	A Yes.
	3	THE WITNESS: I was never included in that.		3	Q Were you involved in the formation of Cyrano
	4	BY MR. WALKER:		4	Group, Inc.?
14:31:59	5	Q Were you asked to secure the employer ID number			
			14:33:51	5	_
	6		14:33:51	5 6	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.
	6 7	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a	14:33:51		A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with
		and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group,	14:33:51	6	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in
	7	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a	14:33:51	6 7	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.
14:32:12	7 8	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?	14:33:51 14:34:10	6 7 8	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as
14:32:12	7 8 9	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I		6 7 8 9	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?
14:32:12	7 8 9 10	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.		6 7 8 9 10	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall
14:32:12	7 8 9 10 11	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer		6 7 8 9 10	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I
14:32:12	7 8 9 10 11	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp		6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?	14:34:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN	14:34:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.	14:34:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.  Q Okay. Was it ever actually a legal corporation	14:34:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid, were those the kinds of
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.  Q Okay. Was it ever actually a legal corporation then?	14:34:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid, were those the kinds of things that you normally did?
14:32:33	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.  Q Okay. Was it ever actually a legal corporation then?  A Yes. Beca	14:34:10 14:34:26	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid, were those the kinds of things that you normally did?  MR. WIECHERT: Question is compound. It's
14:32:33	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.  Q Okay. Was it ever actually a legal corporation then?  A Yes. Beca MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for	14:34:10 14:34:26	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid, were those the kinds of things that you normally did?  MR. WIECHERT: Question is compound. It's vague and ambiguous.
14:32:33	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.  Q Okay. Was it ever actually a legal corporation then?  A Yes. Beca  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for conclusion. No foundation.	14:34:10 14:34:26	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid, were those the kinds of things that you normally did?  MR. WIECHERT: Question is compound. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me now, yes. Ask me
14:32:33	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's what it says in the oh, what I remember what I was asked? Possible. Possible.  Q Likewise, were you asked to obtain an employer ID number and to make sure taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Owari Opus, Inc.?  A I don't think Owari Opus, Inc I may have been asked, but I don't think it ever applied to an EIN number.  Q Okay. Was it ever actually a legal corporation then?  A Yes. Beca  MR. WIECHERT: Objection. Calls for conclusion. No foundation.  THE WITNESS: When we reinstated it at some	14:34:10 14:34:26	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I think so, yes. I think I formed those with Parasec, as far as I recollect.  Q So there are things that are mentioned in Exhibit 22 that you recall that, at least, as far as it pertains to what you were supposed to do, you recall actually doing?  A Cyrano and Tri-State, I'm fairly sure that I formed it with Parasec who gave them the instructions.  Q And with respect to the different companies that are mentioned in this memo, that note that you were you were to obtain, an EIN, an employer ID number, and make sure the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent were paid, were those the kinds of things that you normally did?  MR. WIECHERT: Question is compound. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If you ask me now, yes. Ask me then, I don't remember.

		Page 158			Page 160
14:35:06	1	payments to VCorp registered agent are paid for Gion	14:37:27	1	A That, I'm not sure.
	2	Funding Settlements, Inc.," do you have any recollection		2	Q Okay. What about the second company on
	3	of actually doing that?		3	Exhibit 22, Hojo Capital Partners Corp, does looking at
	4	A No. I'm sorry.		4	Exhibit 20, that chart, refresh your recollection as to
14:35:17	5	Q Do you have any specific recollection of	14:37:39	5	whether or not you secured the secured the EIN number
	6	doing securing any of the employee ID numbers for any		6	and attended to the taxes and payments to VCorp for that
	7	of the companies listed in this memo?		7	company?
	8	MR. MCGONIGLE: You might want to start with		8	A The I probably applied for the EIN number
	9	the first one and flip through right.		9	online, but I don't remember if I paid. I don't
14:35:36	10	THE WITNESS: Okay.	14:37:54	10	remember.
	11	MR. MCGONIGLE: It would be easier.		11	Q Okay. Going to the third company on
	12	THE WITNESS: Do you mean if I have a		12	Exhibit 22, Boson LLC.
	13	recollection of going on the EIN website and then apply		13	Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh
	14	for an employee IM EIN number? If I have		14	your recollection as to whether you obtained the
14:35:46	15	recollections of that?	14:38:08	15	employer ID number for that company and attended to
	16	BY MR. WALKER:		16	those taxes?
	17	Q Or doing it by facsimile. Either one.		17	A Boson LLC, I definitely did the EIN number. I
	18	Let's start with the first one. And I don't		18	think Boson LLC I think at some point I transferred
	19	know if I've already asked you this. I apologize. But		19	it to to Par I transferred agent of process to
14:35:53	20	just to go through all of them.	14:38:34	20	Parasec.
	21	Do you recall actually obtaining the employer		21	Q Fair enough.
	22	ID number and making sure the taxes and payments to		22	But looking at the chart that we have in
	23	VCorp as a registered agent were paid for Gion Funding?		23	Exhibit 20, it refreshes your recollection that you did,
	24	A I would have to see whom it lists as		24	in fact, secure the employer ID number for Boson LLC?
14:36:07	25	responsible party for Gion. I would have to look at	14:38:47	25	A I'm fairly sure, yes.
		Page 159			Page 161
14:36:11					
14.30.11	1	this one, and I have to look at the records. Because	14:38:49	1	Q Okay. Going to Kambe Asset Management, Inc.,
14.30.11	1 2	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the	14:38:49	1 2	Q Okay. Going to Kambe Asset Management, Inc., the next company in the line in the list of
14.50.11		when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.	14:38:49		
14.50.11	2	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the	14:38:49	2	the next company in the line in the list of
14:36:26	2	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes.	14:38:49	2	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection
	2 3 4	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the		2 3 4	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection A I
	2 3 4 5	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes.		2 3 4 5	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I
14:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time.		2 3 4 5 6 7	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this
14:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've	14:39:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.
14:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities	14:39:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about
14:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes.	14:39:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That
14:36:26 14:36:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct?	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding
14:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time.  Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities  A Yes.  Q correct?  A Yes.	14:39:01	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this
14:36:26 14:36:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes.  Q correct? A Yes.  Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I would not remember
14:36:26 14:36:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements.	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I
14:36:26 14:36:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities  A Yes.  Q correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements.  A Yes.	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.
14:36:26 14:36:49 14:36:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements. A Yes. Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough.
14:36:26 14:36:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements. A Yes. Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and attend to the taxes and payments to VCorp as a	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough. Going to the next company that's on the list of
14:36:26 14:36:49 14:36:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements. A Yes. Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and attend to the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent for that company?	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough. Going to the next company that's on the list of Exhibit 22, which is Swartz IP Services Group.
14:36:26 14:36:49 14:36:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20.  A Yes.  Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created.  A Sorry.  Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes.  Q correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements.  A Yes.  Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and attend to the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent for that company?  A I think I probably set up the EIN number	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough. Going to the next company that's on the list of Exhibit 22, which is Swartz IP Services Group. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh
14:36:26 14:36:49 14:36:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements. A Yes. Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and attend to the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent for that company? A I think I probably set up the EIN number online, I think. Would I pay the the the taxes	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Going to the next company that's on the list of Exhibit 22, which is Swartz IP Services Group.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you took care of that
14:36:26 14:36:49 14:36:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements. A Yes. Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and attend to the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent for that company? A I think I probably set up the EIN number online, I think. Would I pay the the the taxes to to VCorp, I'm not sure.	14:39:01 14:39:03 14:39:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I Q as to whether or not  A I Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough. Going to the next company that's on the list of Exhibit 22, which is Swartz IP Services Group.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you took care of that employment ID number and attend attending to those
14:36:26 14:36:49 14:36:55	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	when I applied, I would really have to go back to the go and find somewhere.  Q Well, go ahead and pull Exhibit 20. A Yes. Q Okay. Put that on one side there with the chart that you created. A Sorry. Q No, that's fine, ma'am. Take your time. Okay. So Exhibit 20, you've you've got the second page of that, which is the chart that you've created with all these different entities A Yes. Q correct? A Yes. Q Okay. So let's start at the top of Exhibit 22 on Gion Funding Settlements. A Yes. Q Did you obtain the employer ID number and attend to the taxes and payments to VCorp as a registered agent for that company? A I think I probably set up the EIN number online, I think. Would I pay the the the taxes	14:39:01 14:39:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the next company in the line in the list of Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection  A I  Q as to whether or not  A I  Q you obtained that number?  A It's not here, Kambe's. I don't see it on this list.  Q Yes, ma'am. It's about  A Oh oh oh, yeah. Yeah. Yeah. That that, I don't know, because it says "Gion Funding Settlements, Inc." And I think we just said that this one was sold. If I I I would not remember having done this with the fax. I don't remember. I really don't.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Going to the next company that's on the list of Exhibit 22, which is Swartz IP Services Group.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you took care of that

		Page 162			Page 164
14:39:52	1	suggests?	14:42:09	1	MR. MCGONIGLE: Yeah. Here you go.
	2	MR. WIECHERT: Counsel, is Swartz IP Group		2	MR. WALKER: Okay. Fair enough.
	3	mentioned on Exhibit 20?		3	BY MR. WALKER:
	4	MR. WALKER: Yes.		4	Q Let me hand you what's been marked as
14:40:00	5	MR. WIECHERT: And so I see Swartz Technology	14:42:40	5	Exhibit 23, ma'am.
	6	Group.		6	(Exhibit 23 was marked for
	7	THE WITNESS: I think you're referring to		7	identification by the Court Reporter
	8	BY MR. WALKER:		8	and is attached hereto.)
	9	O Yes, ma'am. Yes.		9	BY MR. WALKER:
14:40:09	10	A I probably have applied for you see, I don't	14:42:47	10	Q I'm going to ask you if you can identify this
	11	remember applying for this EIN number. I don't know	1111211	11	document.
	12	why, but I did not apply for it. I don't remember		12	A This one I think this one lists and I
	13	myself applying for it. And the taxes were apparently		13	think, I'm not sure. I think it lists the employees on
	14	not paid. Because we talked about that.		14	the payroll of IA, I think, but I think this one also
14:40:29	15	Q Yes, ma'am.	14:43:17	15	says that the titles
11.10.23	16	A And I don't have much I mean, ask me	14.43.17	16	Q Okay.
	17	Delaware, I'm familiar with what needs to be filed.		17	A or the or the occupations, I'm sorry.
	18	Nevada, maybe, and California. Texas, this is, I think,		18	Q As I recall your testimony, you didn't believe
	19	the only entity that I've ever seen was in Texas.		19	that you created that you personally drafted or
14:40:46	20	Q Yes, ma'am.	14:43:27	20	created that last list that we saw marked as
14.00.40	21	A I haven't seen any other.	14:43:27	21	A The one with the capital letters?
	22	· ·		22	•
	23	Q And do you have any based upon your familiarity with Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam, do you have		23	<ul><li>Q Yes, ma'am.</li><li>A I don't recall doing this. But I also don't</li></ul>
	24	any understanding as to why Swartz IP was not handled		24	recall doing this one.
14:41:00	25	through you?	14:43:40		
14.41.00	23	unough you:	14.43.40	23	Q Okay. Fair enough.
		Page 163			Page 165
14:41:06	1	Page 163 A No.	14:43:42	1	Page 165  And do you know what the purpose of creating
14:41:06	1 2		14:43:42	1 2	_
14:41:06		A No.	14:43:42		And do you know what the purpose of creating
14:41:06	2	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am.	14:43:42	2	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?
14:41:06 14:41:14	2	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in	14:43:42 14:43:58	2	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery
	2 3 4	A No.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in  Exhibit 22.		2 3 4	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when
	2 3 4 5	A No.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in  Exhibit 22.  Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh		2 3 4 5	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when when I discovery when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I
	2 3 4 5 6	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that		2 3 4 5	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when then when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm
	2 3 4 5 6 7	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number?		2 3 4 5 6	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as
14:41:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc.	14:43:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.
14:41:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you.	14:43:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.
14:41:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have	14:43:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23
14:41:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that?	14:43:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?
14:41:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No.	14:43:58	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when when think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.
14:41:14 14:41:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here	14:43:58 14:44:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also
14:41:14 14:41:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it.	14:43:58 14:44:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when when spart of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was
14:41:14 14:41:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No	14:43:58 14:44:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when with this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?
14:41:14 14:41:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy.	14:43:58 14:44:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when when think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?  A I think so, but I'm not sure. I think so.
14:41:14 14:41:28	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy. MR. MCGONIGLE: No, you that one doesn't	14:43:58 14:44:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when
14:41:14 14:41:28 14:41:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy. MR. MCGONIGLE: No, you that one doesn't look like it well, if you want to just do a clean	14:43:58 14:44:39 14:44:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?  A I think so, but I'm not sure. I think so. Q Now, in Exhibit 21, it actually states "Integrated Administration employee list" and provides a
14:41:14 14:41:28 14:41:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy. MR. MCGONIGLE: No, you that one doesn't look like it well, if you want to just do a clean one, I think.	14:43:58 14:44:39 14:44:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when when when spart of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?  A I think so, but I'm not sure. I think so.  Q Now, in Exhibit 21, it actually states  "Integrated Administration employee list" and provides a time frame; correct?
14:41:14 14:41:28 14:41:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy. MR. MCGONIGLE: No, you that one doesn't look like it well, if you want to just do a clean one, I think. MR. WALKER: Here, you can have that one.	14:43:58 14:44:39 14:44:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?  A I think so, but I'm not sure. I think so.  Q Now, in Exhibit 21, it actually states "Integrated Administration employee list" and provides a time frame; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.
14:41:14 14:41:28 14:41:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy. MR. MCGONIGLE: No, you that one doesn't look like it well, if you want to just do a clean one, I think. MR. WALKER: Here, you can have that one. MR. MCGONIGLE: Yeah. There you go.	14:43:58 14:44:39 14:44:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?  A I think so, but I'm not sure. I think so.  Q Now, in Exhibit 21, it actually states "Integrated Administration employee list" and provides a time frame; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q But we don't see either an identification of
14:41:14 14:41:28 14:41:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No. Q Thank you, ma'am. And then looking at Owari Opus, Inc. in Exhibit 22. Does looking at the chart in Exhibit 20 refresh your recollection as to whether you obtained that employer ID number? A I don't think this ever had an employer ID number, Owari Opus, Inc. Q You had said that earlier. Thank you. And in filing Nobunaga Unity LLC, did you have anything to do with that? A No. MR. MCGONIGLE: You might have a note on here if you want to switch it. MR. WALKER: Oh, I gave you the wrong one? No wonder you didn't get a copy. MR. MCGONIGLE: No, you that one doesn't look like it well, if you want to just do a clean one, I think. MR. WALKER: Here, you can have that one. MR. MCGONIGLE: Yeah. There you go. MR. WALKER: Well, that one's got a note on it.	14:43:58 14:44:39 14:44:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And do you know what the purpose of creating Exhibit 23, this particular list, might have been?  A I think it was also part of maybe the discovery when we when when when when when when Wimbledon filed a a a lawsuit. And I think this was part of the discovery. But again, I'm not really sure.  Q Okay. Okay. If you could pull Exhibit 21 as well, ma'am, in addition to 23, please.  A Yes.  Q Okay. So these Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 23 are the two lists that we've discussed; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q Okay. And you believe that Exhibit 23 is also a list of Integrated Administration employees that was prepared for the purpose of discovery in a lawsuit?  A I think so, but I'm not sure. I think so.  Q Now, in Exhibit 21, it actually states "Integrated Administration employee list" and provides a time frame; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q But we don't see either an identification of Integrated Administration employee list in Exhibit 23

		Page 166			Page 168
14:45:25	1	Q On Exhibit 23, yes, ma'am.	14:47:47	1	Sovrin? For example, looking at Exhibit 21, the second
	2	A Correct, yes. You're correct.		2	on the list is Andrea Potenciano
	3	Q Okay. But the one difference that you point		3	A Potenciano.
	4	out is that for each of the individuals listed in		4	Q correct?
14:45:33	5	Exhibit 23, we have titles; correct?	14:47:58	5	A Yes.
	6	A And on the other one, we have addresses.		6	Q Okay. And she's on Exhibit 21 under a list of
	7	Q Okay. Now, for example, on Exhibit 23,		7	Integrated Administration employees; correct?
	8	Employee No. 13, Edmond Defrank. His title is Sovrin		8	A On yes, on the on 21. Yes. Yes.
	9	cohead.		9	Q Okay. And then on Exhibit 23, it says that she
14:45:53	10	Did I read that correctly?	14:48:13	10	was Sovrin, dash, office admin medical biller?
	11	A That's what it says.		11	A Yes.
	12	Q Okay. And 15, Freddie Wilson, for his title,		12	Q So do you know whether she was an employee of
	13	it says, Global Services Group-security/car company;		13	Sovrin or an employee of Integrated Administration?
	14	correct?		14	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation. No
14:46:05	15	A Yes.	14:48:26	15	foundation.
	16	Q Okay. Here we see No. 10 on on Exhibit 23,		16	THE WITNESS: Can
	17	David Bergstein is listed as the CEO of Cyrano Group;		17	BY MR. WALKER:
	18	correct?		18	Q You can answer
	19	A Yes.		19	A Can I answer?
14:46:22	20	Q And on 25 of Exhibit 23, we see Kiarash Jam is	14:48:30	20	Q Yes, you can answer.
	21	listed as IA director and officer; correct?		21	A Okay. The way I see it is that Sovrin General
	22	A Yes.		22	Health, whatever, was an entity that was not located in
	23	Q Okay. But from what I can tell, other than		23	Santa Monica. I think they were I want to say
	24	Mr. Wienskoski, who is listed as Kia Jam's assistant,		24	Glendale, but I'm really not sure. They did medical
14:46:46	25	all these other people are listed in Exhibit 23 as being	14:48:55	25	billing there. But I think that the employee that
		Page 167			Page 169
14:46:50	1	Page 167 associated or employed by another company altogether,	14:49:01	1	Page 169 well, employees is maybe are the people who were
14:46:50	1 2		14:49:01	1 2	
14:46:50		associated or employed by another company altogether,	14:49:01		well, employees is maybe are the people who were
14:46:50	2	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated	14:49:01	2	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the
	2	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.	14:49:01	2	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on
	2 3 4	associated or employed by another company altogether, another — a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?		2 3 4	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.
	2 3 4 5	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have		2 3 4 5	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why
	2 3 4 5	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.		2 3 4 5 6	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?
	2 3 4 5 6 7	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.		2 3 4 5 6 7	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.
14:47:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24,
14:47:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich,	14:49:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.
14:47:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist	14:49:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for
14:47:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?	14:49:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No. Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
14:47:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on	14:49:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:
14:47:00 14:47:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.	14:49:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:
14:47:00 14:47:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard. That's where she was receptionist.	14:49:13 14:49:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong
14:47:00 14:47:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had	14:49:13 14:49:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.
14:47:00 14:47:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office	14:49:13 14:49:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.
14:47:00 14:47:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office  A Well, but he didn't didn't come very much.	14:49:13 14:49:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.  Q And it's marked 17/2016 at 12:11 p.m.; correct?
14:47:00 14:47:10 14:47:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard. That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office  A Well, but he didn't didn't come very much.  Q Right.	14:49:13 14:49:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.  Q And it's marked 17/2016 at 12:11 p.m.; correct?  A Yes.
14:47:00 14:47:10 14:47:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office  A Well, but he didn't didn't come very much.  Q Right.  And where Kia Jam had an office?	14:49:13 14:49:23 14:49:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.  Q And it's marked 17/2016 at 12:11 p.m.; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And I think earlier you had said that
14:47:00 14:47:10 14:47:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office  A Well, but he didn't didn't come very much.  Q Right.  And where Kia Jam had an office?  A Yes.	14:49:13 14:49:23 14:49:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.  Q And it's marked 17/2016 at 12:11 p.m.; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And I think earlier you had said that you preferred Pericorp [sic] as a company that would
14:47:00 14:47:10 14:47:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office  A Well, but he didn't didn't come very much.  Q Right.  And where Kia Jam had an office?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Okay. So she was generally the	14:49:13 14:49:23 14:49:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.  Q And it's marked 17/2016 at 12:11 p.m.; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And I think earlier you had said that you preferred Pericorp [sic] as a company that would register companies or corporations?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	associated or employed by another company altogether, another a company different from Integrated Administration, I should say.  Do you know why that is?  A I have  MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: No, I have no idea.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q When it says, "No. 1, Anna Maria Predovich, receptionist," do you know who she is a receptionist for?  A She was receptionist in the office on on Colorado Boulevard on 2425 Colorado Boulevard.  That's where she was receptionist.  Q Okay. That was the same location where you had an office or Mr. Bergstein had an office  A Well, but he didn't didn't come very much.  Q Right.  And where Kia Jam had an office?  A Yes.  Q Okay. Okay. So she was generally the receptionist for all of you?	14:49:13 14:49:23 14:49:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well, employees is maybe are the people who were working for Sovrin, did the medical billing, did the duties. I think they were on IA's payroll on Integrated Administration's payroll.  Q Did you ever have an understanding as to why that was done that way?  A No.  Q Let me hand you what's been marked as 24, ma'am.  (Exhibit 24 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, this is an e-mail to you from Milton Vong correct?  A Yes. Yes.  Q And it's marked 17/2016 at 12:11 p.m.; correct?  A Yes.  Q Okay. And I think earlier you had said that you preferred Pericorp [sic] as a company that would register companies or corporations?  A As an agent of process, I preferred Parasec.

		Page 170			Page 172
14:50:06	1	Okay. And Mr. Vong provided a courtesy copy to	14:52:46	1	(A recess was taken.)
	2	Steve Piskula; correct?		2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
	3	A To me and he cc'd Steve Piskula.		3	The time is 3:07 p.m.
	4	Q Thank you.		4	BY MR. WALKER:
14:50:16	5	Now, he advises Mr. Vong advises you that	15:07:28	5	Q Ms. Biedak, just a couple of kind of follow-up
	6	this entity is currently forfeited and needs to be		6	questions and I'll I'll close out.
	7	reinstated before the change of agent filing would be		7	You testified earlier that you're seeing
	8	accepted?		8	Mr. Bergstein approximately once a month or once a
	9	A Correct.		9	week rather in in jail?
14:50:27	10	Q And, of course, the entity that he is	15:07:40	10	A Once a week.
	11	referencing as having forfeited its charter is Swartz IP		11	Q Once a week?
	12	now known as Advisory IP services; correct?		12	Is there a set day that you visit him?
	13	A Correct.		13	A No. It's either Friday or Sunday, usually.
	14	Q Okay. A couple of questions.		14	Q And is that roughly about an hour and a half
14:50:39	15	Why was Advisory IP Services, Inc. being	15:07:54	15	drive one way for you?
	16	considered for reinstatement as of March 17, 2016?		16	A I don't I don't drive by myself. I'm
	17	A I mean, I need to think about this.		17	driving with one of the attorneys.
	18	The way I understand it or understood it, I		18	Q Okay. Which attorney are you driving with?
	19	guess, at the time, the entity was involved in		19	A Jeff Kranzton or Ed Defrank or I'm retaining
14:51:11	20	litigation. And when an entity is involved in	15:08:09	20	somebody to drive me out there.
	21	litigation, you need to, first of all, make sure that		21	Q And again, if the attorneys are going with you,
	22	it's in in good standing. And I think it was		22	what is the purpose of your visit?
	23	forfeited. I did not know that there was no agent of		23	A Helping gathering the documents, et cetera.
	24	process. I thought it was just there wasn't a tax		24	Q For the appeal?
14:51:32		issue and so I really didn't know. So at some point, I	15:08:26	25	MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to object. And
		Page 171			Page 173
14.51.37	1	Page 171	15.08.27	1	Page 173
14:51:37	1	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think	15:08:27	1	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client
14:51:37	2	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At	15:08:27	2	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.
14:51:37	2	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him,	15:08:27	2	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.
	2 3 4	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?"		2 3 4	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:
14:51:37 14:51:48	2 3 4 5	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was	15:08:27 15:08:34	2 3 4 5	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's
	2 3 4 5	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.		2 3 4 5 6	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?
	2 3 4 5 6 7	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that		2 3 4 5 6 7	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with
14:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated	15:08:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?
14:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?	15:08:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.
14:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained	15:08:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?
14:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in	15:08:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they	15:08:34 15:08:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign	15:08:34	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.	15:08:34 15:08:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz	15:08:34 15:08:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as	15:08:34 15:08:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as Advisory IP Services, that it was never reinstated?	15:08:34 15:08:47 15:09:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not discussing anything having to do with Mr. Bergstein's
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as Advisory IP Services, that it was never reinstated?  A To the best of my knowledge, it was not	15:08:34 15:08:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not discussing anything having to do with Mr. Bergstein's business affairs?
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as Advisory IP Services, that it was never reinstated?  A To the best of my knowledge, it was not reinstated.	15:08:34 15:08:47 15:09:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not discussing anything having to do with Mr. Bergstein's business affairs?  A I
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as Advisory IP Services, that it was never reinstated?  A To the best of my knowledge, it was not reinstated.  MR. WALKER: Why don't we take a break for a	15:08:34 15:08:47 15:09:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not discussing anything having to do with Mr. Bergstein's business affairs?  A I  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, can I and during the
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as Advisory IP Services, that it was never reinstated?  A To the best of my knowledge, it was not reinstated.  MR. WALKER: Why don't we take a break for a few minutes, ma'am?	15:08:34 15:08:47 15:09:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not discussing anything having to do with Mr. Bergstein's business affairs?  A I  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, can I and during the entire time period, she's present with counsel.
14:51:48 14:52:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contacted and I didn't even know. I didn't think that I didn't know if they had an agent or not. At some point, I had contacted Parasec and I asked him, "Can you please be agent of process for this entity?" And then he wrote that back. And I think that was pretty much the end of it.  Q Okay. So that's why he's telling you that the that the Advisory IP Services, Inc. was currently forfeited and that that would have to be reinstated before he could do the change of agent filing that you requested of him?  A Yes. And that's that's what they explained to me at some point, that if taxes like, even in Delaware, if taxes are not paid currently, they they they are obligated by by by law to resign as agents.  Q And it's your understanding that Swartz Swartz IP, which was now known at this time in 2016 as Advisory IP Services, that it was never reinstated?  A To the best of my knowledge, it was not reinstated.  MR. WALKER: Why don't we take a break for a	15:08:34 15:08:47 15:09:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think that's an invasion of of the attorney-client privilege.  I'll instruct her not to answer that.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's advice, ma'am?  A Yes.  Q And during the time that you're visiting with Mr. Bergstein once a week at his jail, how long are you staying up there?  A That depends.  Q What's the range of time spent then?  A Any possible time. I mean, on Sunday, I was there from 9:00 till 3:00.  Q 9:00 in the morning until 3:00 in the afternoon?  A Yes.  Q And it's your testimony that you're not discussing anything having to do with Mr. Bergstein's business affairs?  A I  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, can I and during the

		Page 174			Page 176
15:09:26	1	meetings. I also think it's irrelevant to this	15:12:18	1	Q What time frame would that have been when you
	2	proceeding.		2	made the move?
	3	BY MR. WALKER:		3	A The move to Santa Monica, I want to say
	4	Q During your visits with Mr. Bergstein, is there		4	September 2006, but I'm not 100 percent sure. I'm
15:09:35	5	anything that you're discussing with him that does not	15:12:29	5	really not sure anymore.
	6	relate to his criminal trial or appeal?		6	Q And did Mr. Bergstein, to your knowledge, have
	7	MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, I'm going to instruct		7	a relationship with Mr. Jam before 2007 that you just
	8	her her not to answer that as well.		8	were not aware of?
	9	BY MR. WALKER:		9	A I have no idea.
15:09:48	10	Q And I'll take it you'll follow your counsel's	15:12:41	10	MR. WIECHERT: Calls for speculation.
	11	advice on that, ma'am?		11	BY MR. WALKER:
	12	A Yes.		12	Q During the period of time that you were sharing
	13	Q During the period of time that you were		13	an office with Mr. Bergstein, Mr. Jam, did you observe
	14	officing at the Colorado Boulevard address, what was		14	that what did you observe about their relationship?
15:10:01	15	your understanding that Integrated Administration did as	15:12:54	15	What kind of relationship did they have?
	16	a business?		16	A I think they had a good relationship.
	17	A I think they provided administrative services		17	Q How would you characterize it? Were they
	18	and payroll services.		18	friends? Were they business partners?
	19	Q So what type of administrative services would		19	A I in all honesty, David Bergstein wasn't
15:10:18	20	that be, do you know?	15:13:19	20	that much in the office, so I didn't really see them
	21	A Any kind of administrative services.		21	that much.
	22	Q For different types of businesses, particular		22	Q Okay.
	23	types of businesses, do you know?		23	A I couldn't tell you.
	24	A No.		24	Q Okay. Was Mr. Jam involved in other business
15:10:44	25	Q What type of payroll services did it provide?	15:13:32	25	matters outside of Mr. Bergstein that were independent
		Page 175			Page 177
15:10:50	1	A Did the payroll for Integrated	15:13:36	1	of any of Mr. Bergstein's business affairs, to your
	2	Administrative Services employees? They were on the		2	knowledge?
	3	payroll.		3	A I wouldn't know.
	4	Q Okay. Okay. So how did it make money?		4	Q But from what you observed, Mr. Jam and
15:11:01	5	A I don't know.	15:13:47	5	Mr. Bergstein had a good relationship?
	6	Q Where did the money come from that allowed		6	A Well, I hardly I mean, again, I didn't
	7	Integrated Administration to pay for the payroll of		7	really see them together. So from from the little
	8	certain employees for Sovrin Health and for the other		8	bit I saw and I heard I mean, people talk. So I
	_	. 4 (1.49			71 1
	9	companies we saw on that list?		9	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly
15:11:19	10	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for	15:14:16	9 10	
15:11:19			15:14:16		think they had a decent relationship, a friendly
15:11:19	10	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for	15:14:16	10	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters
15:11:19	10 11	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.	15:14:16	10 11	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been
15:11:19	10 11 12	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the	15:14:16	10 11 12	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of
15:11:19 15:11:27	10 11 12 13	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.	15:14:16 15:14:34	10 11 12 13	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice?
	10 11 12 13 14	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:		10 11 12 13 14	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not.
	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and		10 11 12 13 14	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the
	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess		10 11 12 13 14 15	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.		10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone with
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.  Q Thirty years?		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall.
15:11:27	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.  Q Thirty years?  And they had a very good relationship?	15:14:34	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Securities and Exchange Commission? A Not as far as I recall.
15:11:27	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.  Q Thirty years?  And they had a very good relationship?  A Yes.	15:14:34	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Securities and Exchange Commission? A Not as far as I recall. Q Have you ever received a subpoena for any
15:11:27	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.  Q Thirty years?  And they had a very good relationship?  A Yes.  Q Okay. How long have you known Mr. Jam?	15:14:34	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Securities and Exchange Commission? A Not as far as I recall. Q Have you ever received a subpoena for any information, testimony, or documents from either the
15:11:27	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.  Q Thirty years?  And they had a very good relationship?  A Yes.  Q Okay. How long have you known Mr. Jam?  A I think I'm trying to think.	15:14:34 15:14:52	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Securities and Exchange Commission? A Not as far as I recall. Q Have you ever received a subpoena for any information, testimony, or documents from either the SEC, the FBI, or the Department of Justice?
15:11:27	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WIECHERT: No foundation. Calls for speculation.  THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the other.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you said that you've known Mr. Carroll and Mr. Bergstein for, I guess  A Thirty years.  Q Thirty years?  And they had a very good relationship?  A Yes.  Q Okay. How long have you known Mr. Jam?  A I think I'm trying to think.  I think I spoke to him before we moved to Santa	15:14:34	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think they had a decent relationship, a friendly Q In connection with Swartz IP and the matters that we've discussed today, have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice? A I have not. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone at the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Department of Justice for any purpose? A Not that I recall. Q Have you ever been interviewed by anyone with the Securities and Exchange Commission? A Not as far as I recall. Q Have you ever received a subpoena for any information, testimony, or documents from either the

		Page 178			Page 180
15:15:14	1	And I think that would remember.	15:18:59	1	at his house, yes.
	2	Q Yes, ma'am.		2	Q How many times have you been to Mr. Bergstein's
	3	MR. WALKER: I'll pass the witness at this		3	home?
	4	time.		4	A I want to say maybe two or three times when
15:15:21	5	MR. WIECHERT: Thank you.	15:19:13	5	other when it was like a social like and when
	6	MR. WALKER: We can go and let's take a		6	other people from the office were there. Like, I think
	7	break.		7	there was a fund-raiser at some point.
	8	MR. WIECHERT: Don't go off the record for a		8	Q And is that the house in the Calabasas area?
	9	while.		9	A Yes. And then I was lately, a couple of
15:15:25	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:15 p.m. We	15:19:26	10	after his incarceration when I went to his house, too.
	11	are now off the record.		11	Q Okay. You were shown some deposition
	12	(A recess was taken.)		12	transcripts when Mr. Gumport was asking you questions
	13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.		13	during some bankruptcy proceedings in 2010.
	14	The time is 3:17 p.m.		14	Do you recall that?
15:17:27	15		15:19:44	15	A Do I recall it now when we talked about it?
	16	EXAMINATION		16	Q Yes. That you were shown those today.
	17	BY MR. WIECHERT:		17	A Yes. I remember those.
	18	Q Ms. Biedak, I'm going to try to be brief.		18	Q Do you remember that Mr. Bergstein was involved
	19	My name is David Wiechert. I represent Kia Jam		19	in bankruptcy proceedings in the 2010 time frame?
15:17:34	20	and Integrated Administration in this case.	15:20:03	20	A Can you repeat it? I'm sorry. I don't
	21	Have we ever talked?		21	understand the question.
	22	A It's possible.		22	Q Sure.
	23	Q Well, then I'll I will shame myself for not		23	Do you recall that Mr. Bergstein or some of
	24	remembering it.		24	these entities were involved in bankruptcy proceedings
15:17:46	25	But do you recall any substantive discussions	15:20:11	25	in the 2010 time frame?
		Page 179			
15:17:50	1	with me?	15:20:13	1	A Was five entities that four or five entities
	2	A Not from the top of my head.		2	that were in involuntary bankruptcy.
	3	O II 4 II 14 IV. I 1 441.			
		Q Have you ever talked to Kia Jam about this		3	Q And they were involuntary bankruptcies that
	4	lawsuit?		3 4	Q And they were involuntary bankruptcies that were filed against those entities; correct?
15:18:03	4 5		15:20:24		
15:18:03		lawsuit?	15:20:24	4	were filed against those entities; correct?
15:18:03	5	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the	15:20:24	4 5	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.
15:18:03	5 6	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in	15:20:24	4 5 6	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of
15:18:03	5 6 7	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now.	15:20:24	4 5 6 7	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?
15:18:03 15:18:15	5 6 7 8	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time	15:20:24 15:20:36	4 5 6 7 8 9	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.
	5 6 7 8 9	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office.		4 5 6 7 8 9	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the
	5 6 7 8 9	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office.  Q All right.		4 5 6 7 8 9	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office.  Q All right.  A On Wilshire Boulevard.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office.  Q All right.  A On Wilshire Boulevard.  Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam?		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about
15:18:15	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to	15:20:36	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?
15:18:15	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I	15:20:36	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.
15:18:15	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that	15:20:36	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The
15:18:15	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office.  Q All right.  A On Wilshire Boulevard.  Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam?  A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them	15:20:36	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards
15:18:15	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them with Parasec so there there is an e-mail exchange.	15:20:36	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards Mr. Bergstein; is that right?
15:18:15 15:18:28	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in  Q This one now.  A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office.  Q All right.  A On Wilshire Boulevard.  Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam?  A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them with Parasec so there there is an e-mail exchange.  Q Have you ever been to his home?	15:20:36 15:20:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards Mr. Bergstein; is that right?  A They were very bad, yes.
15:18:15 15:18:28	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them with Parasec so there there is an e-mail exchange. Q Have you ever been to his home? A To Kia Jam's home?	15:20:36 15:20:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards Mr. Bergstein; is that right?  A They were very bad, yes.  Q And if you Google Mr. Bergstein's name, those
15:18:15 15:18:28	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them with Parasec so there there is an e-mail exchange. Q Have you ever been to his home? A To Kia Jam's home? Q Yes.	15:20:36 15:20:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards Mr. Bergstein; is that right?  A They were very bad, yes.  Q And if you Google Mr. Bergstein's name, those articles would come up?
15:18:15 15:18:28	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them with Parasec so there there is an e-mail exchange. Q Have you ever been to his home? A To Kia Jam's home? Q Yes. A No. Never.	15:20:36 15:20:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards Mr. Bergstein; is that right?  A They were very bad, yes.  Q And if you Google Mr. Bergstein's name, those articles would come up?  A Yeah. Probably, yeah.
15:18:15 15:18:28	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lawsuit?  A This one now? Well, when we were to get in the offices on in Q This one now. A I'm sure we have talked about it at the time when we were in the same office. Q All right. A On Wilshire Boulevard. Q When was the last time you spoke to Kia Jam? A Spoke to him, I think in the summer we were there was a discussion about a desk that was supposed to be moved. I sent him e-mails a couple of months ago. I think this was two entities that he that were that were his. And I asked him if he wanted to keep them with Parasec so there there is an e-mail exchange. Q Have you ever been to his home? A To Kia Jam's home? Q Yes. A No. Never. Q Have you been to Mr. Bergstein's home?	15:20:36 15:20:47	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were filed against those entities; correct?  A That's the way I see it, yes.  Q And Mr. Bergstein had a connection to each of those five entities?  A That's the way I saw it back then, yes.  Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Bergstein about the implications of those bankruptcies on his ongoing business?  A No.  Q Did you ever read any negative articles about Mr. Bergstein in the 2010 time frame?  A Yes. The Hollywood Reporter.  Q There were a number of articles in The Hollywood Reporter that were negative towards Mr. Bergstein; is that right?  A They were very bad, yes.  Q And if you Google Mr. Bergstein's name, those articles would come up?  A Yeah. Probably, yeah.  Q So on the 2011 time frame, if a company was

		Page 182			Page 184
15:21:20	1	articles?	15:24:06	1	for Swartz IP?
	2	MR. WALKER: Objection. Speculation.		2	A I don't think so.
	3	THE WITNESS: I don't know what they would see,		3	Q Did you ever see any minutes of board of
	4	you know.		4	directors meetings of Swartz IP?
15:21:27	5	BY MR. WIECHERT:	15:24:24	5	A I'm pretty sure when we put together corporate
	6	Q Okay. At some point in time, did you learn		6	documents during discovery, I may have probably seen
	7	that Mr. Bergstein was using Mr. Jam's American Express		7	something, yes.
	8	account?		8	Q Do you recall that David Bergstein was the only
	9	A I'm trying to think. I don't remember.		9	director of Swartz IP?
15:22:04	10	Q All right. Now, since 1995, when you first met	15:24:35	10	A No. I don't remember that.
	11	Mr. Bergstein		11	Q Okay. And I may be able to help your
	12	A I met him in '89.		12	recollection.
	13	Q I'm sorry. '89.		13	Would you ever use David Bergstein's signature
	14	You started working for him in '95; is that		14	stamp without his permission?
15:22:20	15	correct?	15:25:01	15	A No.
	16	A January 1st of '95.		16	Q Would you ever do anything contrary to
	17	Q So would it be fair to say that Mr. Bergstein		17	Mr. Bergstein's direction?
	18	has been your supervisor since approximately 1995?		18	A I don't think so.
	19	A I worked I worked for him and I reported to		19	Q So if there were a situation where Mr. Jam
15:22:35	20	him, yes.	15:25:16	20	asked you to do something and Mr. Bergstein said, "I
10.22.00	21	Q And was there a point in time where you	13.23.13	21	don't want you to do it," would you have followed
	22	reported and worked for Kia Jam?		22	Mr. Bergstein's direction?
	23	A Well, I was in his office. I wasn't the		23	A I would
	24	office David Bergstein was not there, so when Kia Jam		24	MR. MCGONIGLE: I don't think there's any
					•
15:22:51	25	gave me instructions when he gave them to me. But I	15:25:22	25	foundation for that.
15:22:51	25		15:25:22	25	
		Page 183			Page 185
15:22:51	1	Page 183 would have probably done what he told me or asked	15:25:22	1	Page 185
	1 2	Page 183 would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.		1 2	Page 185 But you can answer. THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David
	1 2 3	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC		1 2 3	Page 185  But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David  Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me
15:22:54	1 2 3 4	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?	15:25:22	1 2 3 4	Page 185  But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David  Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.
	1 2 3 4 5	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.		1 2 3 4 5	Page 185  But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:
15:22:54	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.  Q Yes.	15:25:22	1 2 3 4 5 6	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.
15:22:54	1 2 3 4 5 6	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address?	15:25:22	1 2 3 4 5 6	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it. BY MR. WIECHERT: Q All right. Fair enough. Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.
15:22:54	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes.  One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh.	15:25:22	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 185  But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.
15:22:54 15:23:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC?	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it. BY MR. WIECHERT: Q All right. Fair enough. Could you please turn to Exhibit 7. A Yes. I looked at it. Q And if you turn to the second to the last row
15:22:54	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.  Q Yes.  One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address?  A Yes. Uh-huh.  Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC?  A No. Never.	15:25:22	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it. BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.
15:22:54 15:23:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.  Q Yes.  One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address?  A Yes. Uh-huh.  Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC?  A No. Never.  Q Do you know who owns Graybox?	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.
15:22:54 15:23:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.  Q Yes.  One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address?  A Yes. Uh-huh.  Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC?  A No. Never.  Q Do you know who owns Graybox?  A David Bergstein is a manager.	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it. BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.
15:22:54 15:23:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.
15:22:54 15:23:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 183  would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.  Q Yes.  One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address?  A Yes. Uh-huh.  Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC?  A No. Never.  Q Do you know who owns Graybox?  A David Bergstein is a manager.	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP,
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation?	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.
15:22:54 15:23:07	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company. Q A company. A And David Bergstein is the manager.	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company. Q A company.	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.  Q All right. It indicates on Exhibit 7 that the
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company. Q A company. A And David Bergstein is the manager.	15:25:22 15:25:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.  Q All right. It indicates on Exhibit 7 that the responsible party is Graybox LLC.
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two.  Q Yes.  One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address?  A Yes. Uh-huh.  Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC?  A No. Never.  Q Do you know who owns Graybox?  A David Bergstein is a manager.  Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation?  A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company.  Q A company.  A And David Bergstein is the manager.  Q All right. And do you know if there are any	15:25:22 15:25:35 15:26:04	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.  Q All right. It indicates on Exhibit 7 that the responsible party is Graybox LLC.  Do you see that?  A Yes.
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company. Q A company. A And David Bergstein is the manager. Q All right. And do you know if there are any other managers of Graybox?	15:25:22 15:25:35 15:26:04	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.  Q All right. It indicates on Exhibit 7 that the responsible party is Graybox LLC.  Do you see that?  A Yes.
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company. Q A company. A And David Bergstein is the manager. Q All right. And do you know if there are any other managers of Graybox? A I not that I'm aware of. But I'm not	15:25:22 15:25:35 15:26:04	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.  Q All right. It indicates on Exhibit 7 that the responsible party is Graybox LLC.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Graybox LLC, David Bergstein was the managing
15:22:54 15:23:07 15:23:16	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would have probably done what he told me or asked whatever asked me to do.  Q The e-mail address you had was a Graybox LLC e-mail address; correct?  A As I said, I had two. Q Yes. One of them was a Graybox LLC e-mail address? A Yes. Uh-huh. Q Were you ever employed by Graybox LLC? A No. Never. Q Do you know who owns Graybox? A David Bergstein is a manager. Q He's the manager of the limited liability corporation? A Graybox LLC is a Nevada limited liability, not a corporation. A company. Q A company. A And David Bergstein is the manager. Q All right. And do you know if there are any other managers of Graybox? A I not that I'm aware of. But I'm not Q In terms of Swartz IP, at any point in time,	15:25:22 15:25:35 15:26:04	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But you can answer.  THE WITNESS: I probably would say to David Bergstein to to settle it with Kia Jam and leave me out of it.  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q All right. Fair enough.  Could you please turn to Exhibit 7.  A Yes. I looked at it.  Q And if you turn to the second to the last row which is Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.  A Yes.  Q And I've been referring to that as Swartz IP, Inc.  So can I use that as just kind of a shortcut for Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.?  A That's fine.  Q All right. It indicates on Exhibit 7 that the responsible party is Graybox LLC.  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Graybox LLC, David Bergstein was the managin person for that entity; correct?

		Page 186			Page 188
15:26:46	1	A I don't think so.	15:30:01	1	he many times asked me gave me a list of the entities
	2	Q If you could now turn to Exhibit 8.		2	that I'm that I'm I'm I'm and the managers
	3	A Yeah.		3	and directors.
	4	Q In the middle of the exhibit, in an e-mail		4	Q Please look at Exhibit 10.
15:27:35	5	dated November 2nd, 2011, there is a reference to a	15:30:23	5	A Exhibit 10 now?
	6	request of Aaron Grunfeld, esquire.		6	Q Yes, please.
	7	Do you know who Aaron Grunfeld is?		7	A Uh-huh.
	8	A Aaron Grunfeld, he's an attorney.		8	Q In Exhibit 10, it's an e-mail that you sent to
	9	Q He's an attorney.		9	mkatz@vcorpservices?
15:27:47	10	Aaron Grunfeld does work for David Bergstein;	15:30:39	10	A Yes.
	11	correct?		11	Q And someone else at VCorp Services.
	12	A Among I guess he has other clients, but yes.		12	And I take it they are both ladies attaching a
	13	Q David Bergstein is one of his clients?		13	confirmation of filing with the State of Texas?
	14	A I would say so, yes.		14	A Yes. Yes.
15:28:15	15	Q Could you now turn to Exhibit 9?	15:30:54	15	Q Who prepared the filing for the State of Texas?
	16	A (Witness complies.)		16	A I think Aaron Grunfeld incorporated this
	17	Q On November 8, 2011 at 9:30 p.m., Kia Jam sent		17	entity, I think.
	18	you an e-mail referring to Swartz IP Services. And an		18	Q Now, if you turn to the second page, about
	19	e-mail he inquires, "Is this the one that KG" "KJM		19	two-thirds of the way down, it identifies the director
15:28:46	20	owns?"	15:31:12	20	of Swartz IP Services group.
10.20.10	21	Do you see that?	13.31.12	21	Do you see that?
	22	A I see that.		22	A Yes.
	23	Q And then you respond, "This is owned by K.Jam		23	Q And who was the director identified there?
	24	Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party when		24	~
15:28:57	25	we file for an EIN number."	15:31:22		A Apparently, according to this document, it was Aaron Grunfeld, the attorney.
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		D 107			
15.20.00	1	Page 187	15.21.26	1	Page 189
15:29:00	1	You saw your response?	15:31:26	1	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the
15:29:00	2	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.	15:31:26	2	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.
15:29:00	2	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to	15:31:26	2	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that
	2 3 4	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?		2 3 4	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?
	2 3 4 5	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.	15:31:26 15:31:54	2 3 4 5	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.
	2 3 4 5	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information;		2 3 4 5	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with
	2 3 4 5 6 7	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?		2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to  Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information;  correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything
15:29:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.	15:31:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the
15:29:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is	15:31:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz
15:29:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to  Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information;  correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I  really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about  what the source was that caused you to say, "This is  owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as	15:31:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.
15:29:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?	15:31:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?
15:29:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I
15:29:12 15:29:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?	15:31:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached
15:29:12 15:29:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the
15:29:12 15:29:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached
15:29:12 15:29:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the
15:29:12 15:29:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for Owari.	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the State of the Texas. Please let us know how soon you can
15:29:12 15:29:25 15:29:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for Owari.  Q Yes.	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the State of the Texas. Please let us know how soon you can get a" "a" "a good standing."
15:29:12 15:29:25 15:29:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for Owari.  Q Yes.  You you have no idea where that information	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the State of the Texas. Please let us know how soon you can get a" "a" "a good standing."  So I sent this to them. And I think that's all
15:29:12 15:29:25 15:29:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for Owari.  Q Yes.  You you have no idea where that information came from?	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the State of the Texas. Please let us know how soon you can get a" "a" "a good standing."  So I sent this to them. And I think that's all that was sent to them. We can look how many attachments.
15:29:12 15:29:25 15:29:39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for Owari.  Q Yes.  You you have no idea where that information came from?  A No.	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 189  Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the State of the Texas. Please let us know how soon you can get a" "a" "a good standing."  So I sent this to them. And I think that's all that was sent to them. We can look how many attachments attached.
15:29:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You saw your response?  A Uh-huh.  Q Now, this information you were conveying to Mr. Jam, where did that come from?  A I have no idea.  Q You didn't personally know that information; correct? Someone told it to you?  A I would not know one way or the other. I really don't.  Q So at this point, you have no information about what the source was that caused you to say, "This is owned by K.Jam and Owari, but K.Jam will be named as responsible party"?  A When we filed for an EIN number.  Q Yes.  A Then we never filed for an EIN number for Owari.  Q Yes.  You you have no idea where that information came from?  A No.  Q And it doesn't strike you as odd that Mr. Jam	15:31:54 15:32:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Yes. And now, if you turn to page 508, at the bottom you'll see there's some Bates stamps.  And Exhibit 508 was part of the package that was submitted to the State of Texas; correct?  A That hold on one second.  No. It just says "confirmation of filing with the State of Texas." That's all that was submitted to the ladies. It doesn't say anything about anything else.  Q Well, if you go to Exhibit page 507, the page before, there is a certificate of filing of Swartz IP Services grouping.  Do you see that?  A I see here attached I'm sorry. I I I'm a little bit confused. You say here, "Attached please find con-" "confirmation of filing with the State of the Texas. Please let us know how soon you can get a" "a" "a good standing."  So I sent this to them. And I think that's all that was sent to them. We can look how many attachments attached.  Q There are a number of attachments to this

15:33:31 5 But if you'd go to 508 to the bottom.  MR. MCGONIGLE: To the bottom right corner.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  BYMR. WIECHERT:  Q Thank you.  15:33:44 10 A Okay.  10 Q Do you see at the bottom of the page there is a signature line for David Bergstein, director?  11 Q Do you see at the bottom of the page there is a signature line for David Bergstein, director?  12 signature line for David Bergstein, director?  13 A Yes.  14 Q And above that, is that the David Bergstein  15:33:55 15 signature stamp?  16 A Yes.  17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp phimself?  18 signature stamp himself?  19 A I don't think so.  15:34:03 20 Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically  21 because you've stamped at — at his direction?  22 A Yes.  23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission?  15:34:14 25 A No.  Page 191  15:34:27 5 you loac that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use of a Raked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use of a Raked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use resolution adapte bead of directors.  Page 191  15:34:41 10 Q And dovou see the signature ine for David Bergstein is for David Bergstein is a secretary there?  16 Q Hank you.  15:36:50 10 A Yes.  11 Q And that's his signature stamp?  15:36:30 15 A Okay.  17 You by Mr. Walker?  18 A Yes.  19 Q All these checks are on a Wells Fargo acc correct?  20 Do you know how many accounts Swartz 20 Q Do you recall that they had an account at Deutsche Bank?  Page 191  15:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use asked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use asked you to place that signature stamp there?  5 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP  8 Services grouping?  9 A It's very possible.  15:33:31 10 Q And I will			Page 190			Page 192
A This one?  4 Q Of that exhibit.  15:33:31 But if you'd go to 508 to the bottom.  5 But if you'd go to 508 to the bottom.  6 MR. MCGONGLE: To the bottom right corner.  7 THE WTINESS. Clay.  8 BY MR. WIECHERT:  9 Q Thank you.  15:33:44 10 A Okay.  10 Do you see at the bottom of the page there is a signature fine for David Bergstein, director?  11 Q Do you see at the bottom of the page there is a signature fine for David Bergstein, director?  13 A Yes.  14 Q And above that, is that the David Bergstein  15:33:55 15 signature stamp?  16 A Yes.  17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp phensel?  18 signature stamp himsel?  19 A I don't think so.  10 Q So if a signature stamp appears, if's typically because you've stamped at — at his direction?  21 A Yes.  22 Q O would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission?  24 A Yes.  25:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp that a some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or asked him, "May I stamp" — "may I use your signature stamp to put if on this one?"  4 A Or asked him, "May I stamp" — "may I use your signature stamp to put if on this one?"  4 A Or asked him, "May I stamp" — "may I use your signature stamp to put if on this one?"  5 Services grouping?  9 A If's very possible.  15:34:34:57 15 Q And the sole director of Swartz IP  5 Services grouping?  9 A If's very possible.  15:34:34:57 15 Q And the secretary.  16 A A the secretary.  17 Pigeorrect?  18 A And the secretary.  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the sole director, correct?  10 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz.  15:35:50 90 Q And the secretary.  15:37:50 20 A And the sole director, correct?  15:37:50 20 A And the sole director, correct?  15:37:50 20 A And the sole director, correct?  15:37:50 20 C And the sale director, correct?  15:37:50 20 C And the sale director, correct?  16 A That I think - well according to this, it was the sale sole signature stamp on this document, from the possible to the signa	15:33:12	1	pages. And the first page is exhibit of this exhibit	15:35:31	1	by Mr. Bergstein as of December 2nd, 2010?
15:33:31   3		2	is on the page Bates-stamped 508.		2	A That's what yes.
15:33:31   5   But if you'd go to 508 to the bottom.   15:35:57   5   board of directors.   7   THE WTINESS: Obay.   7   A Okay.   8   BYMR. WIECHERT:   8   Q And do you see the signature line for David Bergstein a secretary there?   12   A Yes.   12   Q And that's his signature stamp?   15:36:58   10   A Yes.   12   Q And that's his signature stamp?   15:36:35   15   A Okay.   14   A Yes.   15:33:55   15   Signature stamp?   15:36:35   15   A Okay.   16   A Yes.   17   Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp himsel?   18   Signature stamp papears, it's typically   15:36:35   2   A Yes.   18   Q And that's his signature stamp without his permission?   15:36:35   A No.   15:36:57   25   Doyou know how many accounts Swartz II his permission?   15:36:35   A No.   15:36:57   25   Doyou know how many account at David Bergstein in the betast since his signature stamp to put it on this one?"   15:36:35   A No.   15:36		3	A This one?		3	Q And then on the next page, there is a
MR. MGGONGLE: To the bottom right comer.   6		4	Q Of that exhibit.		4	certif certificate of secretary indicating that that
THE WITNESS: Okay.   7	15:33:31	5	But if you'd go to 508 to the bottom.	15:35:57	5	was the previous page was a resolution adapted by the
BY MR. WIECHERT:  9 Q Thank you.  15:33:44 10 A Okay.  11 Q Do you see the bottom of the page there is a signature stamp?  12 signature line for David Bergstein, directory.  13 A Yes.  14 Q And above that, is that the David Bergstein is signature stamp?  15:33:55 15 signature stamp?  16 A Yes.  17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp himself?  18 signature stamp himself?  19 A I don't think so.  19 Q Farm of a signature stamp appears, it's typically because you've stamped at —a this direction?  21 because you've stamped at —a this direction?  22 A Yes.  23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission?  24 his permission?  25 A No.  Page 191  15:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp without his permission?  26 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp without his permission?  27 Do you know how many accounts Swartz by sort asked you to place that signature stamp there?  28 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" —may I use your signature stamp there?  29 A To I asked him, "May I stamp" —may I use your signature stamp there?  20 Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP Services grouping?  29 A I six sery possible.  15:34:21 10 Q And you see alth a based on this document, that you would have seen if at the time you placed his signature stamp its on this document, that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp its on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the signature stamp is on this document, the processory of the processory		6	MR. MCGONIGLE: To the bottom right corner.		6	board of directors.
15:33:44   10		7	THE WITNESS: Okay.		7	•
15:33:44 10		8	BY MR. WIECHERT:		8	Q And do you see the signature line for David
11 Q Do you see at the bottom of the page there is a signature line for David Bergstein, director? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And above that, is that the David Bergstein 15:33:55 15 signature stamp? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp in the page there is a signature stamp? 18 signature stamp? 19 A I don't think so. 19 A I don't think so. 20 Q So if a signature stamp appears, if's typically because you've stamped at — at his direction? 21 because you've stamped at — at his direction? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission? 24 his permission? 25 A No. 26 Page 191 27 A Correct. 28 A Yes. 29 Q Do you know how many accounts Swartz and the form of the page there is a signature stamp without his permission? 29 A No. 20 So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp without his permission? 20 A No. 215:34:14 25 A No. 215:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp on a document, it means that at some point in time, he saked you to place that signature stamp there? 3 a Secryices grouping? 4 A Or lasked him, "May I stamp" — "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 4 A Or lasked him, "May I stamp" — "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 5 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there? 3 A Yes. 3 O Do you recall shall help you out there, I promise. 4 A Yes, that's — I did about this before. 5 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP A Yes, that's — I did about this before. 5 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP A A Yes. 5 Q Do you recall shou this before. 6 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP A A Yes. 6 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP A A Yes. 7 Piccorect? 8 A Yes. 8 Page 191 8 A A Me. 9 Do you acall who the signatory was on the document, the signature stamp is on this document, and the signature stamp		9	Q Thank you.		9	Bergstein as secretary there?
12   signature line for David Bergstein, director?   12   A Yes.     13   A Yes.   13   Q Thank you.     15:33:55   15   signature stamp?   15:36:35   15   A Okay.     16   A Yes.   16   Q These are a series of checks that were she signature stamp himsel?   18   signature stamp himsel?   18   A Yes.     18   signature stamp himsel?   18   A Yes.   16   Q These are a series of checks that were she signature stamp himsel?   18   A Yes.     19   Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically   15:36:47   20   correct?     21   because you've stamped at at his direction?   24   A Correct.     23   Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without   23   A No.     15:34:14   25   A No.   15:36:57   25   Deutsche Bank?    Page 191   Fage	15:33:44	10	•	15:36:08	10	A Yes.
13		11			11	Q And that's his signature stamp?
14 Q And above that, is that the David Bergstein spanner stamp? 15:33:35 15 Signature stamp? 17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp binnsel? 18 signature stamp binnsel? 19 A I don't think so. 15:34:03 20 Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically because you've stamped at — at his direction? 21 because you've stamped at — at his direction? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission? 24 his permission? 25:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp 2		12	signature line for David Bergstein, director?		12	A Yes.
15:33:55 15 signature stamp?  16 A Yes.  17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp himself?  18 signature stamp himself?  19 A I don't think so.  15:34:03 20 Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically  21 because you've stamped at at his direction?  22 A Yes.  23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission?  24 his permission?  25 A No.  26 Page 191  15:34:14 25 A No.  15:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp on a document, it means that at some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?"  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use Services grouping?  5 Services grouping?  5 A I's very possible.  15:34:11 10 Q So if we see late the time you placed his signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use be selviced by a sole director of Swartz IP be that since his signature stamp to put it on this one?"  6 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP be that since his signature stamp is on this document, a signature stamp there?  15:34:11 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise.  15:34:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise.  15:34:17 10 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP late A A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And the secretary.  16 David Bergstein is dentified as the president of Swartz IP late A Ard the secretary.  17 Pip; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the sole director; correct?  21 A Correct.  22 Q Do you know how many accounts Swartz IP late A No.  23 A No.  24 Deutsche Bank?  25:36:57 25 Deutsche Bank?  26 Q You heard of this later on, yes.  27 Q You heard of this later on, yes.  28 Q Do you recall that they had an account?  29 Q Do you recall having any involvement an extenting up the Deutsche Bank account?  30 A Yes.  31 A Yes.  32 Q O Do you recall having any i		13	A Yes.		13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 A Yes.  17 Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp himself?  18 signature stamp himself?  19 A I don't think so.  15:34:03 20 Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically because you've stamped at —at his direction?  21 because you've stamped at —at his direction?  22 A Yes.  23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission?  24 his permission?  25 A No.  Page 191  Page		14	Q And above that, is that the David Bergstein		14	If you'd now please turn to Exhibit 11.
17    Q Would David Bergstein sometimes use his signature stamp himself?   18   19   A I don't think so.   19   Q All these checks are on a Wells Fargo acc correct?   15:34:03   20   Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically   15:36:47   20   correct.   21   A Correct.   22   Q Do you know how many accounts Swartz   23   Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without   23   A No.   24   Do you recall that they had an account at   15:34:14   25   A No.   15:36:57   25   Deutsche Bank?   Page   191   Page   19	15:33:55	15		15:36:35	15	•
18 signature stamp himself? 19 A I don't think so. 15:34:03 20 Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically 21 because you've stamped at at his direction? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without 24 his permission? 25 A No. 26 So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp 27 on a document, it means that at some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there? 28 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 29 A A Ves. 29 Q You heard of it later on, yes. 20 Q You heard of this later on, yes. 30 asked you to place that signature stamp there? 40 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 41 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 42 Q You heard of it later on? 43 asked you to place that signature stamp there? 44 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 45 A Life Yes, 15:37:05 S Services grouping? 46 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP 47 Resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP 48 Services grouping? 49 A It's very possible. 40 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there? 41 A Yes. 42 Do you recall having any involvement and when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I belped facilitate them. That's very possible that I bequate because, I mean that I belped facilitate them. That's very possible that I belped facilitate them. That's very possible that I bequate because, I mean that I belped facilitate them. That's very possible that I bequate because, I mean that I belped facilitate them. That's very possible that I bequate because it at the time you placed his signature stamp there? 41 A Yes. 42 D Do you recall that they because, I mean that it because, I mean						
19 A I don't think so.  Q So if a signature stamp appears, it's typically because you've stamped at at his direction?  A Yes.  23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without his permission?  15:34:14 25 A No.  Page 191  Page 191  15:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp without asked you to place that signature stamp there?  A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use of Q Do you recall that they had an account?  A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" - "may I use of Q Do you recall that incomposition adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP services grouping?  A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp there?  A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp there?  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 13 signature stamp there?  15:34:57 15 Q And would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP P.; correct?  17 IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the sele director; correct?  20 All this echecks are on a Wells Fargo accorrect?  21 A Correct.  22 Q Do you know how many accounts Swartz and No.  23 A No.  24 Q Do you recall that they had an account at 15:36:59 1 A I heard of this later on, yes.  25 Q You heard of it later on?  3 A Yes.  4 Q Do you recall having any involvement an setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  4 A Yes.  4 Q Do you recall having any involvement an setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  5 Your signature stamp to not his one?"  5 Your signature stamp to not his one?"  15:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise.  15:37:27 10 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an according to this, it was David Bergstein.  15:37:29 15 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator than the was the sole signator.  15:37:29 10 Q Do you pount precipe account?  15:37:29 10 Q Do you pount the fermion and the was the sole sign						
2			-			
because you've stamped at — at his direction?  22						
22 A Yes. 23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without 24 his permission? 25 A No. 26   15:34:14   25 A No. 27   15:34:14   25 A No. 28   15:34:15   1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp on a document, it means that at some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there? 2   0 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use would asked you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP 3   15:34:41   10 Q Four recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, and the seeretary. 3   15:34:57   15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP 4 A A And the secretary. 5   15:35:09   20 And the secretary. 5   15:35:09   20 And the sele director; correct? 5   21 A That's what it says, yes.  22 Q Do you know how many accounts Swartz   23 A No. 24 Q Do you recall that they had an account at 15:36:57   25 Deutsche Bank?  15:36:57   25 Deutsche Bank?  15:36:59   1 A I heard of this later on, yes. 24 Q Do you recall having any involvement an setting up the Deutsche Bank account? 4 Q Do you recall having any involvement an setting up the Deutsche Bank account? 4 A Ves. 4 A It's very possible. 5   15:37:05   5 Setting up the Deutsche Bank account? 6 A It's very possible and the fore, this was possible in that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible yes.  15:37:17   10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise. 15:37:37:37   10 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an account well as the president of Swartz   15:37:29   15 Q Do you recall who the signatory was on the properties of the wells Fargo account? 15:33:30   20 Q And the secretary. 15:35:37:40   20 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40   20 Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40   20 Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?	15:34:03			15:36:47		
23 Q Would you ever use his signature stamp without 24 his permission? 25 A No.  Page 191  15:34:14 25 A No.  Page 191  Page 191  15:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp on a document, it means that at some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there? 4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use 5:34:27 5 your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 6 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP 8 Services grouping? 9 A It's very possible. 15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 15:37:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise. 15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 15:37:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise. 15:34:41 10 D Q Your recollection based on this document, 12 I hat you would have seen it at the time you placed his 13 signature stamp there? 15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP 17 IP; correct? 16 A Ad the secretary. 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 20 Do you recall that they had an account at 15:36:57 25 Deutsche Bank?  Page 191  Page 20 A No.  15:36:57 25 Deutsche Bank?  Page 15:36:59 1 A I heard of this later on, yes.  15:36:59 1 A I heard of this later on, yes.  15:37:50 5 setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  A It's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very p when documents needed to be signed, it's very p yes.  15:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise. 15:37:17 10 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an account?  A Yes.  15:37:29 15 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an account?  A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.  16 Wells Fargo account?  A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.  17 Q Do you recall that they had an account?  18 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kee						
24						
Page 191  15:34:14 25 A No.  Page 191  15:34:15 1 Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp on a document, it means that at some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?"  6 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP  8 Services grouping?  9 A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?  16 Wells Fargo account?  17 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.			• •			
Page 191  15:34:15			-			•
15:34:15	15:34:14	25	A No.	15:36:57	25	Deutsche Bank?
on a document, it means that at some point in time, he asked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use your signature stamp to put it on this one?"  5 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP  8 Services grouping?  9 A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP  17 IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the sole director; correct?  21 A That's what it says, yes.  2 Q You heard of it later on?  3 A Yes.  4 Q Do you recall having any involvement and setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  4 Q Do you recall having any involvement and setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  4 Q It's very possible that I because, I mean of the Deutsche Bank account?  5 setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  6 A It's very possible that I because, I mean of the Deutsche Bank account?  8 that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible that I helped fac			Page 191			Page 193
asked you to place that signature stamp there?  4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use  15:34:27 5 your signature stamp to put it on this one?"  6 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP  8 Services grouping?  9 A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the sole director; correct?  10 David Bergstein.  11 A Okay.  12 David Bergstein.  13 A Yes.  15:37:05 5 setting up the Deutsche Bank account?  15:37:05 6 A It's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible yes.  15:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise.  15:37:17 10 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an according to this, it was lead to the signatory was on the sig	15:34:15	1	Q So if we see David Bergstein's signature stamp	15:36:59	1	A I heard of this later on, yes.
4 A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use 15:34:27 5 your signature stamp to put it on this one?" 6 Q Have you seen this document before, this 7 resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP 8 Services grouping? 9 A It's very possible. 15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 11 be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his 13 signature stamp there? 14 A Yes. 15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 15:35:09 20 And the sole director; correct? 21 A That's what it says, yes.  4 Q Do you recall having any involvement an setting up the Deutsche Bank account? 15:37:05 5 setting up the Deutsche Bank account? 16 A It's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to		2	on a document, it means that at some point in time, he		2	Q You heard of it later on?
15:34:27 5 your signature stamp to put it on this one?"  6 Q Have you seen this document before, this resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP  8 Services grouping?  9 A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  10 Q Do you recall who the signatory was ont of the Wells Fargo account?  15:37:40 20 Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?  15:37:40 20 That's what it says, yes.		3	asked you to place that signature stamp there?		3	A Yes.
6 Q Have you seen this document before, this 7 resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP 8 Services grouping? 9 A It's very possible. 15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 11 be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his 13 signature stamp there? 14 A Yes. 15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the sole director; correct? 21 A That's what it says, yes.  6 A It's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I I helped facilitate them. That's very possible that I I helped facilitate them. That's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean to have here documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I because, I mean documents as the president of Swartz 10 and I had out this very possible that I because, I mean documents are the facilitate them. That's very possible that I because, I mean documents are the facilitate them. That's very possible that I beautifus a that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible that I beautifus a that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible that I beautifus a that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible that I beautifus and I had		4	A Or I asked him, "May I stamp" "may I use		4	Q Do you recall having any involvement and helped
resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP  8 Services grouping?  9 A It's very possible.  10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would be that since his signature stamp is on this document, that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz IP; correct?  17 IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the sole director; correct?  21 A That's what it says, yes.  7 when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible yes.  15:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise.  15:37:17 10 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an accordance with the signature stamp there.  12 Wells Fargo?  13 Wells Fargo account?  14 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.  15:37:40 20 Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?  15:37:40 20 The Wells Fargo account?	15:34:27	5	your signature stamp to put it on this one?"	15:37:05	5	setting up the Deutsche Bank account?
8 Services grouping? 9 A It's very possible. 15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 11 be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his 13 signature stamp there? 14 A Yes. 15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the sole director; correct? 20 A Ididn't set this account up and I didn't ket		6	Q Have you seen this document before, this		6	A It's very possible that I because, I mean,
9 A It's very possible.  15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 11 be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his 13 signature stamp there? 14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the sole director; correct? 10 A That's what it says, yes.  9 yes. 15:37:17 10 Q And I will help you out there, I promise. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Do you also recall Swartz IP had an according to this before. 15:37:29 15 Q Do you recall who the signatory was on the wells Fargo account? 16 Wells Fargo account? 17 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein. 19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kently the signator of the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?  A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kently the signator of the wells Fargo account?  A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kently the signator of the wells Fargo account?  A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kently the signator of the wells Fargo account?  A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kently the wells Fargo account?		7	resolution adapted by a sole director of Swartz IP		7	when documents needed to be signed, it's very possible
15:34:41 10 Q Your recollection based on your practice would 11 be that since his signature stamp is on this document, 12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his 13 signature stamp there? 14 A Yes. 15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the sole director; correct? 11 A That, I think well, according to this, it 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?		8	Services grouping?		8	that I helped facilitate them. That's very possible,
be that since his signature stamp is on this document,  12 that you would have seen it at the time you placed his  13 signature stamp there?  14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution,  16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz  17 IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  10 A Okay.  12 Do you also recall Swartz IP had an accordance of the wells Fargo?  14 A Yes, that's I did about this before.  15:37:29 15 Q Do you recall who the signatory was on the wells Fargo account?  16 Wells Fargo account?  17 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.  18 Was David Bergstein.  19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?  15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?			* 1		9	yes.
that you would have seen it at the time you placed his signature stamp there?  14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 A That, I think well, according to this, it 18 Wells Fargo account? 19 Q Do you recall who the signatory was on the was David Bergstein. 19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?  A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kerge account up accoun	15:34:41			15:37:17		
13 signature stamp there? 14 A Yes. 15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein. 19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?  A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kerger than the was the sole of the Wells Fargo account?						•
14 A Yes.  15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein. 19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?  A Ididn't set this account up and I didn't kerger.						-
15:34:57 15 Q And you see also that based on this resolution, 16 David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz 17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 19 Q And the sole director; correct? 19 A That's what it says, yes. 19 15:37:29 15 Q Do you recall who the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 16 Wells Fargo account? 17 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein. 19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account? 21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 22 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 23 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 24 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 25 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 26 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account? 27 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't kend the signatory was on the Wells Fargo account?						
David Bergstein is identified as the president of Swartz  17 IP; correct?  18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  11 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.  19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?  15:35:09 20 And the sole director; correct?  21 A That's what it says, yes.  16 Wells Fargo account?  17 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein.  19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?  21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't keep the Wells Fargo account?	15 04 ==			15 05 0		
17 IP; correct? 18 A And the secretary. 19 Q And the secretary. 15:35:09 20 And the sole director; correct? 21 A That's what it says, yes. 17 A That, I think well, according to this, it was David Bergstein. 18 Was David Bergstein. 19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account? 21 A That's what it says, yes. 21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't ket	15:34:57			15:37:29		
18 A And the secretary.  19 Q And the secretary.  15:35:09 20 And the sole director; correct?  21 A That's what it says, yes.  18 was David Bergstein.  19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator the Wells Fargo account?  21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't ket						
19 Q And the secretary.  15:35:09 20 And the sole director; correct?  21 A That's what it says, yes.  19 Q Do you recall that he was the sole signator to the Wells Fargo account?  21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't ket			,			
15:35:09 20 And the sole director; correct? 15:37:40 20 the Wells Fargo account?  21 A That's what it says, yes. 21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't ket			ž			_
A That's what it says, yes. 21 A I didn't set this account up and I didn't ket	15.25.00		•	15.27.40		
	15:35:09		•	15:37:40		_
22 Q And do you have any information that any of   22 records of it, so I wouldn't know. So			• • •			•
						Q Okay. Do you know of any other signatories on
	15.35.27			15.37.40		the Wells Fargo account other than David Bergstein?
15:35:27 25 Q And you see that that was at least certified to 15:37:49 25 A I wouldn't know either way.		20	And you see that that was at least certified to	+3.3/.49	20	11 I WOULDITT KHOW CHIEF Way.

		Page 194			Page 196
15:37:51	1	Q And in in terms of the Deutsche Bank	15:41:34	1	Do you see that?
	2	account, did you know of any other signatories other		2	A Yes.
	3	than David Bergstein on the Deutsche Bank account?		3	Q Who owned Pineboard Holding LLC?
	4	A I didn't even know that David Bergstein was a		4	A I have no idea.
15:38:00	5	signatory on the on the Deutsche Bank account.	15:41:41	5	Q Do you know what this million dollars was for?
	6	Q All right.		6	A No.
	7	A At the time I'm talking now. I mean, now,		7	Q Since I'm sorry. Withdraw the sentence.
	8	it's		8	The e-mail says you just spoke with David.
	9	Q If you turn to Exhibit 14.		9	Is that David Bergstein?
15:38:42	10	A Yes.	15:41:58	10	A Yes.
	11	Q This is a direction from David Bergstein dated		11	Q And he asked you, you being Majid, to arrange
	12	April 16, 2012 to you and Kia Jam saying, "Please wire		12	for one additional wire out of Pineboard Holdings in the
	13	20,000 from IA to Jerry Swartz."		13	amount of \$1 million.
	14	A Correct.		14	Do you see that?
15:39:06	15	Q I believe you testified that you did not	15:42:13	15	A Yes.
	16	control the IA bank account; correct?		16	Q So in this case, David Bergstein is directing
	17	A Correct.		17	the movement of \$1 million out of Pineboard; correct?
	18	Q So this was a direction by David Bergstein to		18	A Well, I I don't know what he was directing.
	19	Kia Jam to wire money from IA to Jerry Swartz?		19	I can only read the e-mail. So I spoke with David and
15:39:19	20	A And he probably included me in order to make	15:42:27	20	he asked that "You arrange for one."
	21	sure it was done into follow-up.		21	Q All right. Please turn to Exhibit 17.
	22	Q So David Bergstein was directing Kia Jam to do		22	A I'm sorry. I'm
	23	something; correct?		23	MR. MCGONIGLE: I think that's it.
	24	A That's the way it looks here, yes.		24	THE WITNESS: Huh?
15:39:31	25	Q And and it wasn't unusual for David to give	15:43:05	25	MR. MCGONIGLE: I think that's it.
15:39:34	1	directions to Kia, was it?	15:43:05	1	THE WITNESS: This is 9.
	2	A Well, I see it here so I don't know.		2	MR. MCGONIGLE: Oh, it's 9? It says, "Kia Jam"
	3	Q Do you know what who Jerry Swartz was?		3	in the upper right-hand corner.
	3 4	<ul><li>Q Do you know what who Jerry Swartz was?</li><li>A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me</li></ul>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15:39:53			15:43:11	3	in the upper right-hand corner.
15:39:53	4	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me	15:43:11	3 4	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?
15:39:53	4 5	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist	15:43:11	3 4 5	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17? BY MR. WIECHERT:
15:39:53	4 5 6	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean,	15:43:11	3 4 5 6	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.
15:39:53	4 5 6 7	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had	15:43:11	3 4 5 6 7	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at
15:39:53 15:40:14	4 5 6 7 8	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some	15:43:11 15:43:36	3 4 5 6 7 8	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at Weston Capital.
	4 5 6 7 8 9	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.
	4 5 6 7 8 9	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT: Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here. Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT: Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here. Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes. Q He was in Santa Monica?
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.
15:40:14	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.	15:43:36	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called
15:40:14	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part	15:43:36	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?
15:40:14	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry	15:43:36	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.
15:40:14	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry Swartz?	15:43:36	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.  Q Do you know whether or not Weston Capital
15:40:14	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry Swartz?  A I would not know.	15:43:36	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.  Q Do you know whether or not Weston Capital  provided any services to the plaintiff in this case?
15:40:14 15:40:28	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry Swartz?  A I would not know.  Q All right. If you turn to Exhibit 15.	15:43:36 15:43:43	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.  Q Do you know whether or not Weston Capital  provided any services to the plaintiff in this case?  A I have no idea.
15:40:14 15:40:28	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry Swartz?  A I would not know.  Q All right. If you turn to Exhibit 15.  A (Witness complies.)	15:43:36 15:43:43	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.  Q Do you know whether or not Weston Capital  provided any services to the plaintiff in this case?  A I have no idea.  Q Now, in this e-mail, you say, "Hi, Keith"
15:40:14 15:40:28	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry Swartz?  A I would not know.  Q All right. If you turn to Exhibit 15.  A (Witness complies.)  Q At the bottom of the first page, 479, there's	15:43:36 15:43:43	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.  Q Do you know whether or not Weston Capital  provided any services to the plaintiff in this case?  A I have no idea.  Q Now, in this e-mail, you say, "Hi, Keith"  that being Keith Welner "attached please find the
15:40:14 15:40:28	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Jerry Swartz I mean, it's very hard for me to describe who he was. He was a I think a scientist of some kind. And I think he had some sort I mean, again, this is just from the top of my head. He had some he worked at the university. He was in some research and then he got very sick.  Q Did you learn that from David Bergstein?  A No. I I met Jerry Swartz a few times.  Q Do you know whether Jerry Swartz had any ownership interest in Swartz IP?  A I have no idea.  Q Do you know whether Swartz IP was named in part because of David Bergstein's relationship with Jerry Swartz?  A I would not know.  Q All right. If you turn to Exhibit 15.  A (Witness complies.)  Q At the bottom of the first page, 479, there's an e-mail from you to Majid, Mr. Jam, and David	15:43:36 15:43:43	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the upper right-hand corner.  THE WITNESS: 17?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q 17.  It's an e-mail from you to Keith Wellner at  Weston Capital.  A Oh, yes, I I have it here.  Q Did you ever meet Keith Welner?  A Yes.  Q He was in Santa Monica?  A Yes.  Q Did you know he worked for a company called  Weston Capital?  A I don't think so.  Q Do you know whether or not Weston Capital  provided any services to the plaintiff in this case?  A I have no idea.  Q Now, in this e-mail, you say, "Hi, Keith"  that being Keith Welner "attached please find the  bylaws for Swartz IP Services Group. Please let me know

		Page 198			Page 200
15:44:13	1	correct?	15:47:21	1	Do you see that?
	2	A Yes. Uh-huh.		2	A I see that, yes. Number 2, yes.
	3	Q You did not copy Kia Jam, did you?		3	Q But have we have you seen any documents ever
	4	A Apparently not, no.		4	appointing Kia Jam president of Swartz IP?
15:44:23	5	Q Do you know who owns Owari Opus?	15:47:38	5	A I don't think so. I mean, I again, I
	6	A I have no idea.		6	don't I don't remember.
	7	Q If you could now turn to Exhibit 20.		7	Q Have you ever seen Kia Jam sign as president of
	8	A Okay. Uh-huh, yes.		8	Swartz IP?
	9	Q And on Exhibit 20, we saw earlier the request		9	A I don't remember either way.
15:45:03	10	by David Bergstein for the million-dollar transfer out	15:47:53	10	Q So let's start with a new exhibit. And this
	11	of Pineboard Holdings?		11	will be Exhibit No. 25.
	12	A Uh-huh.		12	(Exhibit 25 was marked for
	13	Q If you look at the responsible party for		13	identification by the Court Reporter
	14	Pineboard, do you see that it's Graybox that's listed on		14	and is attached hereto.)
15:45:18	15	this document?	15:48:14	15	MR. WIECHERT: And, Jim, can I ask you just to
13.43.10	16	A Yes.	13.13.11	16	pass my pen over?
	17	Q And that's the company David managed?		17	MR. WALKER: Oh, of course.
	18	A As I said before, when it says "responsible		18	MR. WIECHERT: Thank you.
	19			19	BY MR. WIECHERT: Thank you.
15:45:31	20	party," this was the entity we used to apply for an EIN number.	15:48:35	20	
13:43:31			15:40:55	21	Q Ms. Biedak, have you ever seen Exhibit 25 before?
	21	Q Right.			
	22	Graybox was a company that David managed;		22	A It looks I I think so.
	23	correct?		23	Q Did you prepare it?
15 45 40	24	A Yes.	15 40 55	24	A It's very possible, yes, because that's how I
15:45:40	25	Q Now, please look at Exhibit 22.	15:48:55	25	would prepare a document.
		Page 199			Page 201
15:45:58	1	A Yes.	15:48:57	1	Q All right. Do you recall where the information
	2	Q Did you prepare this document?		2	came from that you placed on this document?
	3	A No, as I said before.		3	A Well, I probably would have looked at the
	4	Q Do you have any idea where the information came		4	articles of the certificate, information or
15:46:02	5	from that was placed on this document?	15:49:18	5	whatever at maybe at the maybe at the EIN number.
	6	A The only person I can think of and I'm not		6	Q This is interesting.
	7	sure, and I'm really not sure would be in 2010,		7	Do you recall speaking with anyone to obtain
	8	maybe, and I can only say maybe, Jeffrey Solomon.		8	information concerning Swartz IP other than looking at
	9	Q You're speculating now; correct?		9	legal documents?
15:46:30	10	A Yes, I'm speculating.	15:49:44	10	A Possibly, yes. I would assume so because I
	11	Q Other than this speculation, you have no		11	don't know how to answer any of when it says your
	12	knowledge?		12	name, I would not answer all these questions. I
	13	A No. No idea.		13	wouldn't know how to answer them.
	14	Q All right.		14	Q And is this a document if you were preparing
15:46:35	15	A Never seen this document.	15:49:57	15	it, you would be preparing it in the course of your
	16	Q If you turn to page 3. And it's a description		16	regular course of business working for Mr. Bergstein?
	17	of Swartz IP. On line 4, it says, "David to provide		17	Would you prepare this as part of your job for
	18	date and description of all transactions, including		18	Mr. Bergstein?
	19	transactions with approximately 40 theaters conducted by		19	A I don't even know what this document was for,
15:46:59	20	Swartz to date."	15:50:13	20	quite frankly.
	21	Do you know of any theater transactions that		21	Q All right.
	22	Swartz had?		22	A For which purpose.
		A No.		23	Q There is certain information on this document.
	2.3				
	23				
15:47:20	23 24 25	Q It also indicates that on line 2 that Keith was to be appointed president.	15:50:18	24 25	A Uh-huh.  Q And I want to focus first of all, there's a

		Page 202			Page 204
15:50:21	1	question about names of individuals with ten or more	15:54:18	1	the attachments?
	2	ownership 10 percent or more ownership or control of		2	A When you say "recognize" when was it sent?
	3	the company. And first is listed Owari Opus on some		3	Q Well, let's walk through
	4	majority of interest, 87.5 percent.		4	A Eight years ago.
15:50:38	5	Do you see that?	15:54:29	5	Q Let's walk through it.
	6	A Yes, I do.		6	A Sure.
	7	Q You've indicated earlier you don't know who		7	Q The from line is from you; correct?
	8	owns Owari Opus; correct?		8	A Yes.
	9	A That's correct.		9	Q And it's sent on November 10th, 2011 at 4:56 to
15:50:46		Q It then says, "David Bergstein is the president	15:54:38	10	a seanedr seanedrington@db.com.
13.30.40	11	of Owari Opus."	13.34.30	11	Do you see that?
					-
	12	Do you see that?		12	A Yes.
	13	A I see that, yes.		13	Q And a Alisa Liley is also a recipient?
	14	Q Do you have any information as to whether or	45 54 40	14	A Yes.
15:50:55	15	not David Bergstein was the president of Owari Opus?	15:54:49	15	Q And do you recall that Sean Ed Edrington
	16	A I I don't. And I don't know I did not		16	was a representative of Deutsche Bank?
	17	think that he was, actually.		17	A Yes.
	18	Q And Jerry Swartz owning 12.5 percent of Swartz		18	Q As was Alisa Liley?
	19	IP.		19	A She was the assistant, I think.
15:51:12	20	Do you know whether he ever became a	15:54:58	20	Q She was his assistant?
	21	shareholder of Swartz IP?		21	A Well, I don't think she, like I think she
	22	A I wouldn't know either way.		22	was more like an associate.
	23	Q Then there is at the bottom, there are		23	Q A coequal? They both did work at the bank?
	24	financial questions. And the first relates to total		24	A Yes.
15:51:27	25	annual income and it says "2 million to \$5 million for	15:55:10	25	Q And the subject matter was "Swartz IP Group,
		Page 203			Page 205
15:51:31	1	Page 203	15:55:14	1	_
15:51:31	1	total annually" "annual income."	15:55:14	1 2	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."
15:51:31	2	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?	15:55:14	2	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?
15:51:31	2	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.	15:55:14	2	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.
	2 3 4	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever		2 3 4	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.
15:51:31 15:51:36	2 3 4 5	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?	15:55:14 15:55:21	2 3 4 5	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?
	2 3 4 5 6	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.		2 3 4 5	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.
	2 3 4 5	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over		2 3 4 5 6	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.
15:51:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.	15:55:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide
15:51:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?	15:55:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account
15:51:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.	15:55:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide
15:51:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.	15:55:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account
15:51:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.	15:55:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?
15:51:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for	15:55:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.
15:51:36 15:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then
15:51:36 15:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?
15:51:36 15:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.
15:51:36 15:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's
15:51:36 15:51:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  THE WITNESS: You want me to look at this?	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's start with basically the last two pages.
15:51:36 15:51:48 15:52:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  THE WITNESS: You want me to look at this?  BY MR. WIECHERT:	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's start with basically the last two pages.  Do you recognize the last page as
15:51:36 15:51:48 15:52:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  THE WITNESS: You want me to look at this?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q Yes, please.  Ms. Biedak, it's a multipage document. And	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's start with basically the last two pages.  Do you recognize the last page as  Mr. Bergstein's driver's license?  A Yes.
15:51:36 15:51:48 15:52:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  THE WITNESS: You want me to look at this?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q Yes, please.  Ms. Biedak, it's a multipage document. And just take a look through. Take as much time as you need	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's start with basically the last two pages.  Do you recognize the last page as  Mr. Bergstein's driver's license?  A Yes.  Q Do you recognize what appears to be on the
15:51:36 15:51:48 15:52:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  THE WITNESS: You want me to look at this?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q Yes, please.  Ms. Biedak, it's a multipage document. And just take a look through. Take as much time as you need to determine what it relates to.	15:55:21 15:55:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Inc., New Account with Deutsche Bank."  Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high.  Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it.  The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's start with basically the last two pages.  Do you recognize the last page as  Mr. Bergstein's driver's license?  A Yes.  Q Do you recognize what appears to be on the second to the last page a photocopy of the passport of
15:51:36 15:51:48 15:52:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	total annually" "annual income."  Do you see that?  A Yes.  Q Do you know whether or not Swartz IP ever generated 2 to \$5 million in annual income?  A I have no idea.  Q And in terms of liquid net worth, over  \$5 million, do you have any information about that line?  A I have no idea.  Q And do you have any information about the total net worth over \$5 million?  A No.  Q Thank you.  This will be Exhibit 26.  (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  THE WITNESS: You want me to look at this?  BY MR. WIECHERT:  Q Yes, please.  Ms. Biedak, it's a multipage document. And just take a look through. Take as much time as you need	15:55:21 15:55:31 15:55:49	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you see that?  A Yes, I do.  Q The importance on e-mail was high. Now, is that because you designated it as high?  A I pretty much put everything as high.  Q There was a sense of urgency in everything?  A It was always.  Q Always a sense of urgency. I get it. The purpose of the e-mail was to provide  Deutsche Bank information needed to set up a new account for Swartz IP group; correct?  A Yes.  Q And so you gathered the information and then you transmitted it by e-mail; correct?  A Yes.  Q Going through this document well, let's start with basically the last two pages. Do you recognize the last page as  Mr. Bergstein's driver's license?  A Yes.  Q Do you recognize what appears to be on the

		Page 206			Page 208
15:56:38	1	Q You'll also notice that one, two, three	16:00:00	1	A All right.
	2	four pages earlier, there is a certificate of secretary		2	Q Two-thirds of the way down, there is a box
	3	of Swartz IP Group, Inc. that was bearing the signature		3	checked for entities' annual income.
	4	of David Bergstein; correct?		4	Do you see that?
15:56:59	5	A Yes.	16:00:06	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Mr. Bergstein was designated the president of		6	Q And it shows 1 million to \$5 million?
	7	Swartz IP on the corporate account authorization. And		7	A That's what it says.
	8	if you go to page let me count them. One, two,		8	Q Yes.
	9	three, four, five, six, seven, eight.		9	Do you know where that information came from?
15:57:38	10	A Yes. I looked at it.	16:00:12	10	A No.
	11	Q All right. Nine and ten. Six, seven, eight		11	Q Okay. But you do know it was submitted to the
	12	nine, and ten. Well, let's do this. All right. I		12	bank?
	13	don't want to if you can go to the page that states		13	A If this is the do if if this is the
	14	"corporate and other organized entities."		14	e-mail and it lists the documents here, because it says,
15:58:03	15	A Which page is this?	16:00:22	15	"completed and signed corporate account authorization"
	16	Q I'll make it easier. I'm sorry. And then		16	or whatever those the the three first forms then
	17	we'll walk through it.		17	yes, it was probably submitted to the bank.
	18	There you go.		18	Q All right.
	19	A Thank you.		19	A Most likely.
15:58:23	20	Q And it appears that the information on the page	16:00:32	20	Q And then there is also a checked box for
	21	entitled "Corporate and Other Organized Entities" was		21	entity's net worth excluding principal residence and it
	22	inputted on a computer rather than handwritten?		22	shows \$5 million or more.
	23	A Yes.		23	Do you see that?
	24	Q Did you input this information?		24	A I see that, yes.
15:58:47	25	A It's possible. It could have been also put in	16:00:43	25	Q And it indicates that approximately 80 percent
		Page 207			Page 209
15:58:50	1	by the bank, by Alisa Liley.	16:00:46	1	of the net worth is in investable asset stocks bonds, et
	2	Q If the bank put it in, would it have been based		2	cetera.
	3	on information that was provided by someone outside the		3	Do you see that?
	4	bank; correct?		4	A Where is this?
15:58:58	5	A That's the way I see it, yes.	16:00:57	5	Q That's about that's in the
	6	Q Do you recall providing the bank information		6	MR. MCGONIGLE: Right this one here.
	7	about Swartz IP's financials?		7	BY MR. WIECHERT:
	8	A I don't I don't remember.		8	Q Right under the annual income and net worth.
	9	Q You did, though, ultimately send them the		9	A Yes.
15:59:11	10	package to open this new account pursuant to the e-mail?	16:01:05	10	Q Yes.
	11	A Pursuant to the e-mail, yes.		11	A I can see it, yeah.
	12	Q Now, you'll notice on the "Corporate and Other		12	Q Do you know whether Swartz IP at the time that
	13	Organized Entities" page, there is a box checked for		13	this information was submitted to the bank had
	14	entities' annual income and it shows 1 to \$5 million.		14	investable assets of approximately \$4 million or more?
15:59:30	15	Do you see that?	16:01:25	15	A I wouldn't know one way or the other.
	16	A One to \$5 million. I'm sorry. Wh where is		16	Q If you go to the next page, it says "Authorized
	17	this?		17	Parties Details."
	18	Q It's about two-thirds of the way down.		18	Do you see that?
	19	MR. MCGONIGLE: What page are you looking at?		19	A Appro yes, I do. I do.
15:59:47	20	MR. WIECHERT: "Corporate and other organized	16:01:41	20	Q All right. And there is only one authorized
		entities."		21	party there; correct?
	21				
	22	MR. MCGONIGLE: That is the page before that.		22	A That's David Bergstein.
	22 23	MR. MCGONIGLE: That is the page before that. THE WITNESS: This one?		23	A That's David Bergstein. Q And was that his legal address, 6433 Topanga
	22 23 24	MR. MCGONIGLE: That is the page before that. THE WITNESS: This one? BY MR. WIECHERT:		23 24	A That's David Bergstein.  Q And was that his legal address, 6433 Topanga Canyon Boulevard, Suite 154, Canoga Park as of
15:59:59	22 23	MR. MCGONIGLE: That is the page before that. THE WITNESS: This one?	16:01:57	23 24	A That's David Bergstein. Q And was that his legal address, 6433 Topanga

16:02:01 1 A I — I would have not put this address in. 2 Q Why not? 3 A Because I was not — I never used his address 4 for — for — for anything. I would have put in 16:02:15 5 probably the — the Colorado address. 6 Q What was his address? What was there at 6433 7 Topanga Canyon — 8 A It was a mailing address. I think it was a mailing address for David Bergstein smailing address. 16:02:25 10 Q It was David Bergstein's mailing address? 11 A I think so, yes. 12 Q Is there actually a suite there or is it just a mailbox? 14 A I think it's a mailing — I think it's a mailbox? 16:02:35 15 mailbox. 16 Q If you go farther down, it shows a business 17 phone of (310)828-1515. 18 A That is my direct line. 20 Q And there is a cell phone number of 21 A My direct line. 22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 23 (213)618-3036.  Page 211  Page 211  16:04:35 1 Q Now, if you go two pages over, there is a corporate account authorization and terms and condition officer certificate.  16:04:35 1 Q Now, if you go firecrificate.  16:04:35 1 A Yes.  16:04:35 1 A Yes.  16:04:50 5 A Yes.  16:05:14 10 document?  16:05:21 10 Q And then does he sign as president on this document?  16:05:21 10 Q And then does he sign as president on this document?  16:05:21 10 Q And then does he sign as president on this document?  16:05:21 10 Q Yes.  16:05:22 1 A Yes.  16:05:23 17 IP?  16:05:24 2 Q And does it also appear as secretary of Swartz Paccount; c			Page 210			Page 212
2	16.02.01	1		16:04:35	1	_
A Because I was not — I never used his address   Giffee certificate.	10.02.01		-	10.01.00		
4						
16:02:15   5   Probably the the Colorado address.   16:04:150   5   A Yes.   7   Yes						
Figure 2   Figure 2   Figure 3	16:02:15			16:04:50		•
Topunga Canyon -  A It was a mailing address. I think it was a mailing address for David Bergstein.  Q It was David Bergstein's mailing address?  A It was a mailing address?  A It was a mailing address. I think it was a mailing address for David Bergstein's mailing address?  A It was a mailing address about the Swart was David Bergstein's a Count's power of the Swart was David Bergstein's and a Count's power of the David Bergstein's and a Count's power of the David Bergstein's and a Count's power of transactions that took place through the David Bergstein bo San David Bergstein beaut the Count's pow	10.02.10			10.01.00		
## A R Is was a mailing address. I blink it was a mailing address for David Bergstein.    16:02:25   10   Q R was David Bergstein's mailing address?   16:05:14   10   document?			~			
16:02:25   10   Milling address for David Bergstein.   9   Q And then does he sign as president on this document?   11   A I think so, yes.   12   Q Is there actually a suite there or is it just a mailbox?   14   A I think it's a mailbox?   15   A I think it's a mailbox?   16:05:17   15   A I was a signature stamp.   16:02:35   15   Mand on the next page, does he also have a signature stamp appear as president of Swartz IP?   16:05:27   15   A Ves.   16:05:27   15   A Ves.   16:05:27   15   A Ves.   16:05:27   16   Q And does it also appear as secretary of Swartz IP?   16:05:27   16   Q And does it also appear as secretary of Swartz IP?   16:05:27   17   17   18   A Ves.   19   Q That's your direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's   16:05:27   18   A Ves.   19   Q That's your direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's   16:05:43   20   Q Mr. Bergstein was the sole signatory on the Swartz IP?   16:05:30   20   A My direct line.   22   Q D you know of anyone else who was?   23   Q I My direct line.   24   Whose number is that?   24   Whose number is that?   24   Q D you know of anyone else who was?   25   A That used to be David Bergsteins.   16:06:26   25   Q D you know of anyone else who was?   24   Whose number is that?   24   Q D you see that?   25   Q D you know of anyone else who was?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?   26:06:30   1   A Are you talking about the accoun						
16:02:25 10 Q It was David Bergstein's mailing address? 11 A I think so, yes. 12 Q Is there actually a suite there or is it just a mailbox? 14 A I think it's a mailbox. 15 mailbox. 16 Q If you go farther down, it shows a business in properties of the properties of						
11	16:02:25			16:05:14		
12    Q   Steher actually a suite there or is it just a mailbox?	10.02.20					
13 mailbox?   14 A I think it's a mailing — I think it's a mailbox.   16:02:35   15 mailbox.   16:05:27   15 A Yes.   16:05:27   17 Pponor of (310)828-1515.   18 A That is my direct line.   16:05:27   16 Q And does it also appear as secretary of Swartz IP account; correct?   16:05:27   17 PP			. •			•
14 A I think it's a mailing — I think it's a mailbinx.  16:02:35 15 mailbox.  17 mailbox.  18 A That is my direct line. Still is.  19 Q If you go farther down, it shows a business phone of (310)828-1515.  18 A That is my direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's direct line?  20 Q And there is a cell phone number of 22 Q Do you know of anyone else who was?  21 A Well, that, I don't know.  22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 22 Q Do you know of anyone else who was?  23 (213)618-3036.  24 Whose number is that?  25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  26 A (Witness complies.)  27 A (Witness complies.)  28 A (Witness complies.)  29 A (Witness complies.)  20 A Yes.  21 A A Well, that, I don't know.  22 Q Do you know of anyone else who was?  23 (213)618-3036.  24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  25 Page 211  26:03:10 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page.  26 A (Witness complies.)  27 A (Witness complies.)  28 A Yes.  29 A (Witness complies.)  20 A Yes.  21 A A res.  22 Do you know of anyone else who was?  23 A I wouldn't know either way.  24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  25 Page 211  26:03:10 1 A A are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank?  26 A Yes.  27 A Ul-huh.  28 16:06:30 1 A A A Pes.  29 A Ul-huh.  20 Once the Deutsche Bank account was opened through the Deutsche Bank account?  29 Q You found out later about that.  20 You found out later about that.  20 You found out later about that.  21 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from Particular and the provided pr						~
16:02:35   15 mailbox.   16:05:27   15						1 0
16	16:02:35			16:05:27		
17 phone of (310)828-1515.  18 A That is my direct line. Still is. 19 Q That's your direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's 16:03:01 20 direct line? 21 A My direct line. 22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  Page 211  16:03:16 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page. 2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the 4 assistant to David Bergstein. 2 A Yes. 3 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz account? 4 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 4 ascount? 4 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 4 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 4 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 4 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz account? 4 Q Not for an accounting, I have no idea. 4 Q Not for an accounting, I have no idea. 5 Q So who was the and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and and you correct?  10 Mr. Bergstein was the sole signatory on the swartz and increase in a fire was a firm on the probably would call me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me an	10.02.00			10.00.27		
18 A That is my direct line. Still is. 19 Q That's your direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's 16:03:01 20 direct line? 21 A My direct line. 22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 Whose number is that? 26 Whose number is that? 27 A That used to be David Bergstein's. 28 Page 211 29 David Rome of Witness complies. 29 A (Witness complies.) 20 A (Witness complies.) 21 A A rey ou talking about the account with Deuts assistant to David Bergstein. 29 A (Witness complies.) 30 Q For account contact, they identify you as the acsistant to David Bergstein. 40 A Yes. 416:03:30 S Do you see that? 416:03:40 David Bergstein. 417 A Por Under the way. 418 A Yes. 419 C Once the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account? 410 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 411 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 412 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 413 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 414 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 415 David Bergstein to Sean Edinigton as well as the relationary of the Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 419 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and and wo correct? 4 C A Well, they would call me and ask me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touch with me and wash me to go to - get in touc						**
19 Q That's your direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's direct line, not Mr. Bergstein's direct line? 21 A My direct line. 22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 22 Q Do you know of anyone clse who was? 23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  Page 211  16:03:16 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page. 2 A (Witness complies.) 2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the 4 assistant to David Bergstein. 2 Do you see that? 4 A Ul-huh. 2 Bank? 4 A Ul-huh. 2 Bank? 5 Q Once the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place there were						
16:03:01 20 direct line?  21 A My direct line. 22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  26 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Po you know of anyone cles who was? 20 Po you recall that once this account was opened?  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 211  24 Page 211  25 Page 211  26:03:16 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 211  24 Page 211  25 Page 211  26:03:26 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 211  24 Page 211  25 Page 211  26:03:26 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 211  24 Page 211  25 Page 211  26:04:04 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 211  24 Page 211  25 Page 211  26:04:04 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 211  24 Page 211  25 Page 211  26:06:30 Page 4  27 Page 211  28 Page 21  29 Page 21  20 Page 21  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 Page 21  24 Page 21  25 Page 21  26 Page 21  27 Page 21  28 Page 21  29 Page 21  20 Page 21  20 Page 21  21 Page 21  22 Page 21  23 A Well, that, Idon't know either way.  24 Page 21  25 Page 21  26 Page 21  27 Page 21  28 Page 21  29 Page 21  29 Page 21  20 Page 21  21 Page 21  21 Page 21  22 Page 21  23 A Page 21  24 Page 21  25 Page 24  26 Page 21  26 Page 21  27 Page 21  28 Page 21  29 Page 21  20 Page 21  20 Page 21  20 Page 21  21 Page 21  21 Page 21  21 Page 21  22 Page 21  23 A Page 21  24 Page 24  25 Page 24  26 Page 26  26 Page 26  27 Page 27  28 Page 27  29 Page 27  29 Page 28  29 Page 29  29 P			•			
21 A My direct line. 22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  26 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 All right. If you go to the next page. 21 A Witness complies.) 22 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the assistant to David Bergstein. 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the assistant to David Bergstein. 4 A Uh-huh.  29 Account? 4 A Uh-huh.  20 Poyou talking about the account with Deuts Bank? 4 Q Pos. 4 A Uh-huh. 4 A Uh-huh. 4 A Uh-huh. 4 A Uh-huh. 4 A I found out later about that took place through the Deutsche Bank account? 4 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 4 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 4 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 4 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 4 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 5 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16 Paccount based on this paperwork that you submitted, who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call me and as three they one, they probably would call me and as three to go to — get in touch with 20 Q And you can look through the document to — or 21 Mr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to — or 23 Carrect? 24 Do you know for anyone distret way. 25 Do you know feither way. 26 Q Do you know feither way. 26 Q Do you know feither way. 27 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened? 28 A I found and a Pace with Eaccount with Pace Pace Pace Pace Pace Pace Pace Pace	16:03:01			16:05:43		
22 Q And there is a cell phone number of 23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  26 Page 211  27 Page 211  28 Page 211  29 Page 211  20 Do you know of anyone else who was? 20 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  20 Page 211  21 Page 211  22 Page 211  23 A A Troublan't know either way. 24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  29 Page 211  20 Page 211  21 Page 21  22 Page 211  23 A A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank? 24 A Uh-huh. 25 Page 26  26 Page 27  27 Page 27  28 Page 27  28 Page 29  29 Page 20  20 Page 20  20 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 20  23 A I wouldn't know either way. 24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  24 Page 20  25 Page 20  26 Page 20  27 Page 20  28 Page 20  29 Page 20  20 Page 20  21 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 20  23 A I wouldn't know either way. 24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  24 Page 20  25 Page 20  26 Page 20  27 Page 20  28 Page 20  29 Page 20  20 Page 20  20 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 20  23 A I wouldn't know either way. 24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  29 Page 20  20 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 20  23 A I wouldn't know either way. 24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  20 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 20  23 A I wouldn't know either way. 24 Q Do you recall that once this account was opened?  24 Page 20  25 Page 20  26 Page 20  27 Page 20  28 Page 20  29 Page 20  20 Page 3  20 Page 4  21 Page 20  20 Page 4  21 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 4  23 A A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank? 24 Q Page 4  25 Page 20  26 Page 4  27 Page 20  28 Page 20  29 Page 20  20 Page 4  20 Page 20  20 Page 4  21 Page 20  21 Page 20  21 Page 20  22 Page 4  23 A A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank? 24 Q Page 4  25 Page 4  26 Page 4  26 Page 4  27 Page 20  28 Page 20  29 Page 4  29 Page 20  20 Page 4  20	10.00.01					•
23 (213)618-3036. 24 Whose number is that? 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  Page 211  16:03:16 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page. 2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the assistant to David Bergstein. 4 assistant to David Bergstein. 5 Do you see that? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 8 A I found out later about that. 9 account? 16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 22 C A (Witness complies.)  16:05:30 1 A A I wouldn't know either way. 22 Do you recall that once this account was opened?  16:06:26 25 opened?  16:06:30 1 A A re you talking about the account with Deuts Bank? 2 Bank? 3 Q Yes. 4 A Uh-huh. 2 Do once the Deutsche Bank account? 4 A I found out later about that that there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account? 4 A I found out later about that? 4 A I found out later about that. 4 D O was account? 4 A A the time of discovery. 4 D O day. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of the sean Edrington as well as the relember of t						
Page 211  16:03:09 25 A That used to be David Bergstein's.  Page 211  16:03:16 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page. 2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the assistant to David Bergstein. 16:03:30 5 Do you see that? 4 assistant to David Bergstein. 16:03:30 5 Do you see that? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 9 account? 16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go toget in touch with 16:04:14 20 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 2 Q And you can look through the document to or 2 Q And you can look through the document to or 2 Q And you can look through the document to or 2 Q And you can look through the document to or 2 Q And you can look through the document to or 2 Q And you can look through the document to or					23	
Page 211  16:03:16 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page. 2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the 4 assistant to David Bergstein.  16:03:30 5 Do you see that? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 9 account? 16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 18 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 19 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call me and ask me to go to get in touch with 16:04:14 20 Rad And you can look through the document to or 20 Q And you can look through the document to or 21 Wr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 G A Rate used the account with Deuts Bank? 24 A Uh-huh. 25 Q Once the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account? 25 Q You found out later about that? 26 Q You found out later about that? 27 Q You found out later about that? 28 A I found out later about that? 29 Q You found out later about that? 20 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 21 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from e-mail from E-mail that copied you. 27 (Exhibit 27 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) 28 BY MR. WEICHERT: 29 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Scan Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?						
Page 211  A Are you talking about the account with Deuts about the A Un-huh.  Page 21  Bank?  A Uh-huh.  Page 22  Page 21  A Are you talking about the account with Deuts about the account with Deuts about the account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place there w	16:03:09	25		16:06:26	25	•
16:03:16 1 Q All right. If you go to the next page. 2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the 4 assistant to David Bergstein. 16:03:30 5 Do you see that? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified 8 to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 16:04:14 20 Mr. Bergstein. 20 Q And you can look through the document to or 21 A A Are you talking about the account with Deuts Bank? 22 Bank? 3 Q Yes. 4 A Uh-huh. 16:06:34 5 Q Once the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account: 4 I found out later about that. 4 I found out later about that? 4 A I found out later about that? 4 A At the time of discovery. 4 I Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the relevant of the search of the plant of the plant and search of the plant account of the plant and search of the plant account of t						
2 A (Witness complies.) 3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the 4 assistant to David Bergstein. 16:03:30 5 Do you see that? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified 8 to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 9 account? 16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 17 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 18 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 19 A For the accounting standpoint. 10:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 Bank? 3 Q Yes. 4 A Uh-huh. 6 A Uh-huh. 6 A Uh-huh. 7 (Exhibit 27 was marked count was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account?  16:06:46 10 A I found out later about that. 16:06:46 10 A At the time of discovery. 11 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from Let's earlier in the e-mail from Let's earlier in the e-mail from Let's earlier in time. 12 David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releanal that copied you. 13 (Exhibit 27 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) 14 (Exhibit 27 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with Exhibit 27 was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and your correct?			Page 211			Page 213
3 Q For account contact, they identify you as the 4 assistant to David Bergstein.  16:03:30 5 Do you see that?  6 A Yes.  7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz  8 to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz  9 account?  16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David  16:03:42 10 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork.  12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this?  13 A For the accounting, I have no idea.  14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, who would the question go to?  18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with  20 And you can look through the document to or  21 Q And you can look through the document to or	16:03:16	1		16:06:30	1	A Are you talking about the account with Deutsche
4 assistant to David Bergstein.  16:03:30 5 Do you see that?  A Yes.  7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz account?  16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork.  11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork.  12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this?  13 A For the accounting, I have no idea.  14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, who would the question go to?  18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call the document to or  4 A Uh-huh.  16:06:34 5 Q Once the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account:  4 A I found out later about that.  9 Q You found out later about that?  A At the time of discovery.  11 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail fror a David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releant of the command as the releant of the command as the releant of the same and is attached hereto.)  12 David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releant of the command as the releant of the comma		2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	Bank?
16:03:30 5 Do you see that?  A Yes.  7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz account?  16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork.  12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting standpoint.  16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz in Who would the question go to?  18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call in the bank and you can look through the document to or  16:04:14 Q And you can look through the document to or  16:04:14 Q And you can look through the document to or  16:04:14 Q And you can look through the document to or  16:07:26 Q Bank was count was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the cheets through the Deutsche Bank account was opened there were a number of transactions that took place through the cheet were a number of transactions that took place through the cheet were a number of transactions that took place through the cheet were a number of transactions that took place through the Deutsche Bank account?  16:06:46 10 A A the time of discovery.  11 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releast of through the clear and is attached hereto.)  13 e-mail that copied you.  14 (Exhibit 27 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  15 By MR. WEICHERT:  16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with  16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?		3				
6 A Yes. 7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified 8 to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 9 account? 9 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 24 G So when this was submitted, you were identified to to the bank as submitted, you were identified to to the bank as the account?  16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 16:06:46 10 A At the time of discovery. 11 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releance of thiosens of through the Deutsche Bank account?  16:06:46 10 A At the time of discovery. 11 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releance of through the count as Exhibit 27 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) 15 By MR. WEICHERT: 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?		4	_		4	
7 Q So when this was submitted, you were identified 8 to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz 9 account?  16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 20 And you can look through the Deutsche Bank account? 21 through the Deutsche Bank account? 22 through the Deutsche Bank account? 23 A I found out later about that. 24 A At the time of discovery. 24 David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releant of the ce-mail that copied you. 24 (Exhibit 27 was marked for 13:30:33 15 identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) 25 BY MR. WEICHERT: 26 A Mell, they would probably call David Bergstein. 27 BY MR. WEICHERT: 28 A Well, they would probably would call and is attached hereto.) 29 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the releant of the ce-mail that copied you. 30 (Exhibit 27 was marked for 13:30:33 15 identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) 31 BY MR. WEICHERT: 32 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 33 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?	16:03:30			16:06:34		
to the bank as the account contact for the Swartz account?  A No. Not really. I was assistant to David Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork.  C So who was the bank's account contact for this?  A For the accounting, I have no idea.  Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, who would the question go to?  A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call me and ask me to go to get in touch with  Mr. Bergstein.  Q Not for the account contact for this?  16:04:04:04:04:05:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:04:06:06:06:06:04:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:06:						-
9 account?  16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 20 And you can look through the document to or 21 Mr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 Q You found out later about that? 24 A At the time of discovery. 26 A At the time of discovery. 26 A At the time of discovery. 27 A At the time of discovery. 28 A At the time of discovery. 29 Q Noay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from 29 and is attached bereal in the ce-mail from 20 and is attached here. 21 By MR. WEICHERT: 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?						
16:03:42 10 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 11 Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork. 12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this? 13 A For the accounting, I have no idea. 14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint. 16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 21 A No. Not really. I was assistant to David 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 A At the time of discovery. 24 A At the time of discovery. 25 Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the relection as well as the relection and is attached for identification by the Court Reporter 24 and is attached hereto.) 25 BY MR. WEICHERT: 26 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 27 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?						
Bergstein who basically gave the paperwork.  Q So who was the bank's account contact for this?  A For the accounting, I have no idea.  Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted,  who would the question go to?  A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  Mr. Bergstein.  Q Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 27 an e-mail from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the rel  c-mail that copied you.  (Exhibit 27 was marked for  identification by the Court Reporter  and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WEICHERT:  Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of  Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time.  16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean  Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?						
12 Q So who was the bank's account contact for this?  13 A For the accounting, I have no idea.  14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted,  17 who would the question go to?  18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with  21 Mr. Bergstein.  22 Q And you can look through the document to or  23 David Bergstein to Sean Edrington as well as the rel  13 e-mail that copied you.  14 (Exhibit 27 was marked for  13:30:33 15 identification by the Court Reporter  14 and is attached hereto.)  15 BY MR. WEICHERT:  18 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time.  16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean  21 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?	16:03:42		•	16:06:46		•
A For the accounting, I have no idea.  Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, who would the question go to?  A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  me and ask me to go to get in touch with  Mr. Bergstein.  Q And you can look through the document to or  13:30:33 15 identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)  BY MR. WEICHERT:  Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom o  Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time.  16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean  Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and yo  correct?						•
14 Q Not for an accounting standpoint.  16:04:01  15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz  16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted,  17 who would the question go to?  18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  16:04:14  20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with  21 Mr. Bergstein.  Q And you can look through the document to or  14 (Exhibit 27 was marked for  13:30:33  15 identification by the Court Reporter  16 and is attached hereto.)  17 BY MR. WEICHERT:  18 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of  Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time.  16:07:26  20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean  21 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and your correct?						
16:04:01 15 But if the bank had a question about the Swartz 16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 21 Mr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 dentification by the Court Reporter 16 and is attached hereto.) 17 BY MR. WEICHERT: 18 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom o 19 Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean 21 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and yo 22 correct?						
16 IP account based on this paperwork that you submitted, 17 who would the question go to? 18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein. 19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 21 Mr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 and is attached hereto.) 24 BY MR. WEICHERT: 25 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom on Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time. 26 16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you correct?	16.04.5			10000		`
who would the question go to?  18 A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with  21 Mr. Bergstein.  Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom o  Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time.  16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean  21 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and yo  correct?	16:04:01		•	13:30:33		
A Well, they would probably call David Bergstein.  19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call  16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with  21 Mr. Bergstein.  22 Q And you can look through the document to or  18 Q And if you look at the e-mail on the bottom of Exhibit 27, that's the one that's earlier in time.  16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and you can look through the document to or						,
19 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 21 Mr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 If he didn't answer the phone, they probably would call 16:07:26 20 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean 21 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and yo correct?						
16:04:14 20 me and ask me to go to get in touch with 21 Mr. Bergstein. 22 Q And you can look through the document to or 23 It was sent from David Bergstein to Sean 24 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and yo						
Mr. Bergstein.  21 Edrington, copied to Alisa, who's at the bank and yo  22 Q And you can look through the document to or  22 correct?				16.05.		
Q And you can look through the document to or 22 correct?	16:04:14			16:07:26		_
take my representation, but Mr. Jam was nowhere 23 A Yes.						
24 mentioned in any of these papers; correct? 24 Q It's a 10:09 a.m. Pacific Standard Time;	16 64 65			1000		
16:04:28 25 A I didn't see his name, no. 16:07:44 25 correct?	16:04:28	25	A I didn't see his name, no.	16:07:44	25	correct?

		Page 214			Page 216
16:07:45	1	A Yes.	16:10:03	1	BY MR. WEICHERT:
	2	Q And it states, "Sean, Frymi will be sending one		2	Q Here is 28.
	3	last wire for about 1.2 million. This will be the last		3	(Exhibit 28 was marked for
	4	one that goes out from this deposit. I need all three		4	identification by the Court Reporter
16:07:57	5	out this morning. I will get back to you in a few	16:10:19	5	and is attached hereto.)
	6	minutes regarding the e-mail from Eric."		6	BY MR. WEICHERT:
	7	Do you recall being involved in sending out a		7	Q Do you recognize Exhibit 28?
	8	wire of \$1.2 million out of the Deutsche Bank account on		8	A It's an e-mail from me to Sean Edrington and
	9	or about November of 17, 2011?		9	yeah, to Sean Edrington.
16:08:13	10	A I didn't have access to this account.	16:10:50	10	Q It is just the same days you earlier e-mail
	11	Q I'm sorry?		11	that we saw which is Exhibit 27?
	12	A I didn't have access to this account. Not		12	A Yes.
	13	online, not offline. I didn't even know what the		13	Q In it you state, "Hi, Sean and Alisa, we faxed
	14	account number was.		14	you instructions for another wire transfer. See
16:08:22	15	Q So when David says, "Frymi will be sending one	16:11:02	15	attached."
	16	last wire for about 1.2 million"		16	A Yes.
	17	A I		17	Q And looking at the attachment, do you
	18	Q that's not true?		18	understand that this what you sent to Mr. Edrington and
	19	A I think he was more referring to instructions		19	Ms. Liley on November 17?
16:08:33	20	for a wire transfer.	16:11:12	20	A I would assume so, yes.
	21	O And those would be instructions that he would		21	Q Is that David's Bergstein's signature stamp
	22	have started with and given to you?		22	at the bottom of page 2 of this exhibit?
	23	A That's the way I see it, yes.		23	A It is, yes.
	24	Q Okay. So when it says, "Frymi will be sending		24	Q And he is authorizing a wire out of the above
16:08:43		out one last wire for about \$1.2 million," Frymi	16:11:26		referenced account that's being the Swartz IP Services
		Page 215			Page 217
16 00 47	1	Page 215	16 11 00	-	_
16:08:47	1	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to	16:11:29	1	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of
16:08:47	2	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?	16:11:29	2	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?
16:08:47	2	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.	16:11:29	2	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.
	2 3 4	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that		2 3 4	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David
16:08:47 16:08:59	2 3 4 5	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?	16:11:29	2 3 4 5	\$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF
	2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that  Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.		2 3 4 5 6	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?
	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer		2 3 4 5 6 7	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?
16:08:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that  Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer  the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.	16:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that  Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer  the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz If account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney; correct?
16:08:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that  Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer  the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up  at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean	16:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney; correct?  A Yes. He's an attorney.
16:08:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that  Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer  the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up  at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean  Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get	16:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney; correct?  A Yes. He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used
16:08:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that  Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer  the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up  at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean  Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get  out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on	16:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?
16:08:59 16:09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."	16:11:42 16:11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an
16:08:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?	16:11:42	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney; correct?  A Yes. He's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.
16:08:59 16:09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.	16:11:42 16:11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney: correct?  A Yes. He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail where
16:08:59 16:09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're	16:11:42 16:11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney: correct?  A Yes. He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail wher you sent it to the bank?
16:08:59 16:09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to	16:11:42 16:11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney; correct?  A Yes. He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail wher you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.
16:08:59 16:09:10 16:09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to  MR. WEICHERT: Another half hour and we'll be	16:11:42 16:11:57 16:12:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail when you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.  Q And Kia Jam is not mentioned on the
16:08:59 16:09:10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to  MR. WEICHERT: Another half hour and we'll be done.	16:11:42 16:11:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail when you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.  Q And Kia Jam is not mentioned on the instruction; correct?
16:08:59 16:09:10 16:09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to  MR. WEICHERT: Another half hour and we'll be done.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.	16:11:42 16:11:57 16:12:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail when you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.  Q And Kia Jam is not mentioned on the instruction; correct?  A No, didn't copy him.
16:08:59 16:09:10 16:09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to  MR. WEICHERT: Another half hour and we'll be done.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: Do you want to take a break?	16:11:42 16:11:57 16:12:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail wher you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.  Q And Kia Jam is not mentioned on the instruction; correct?  A No, didn't copy him.  (Exhibit 29 was marked for
16:08:59 16:09:10 16:09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to  MR. WEICHERT: Another half hour and we'll be done.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: Do you want to take a break?  MR. MCGONIGLE: No. I planned on being done.	16:11:42 16:11:57 16:12:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz II account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail wher you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.  Q And Kia Jam is not mentioned on the instruction; correct?  A No, didn't copy him.  (Exhibit 29 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter
16:08:59 16:09:10 16:09:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Biedak Biedak, you're not making the decision to send out that wire to David Bergstein?  A I would yes. Yes.  Q Okay. And you will notice on this e-mail that Kia Jam is not copied; correct?  A He's not copied, no.  Q He didn't give you that direction to transfer the \$1.2 million; correct?  A That's correct, yes.  Q All right. And then David Bergstein follows up at 1:19 p.m. on the same day in an e-mail to Sean Edrington and states, "Okay. But the wires need to get out today no matter what. Remember, Paul has you on speed dial."  Who is Paul?  A I have no idea. I'm sorry.  MR. MCGONIGLE: How long do you think we're going to be? I thought we're going to  MR. WEICHERT: Another half hour and we'll be done.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: Do you want to take a break?	16:11:42 16:11:57 16:12:05	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Group account at Deutsche Bank in the amount of \$1,274,325; correct?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q All right. So in November 17th, 2011, David Bergstein authorized a movement out of the Swartz IF account of over \$1,200,000 to Henry Jannol?  A That's what it says, yes.  Q Who was Henry Jannol?  A He's an attorney.  Q He's an attorney.  Q And he's an attorney that David Bergstein used in the 2011 time frame?  A That, I don't know. But I know he's an attorney. I've known Henry for a long time.  Q Kia Jam was not mentioned on the e-mail wher you sent it to the bank?  A I don't see it here, no.  Q And Kia Jam is not mentioned on the instruction; correct?  A No, didn't copy him.  (Exhibit 29 was marked for

		Page 218			Page 220
16:12:19	1	BY MR. WIECHERT:	16:15:51	1	Fargo.
	2	Q Exhibit 29. This is an e-mail you were copied		2	Q All right. So the first page of Exhibit 31 is
	3	on November 23rd, 2011 from David Bergstein to the		3	an e-mail from you to Justin Milligan.
	4	Deutsche Bank representatives; correct?		4	Justin Milligan is a representative of Wells
16:13:13	5	A Yes.	16:16:04	5	Fargo Bank; correct?
	6	Q And attached to the e-mail was David		6	A Yes. Correct.
	7	Bergstein's authorization for more wire transfers out of		7	Q David Bergstein is copied on the e-mail; is
	8	the Deutsche Bank account; correct?		8	that right?
	9	A Yes.		9	A Uh-huh. Yes. Correct.
16:13:26	10	Q If you look at the third page, you'll see that	16:16:12	10	Q The purpose of the e-mail was to send documents
	11	there is a signature line for David Bergstein; correct?		11	to Just Mr. Milligan at Wells Fargo Bank to open the
	12	A Yes.		12	account; correct?
	13	Q Do you see any involvement of Kia Jam in this		13	A Yes.
	14	e-mail or these authorizations?		14	Q Kia Jam is not on this copied on this
16:13:42	15	A No. I don't see him or I don't see him even	16:16:24	15	A No.
	16	mentioned in the e-mail. But for the record, this is		16	Q e-mail; correct?
	17	Mr. Bergstein's signature. This is not the stamp. Just		17	A He's not. No.
	18	so we're clear.		18	Q No is he's not
	19	Q That's his actual signature?		19	A He's not copied. No. He's not copied. No.
16:14:02	20	A That is his signature, yes.	16:16:33	20	Q All right. Do you recall who asked you to open
	21	Q So he personally signed that one?		21	up an account or assist in opening up an account at
	22	A I would assume so, yes.		22	Wells Fargo on behalf of Swartz IP?
	23	Q All right. Thank you for the clarification.		23	A I I don't remember.
	24	Exhibit 30.		24	Q We saw a series of checks that were identified
16:14:29	25	(Exhibit 30 was marked for	16:16:59	25	by the plaintiff on the Wells Fargo account, and they
		Page 219			Page 221
16:14:29	1	identification by the Court Reporter	16:17:02	1	were all signed by Mr. Bergstein; correct?
10.14.25	2	and is attached hereto.)	10.17.02	2	A Yes. Yes.
	-	and is attached hereto.)			
	3	BY MR_WEICHERT:			
	3	BY MR. WEICHERT:  O Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein		3	Q So looking having looked at those checks and
16:14:37	4	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein	16:17:12	3 4	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your
16:14:37	4	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche	16:17:12	3 4 5	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to
16:14:37	4 5 6	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?	16:17:12	3 4 5 6	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?
16:14:37	4	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.	16:17:12	3 4 5 6 7	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have
16:14:37	4 5 6 7 8	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh	16:17:12	3 4 5 6	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was,
16:14:37 16:14:57	4 5 6 7	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?	16:17:12	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have
	4 5 6 7 8	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?		3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."
	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?  Q Yes.  A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the
16:14:57	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this	16:17:33	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?
16:14:57	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?  Q Yes.  A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few	16:17:33	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent
16:14:57	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails.	16:17:33	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent  Q Would you have assist
16:14:57	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?  Q Yes.  A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails.  Q This is Exhibit 31.	16:17:33	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent
16:14:57	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?  Q Yes.  A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails.  Q This is Exhibit 31.  (Exhibit 31 was marked for	16:17:33	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent documents to what's his name? Justin Milligan.
16:14:57 16:15:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?  Q Yes.  A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails.  Q This is Exhibit 31.  (Exhibit 31 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter	16:17:33 16:17:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent documents to what's his name? Justin Milligan. Q Yes.
16:14:57 16:15:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea.  Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way?  A Is this Exhibit 30?  Q Yes.  A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails.  Q This is Exhibit 31.  (Exhibit 31 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.)	16:17:33 16:17:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent documents to what's his name? Justin Milligan. Q Yes. You assisted in opening the account; correct?
16:14:57 16:15:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails. Q This is Exhibit 31. (Exhibit 31 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WEICHERT:	16:17:33 16:17:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent documents to what's his name? Justin Milligan. Q Yes. You assisted in opening the account; correct? A Upon his instructions, yes.
16:14:57 16:15:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails. Q This is Exhibit 31. (Exhibit 31 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WEICHERT: Q And this, like the Deutsche Bank packages, has	16:17:33 16:17:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent documents to what's his name? Justin Milligan.  Q Yes.  You assisted in opening the account; correct?  A Upon his instructions, yes.  Q And would you have assisted in opening up a
16:14:57 16:15:18	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Were you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein had a debit card that was associated with the Deutsche Bank Swartz IP account?  A I have no idea. Q And looking at Exhibit 30, does that refresh your recollection in any way? A Is this Exhibit 30? Q Yes. A I have never seen a debit card. I've never seen I've never seen anything connected with this account until such time as discovery was done, other than those you I I must have seen those few e-mails. Q This is Exhibit 31. (Exhibit 31 was marked for identification by the Court Reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WEICHERT: Q And this, like the Deutsche Bank packages, has a few documents. So if you could just take a look at	16:17:33 16:17:46	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q So looking having looked at those checks and looking at Exhibit 31, does that refresh your recollection that it was Mr. Bergstein that asked you to assist him in the opening up of the Wells Fargo account?  A The way I see it, he probably he may have told me. And this is just the way opening account was, "Please send this and this person documents related to Swartz IP."  Q Well, would you have opened up an account at a bank on behalf of Swartz IP without Mr. Bergstein's permission?  A I didn't open the account. I didn't open the account. I just sent Q Would you have assist A documents. I just sent documents. I sent documents to what's his name? Justin Milligan. Q Yes.  You assisted in opening the account; correct? A Upon his instructions, yes. Q And would you have assisted in opening up a bank account on behalf of the Swartz IP without the

16:18:10		Page 222			Page 224
	1	ever.	16:21:17	1	Q Now, other than the Swartz IP account at
	2	Q As far as you know, Mr. Bergstein was the sole		2	Deutsche Bank, do you know of any other accounts at
	3	signer on the Wells Fargo account; correct?		3	Deutsche Bank that David Bergstein had access to?
	4	A I think so, yes.		4	A I wouldn't know either way. I didn't know what
16:18:21	5	Q And, in fact, as far as you know, Mr. Bergstein	16:21:31	5	he had access to at Deutsche Bank.
	6	was the sole signer on any account related to Swartz IP?		6	Q Well, you knew he had
	7	A Wells Fargo, I'm fairly sure. Again, Deutsche		7	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, what was that?
	8	Bank, I've never seen anything, so I really don't know.		8	BY MR. WEICHERT:
	9	Q Are you aware of whether or not Mr. Bergstein		9	Q access to the Swartz Deutsche Bank account;
16:18:56		ever purchased any property in Malibu?	16:21:35	10	correct?
10.10.50	11	A I'm sorry?	10.21.33	11	A Yes. But you asked about other accounts.
	12			12	•
		Q Did Mr. Bergstein or his trust or anyone			Q Yes.
	13	related to Mr. Bergstein ever purchase any property in		13	A And so I would not know.
16 10 15	14	Malibu?	1.6.01.44	14	Q All right. Do you know why you were copied on
16:19:15		A If he did, then I was not involved.	16:21:44	15	this e-mail?
	16	Q This will be Exhibit 32.		16	A No. I have no idea.
	17	(Exhibit 32 was marked for		17	Q Do you know what Malibu property is being
	18	identification by the Court Reporter		18	referenced here, if it was in Malibu?
	19	and is attached hereto.)		19	A When it says on on the top something about
16:19:45	20	BY MR. WEICHERT:	16:21:56	20	Birdview 7307 Birdview, isn't this the property?
	21	Q It says starting with the e-mail at the		21	Q I don't know. I was asking you.
	22	bottom, March 13, 2012, 11:33?		22	Do you know about a property at 7307 Birdview?
	23	A Yes.		23	MR. MCGONIGLE: This you can answer the
	24	Q The first e-mail is from I'm sorry, let's		24	question, if you know.
16:20:19	25	deal the 11:19 a.m. e-mail. "There is a	16:22:11	25	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
		Page 223			Page 225
16:20:22	1	-	16:22:13	1	BY MR. WEICHERT:
10:20:22	2	malibuproperty@aol.com to David Bergstein's e-mail address."	10.22.13	2	
	3	Do you see that?		3	Q Okay. Okay. Anyway, the top e-mail indicates, "I have checked with Deutsche Bank." This is from David
		•			
16 00 00	4	A Yes.	16 00 00	4	Bergstein. "They confirmed the wire was sent in the
16:20:28		Q And you're copied on it; correct?	16:22:23	5	amount of \$186,000."
	6	A Yes.		6	Do you see that?
	7	Q And do you know who Chris Cortazzo is?		7	A Yes. Yeah.
	8	A I think he he was something with real		8	
					Q Okay. And and Kia Jam is not referenced
	9	estate.		9	anywhere on these e-mails?
16:20:41	10	Q Something	16:22:32	9 10	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.
16:20:41	10 11	<ul><li>Q Something</li><li>A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate</li></ul>	16:22:32	9 10 11	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?
16:20:41	10 11 12	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes.	16:22:32	9 10 11 12	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.
16:20:41	10 11 12 13	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com	16:22:32	9 10 11	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.
	10 11 12 13 14	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to		9 10 11 12	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.
16:20:41 16:20:54	10 11 12 13 14	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to	16:22:32	9 10 11 12 13	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.
	10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank		9 10 11 12 13 14	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what
	10 11 12 13 14	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey."		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions
	10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey."		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about.
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey." Do you see that?		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not. Q Is it correct? A No. MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else. Thank you, Ms. Biedak. MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about. THE WITNESS: Okay.
16:20:54	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey." Do you see that? A Yes.	16:22:58	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not. Q Is it correct? A No. MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else. Thank you, Ms. Biedak. MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about. THE WITNESS: Okay.
16:20:54	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And then his response was, "Money was wired	16:22:58	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: I can probably do it from here.
16:20:54	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And then his response was, "Money was wired from Deutsche Bank."	16:22:58	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: I can probably do it from here.
16:20:54	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And then his response was, "Money was wired from Deutsche Bank." Do you see that?	16:22:58	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: I can probably do it from here.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. WALKER:
16:20:54	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Something A I think, yes. I think he was a real estate person. But I'm not I but I think so, yes. Q And whoever was at malibuproperty@aol.com inquires, "David, please see the attached notice to perform from the seller. The 3 percent deposit needs to be put into escrow immediately. Please advise. Thank you. Carol Casey." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And then his response was, "Money was wired from Deutsche Bank." Do you see that? A Yes.	16:22:58	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anywhere on these e-mails?  A No, he's not.  Q Is it correct?  A No.  MR. WEICHERT: I have nothing else.  Thank you, Ms. Biedak.  MR. WALKER: I just have a few questions pending on the side because I'll just get back to what he just asked you about.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. WALKER: I can probably do it from here.  FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. WALKER:  Q Now, you were asked by Mr. Weichert to look at

		Page 226			Page 228
16:23:44	1	Q Yeah. Here's what I marked.	16:25:50	1	Q Exhibit 22?
	2	MR. WALKER: Maybe you can give her the ones		2	A This document says, "Jeff to issue 1,100 shares
	3	that were marked by the reporter. And we'll compare		3	to KJ Media and a thousand shares to Owari Opus and
	4	that to 22.		4	appoint Kia president and David secretary. And take any
16:24:02	5	THE WITNESS: This is 25.	16:26:06	5	other required actions, resolution, annual meetings, et
	6	BY MR. WALKER:		6	cetera."
	7	Q That's 25.		7	Q And you just read from Exhibit 22; correct?
	8	A Thirty-two?		8	A I read this from Exhibit 22.
	9	MR. WEICHERT: 22.		9	Q Okay. And how is that different in Exhibit 25?
16:24:02	10	BY MR. WALKER:	16:26:19	10	A And in Exhi Exhibit 25, it says what
1011110	11	Q 22.	10.20.13	11	does it say here well, it says here, "Owari Opus."
	12	A I need 22.		12	It talks about Owari Opus owns them was the
	13	(Off the record.)		13	what who owns what the majority. And it says David
	14	MR. WALKER: Back on the record.		14	Bergstein is the president of Owari Opus. And then it
16.04.00	15		16.06.50		
16:24:02		BY MR. WALKER:	16:26:50		talks about Jerry Swartz, and then it says Jerry Swartz
	16	Q And you were asked about the information		16	is a stockholder of Swartz IP Services Group, Inc.
	17	regarding Swartz IP; correct?		17	Q Okay. So with respect to Exhibit 21 and
	18	A Yes.		18	Exhibit 25, you have two different descriptions of the
	19	Q And who the officers and directors might be?		19	ownership of Swartz IP; correct?
16:24:15	20	A You mean this gentleman asked me about that?	16:27:13	20	A Well, 22 doesn't talk about the ownership of
	21	Q Yes.		21	Swartz IP.
	22	A Yes.		22	Q And it talks about the officers?
	23	Q Okay. And looking at Exhibits 22 and 25, do		23	A It talks about what does it say. It talks
	24	you agree that if you could turn to the page in 22		24	about shares being issued from Swartz IP Services. And
16:24:26	25	that deals with Swartz IP at the top. I think it's the	16:27:40	25	it talks about who is getting appointment as as
		Page 227			Page 229
16:24:35	1	last page, ma'am.	16:27:45	1	as as officers.
	2	A Oh.		2	Q Okay. And does the Exhibit 25 also talk about
	3				
	3	O Is that the one that deals with Swartz IP?		3	who is going to have the percentages of ownership?
	4	Q Is that the one that deals with Swartz IP?  MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page.		3	who is going to have the percentages of ownership?  A It says it here.
16:24:41		MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page.	16:27:57	4	A It says it here.
16:24:41	4	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER:	16:27:57	4 5	<ul><li>A It says it here.</li><li>Q And is that different in terms of the parties</li></ul>
16:24:41	4 5 6	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page.	16:27:57	4 5 6	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in
16:24:41	4 5 6 7	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay.	16:27:57	4 5 6 7	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?
16:24:41	4 5 6 7 8	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER:	16:27:57	4 5 6 7 8	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it
	4 5 6 7 8	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of		4 5 6 7 8	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares,
16:24:41 16:24:45	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25,	16:27:57	4 5 6 7 8 9	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct?		4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing
16:24:45	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways,
16:24:45	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?
16:24:45	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct?	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired
16:24:45	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said?	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah.	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks
16:24:45 16:25:02	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah. In looking at those two exhibits, do you see	16:28:10 16:28:22	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks  Q 22? Exhibit 22?
16:24:45 16:25:02	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah. In looking at those two exhibits, do you see that they provide different information in some respects	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks  Q 22? Exhibit 22?  A 22
16:24:45 16:25:02	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah. In looking at those two exhibits, do you see that they provide different information in some respects about the same company?	16:28:10 16:28:22	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks Q 22? Exhibit 22?  A 22 Q Yes, ma'am.
16:24:45 16:25:02	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah. In looking at those two exhibits, do you see that they provide different information in some respects about the same company? A I would have to go for I would have to	16:28:10 16:28:22	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks  Q 22? Exhibit 22?  A 22  Q Yes, ma'am.  A talks about documents to be issued shares to
16:24:45 16:25:02	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah. In looking at those two exhibits, do you see that they provide different information in some respects about the same company? A I would have to go for I would have to compare them.	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks  Q 22? Exhibit 22?  A 22  Q Yes, ma'am.  A talks about documents to be issued shares to
16:24:45	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WEICHERT: No. Second to the last page. BY MR. WALKER: Q Second to the last page. A Okay. BY MR. WALKER: Q Okay. So you've got two documents in front of you, one marked Exhibit 22 and one marked Exhibit 25, and they both referenced to Swartz IP; correct? A Yes. Q And I think your response to Mr. Weichert's questions, he was pointing out that there's a difference in some of the information that's provided about the same company in each document; correct? A He just said? Q Yeah. In looking at those two exhibits, do you see that they provide different information in some respects about the same company? A I would have to go for I would have to	16:28:10	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A It says it here.  Q And is that different in terms of the parties and the amounts of ownership than what is depicted in Exhibit 22?  A Well, I wouldn't know how to calculate it because this one says specifically says 1,100 shares, and this one talks in percentages, so I would have to calculate to some.  Q Fair enough.  But you have two different documents describing the ownership of the same company in two different ways, is that fair?  A I'm sorry. I must be getting really tired because I can't really I don't quite understand what this this document talks Q 22? Exhibit 22?  A 22 Q Yes, ma'am.

		Page 230			Page 232
16:29:06	1	Q Okay. Fair enough.	16:31:03	1	BY MR. WALKER:
	2	If you could look at Exhibit 26. Well, very		2	Q Yes, ma'am.
	3	quick on Exhibit 22. Do we referenced the Bates		3	Seeing this on Mr. Jam as the party that
	4	number at the bottom. And you were asked about where		4	produced that record, Exhibit 22 in this lawsuit, do you
16:29:22	5	that document came from, and you said that you didn't	16:31:09	5	know how he would have acquired possession of it?
	6	know.		6	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	7	Is that a fair statement?		7	THE WITNESS: He must have looked at the
	8	A That's a fair statement. And then later on I		8	records.
	9	said that I the only person I could think that may		9	BY MR. WALKER:
16:29:32	10	have may have may have prepared it, with that	16:31:25	10	Q And where would those records have been
	11	being Jeffrey Solomon.		11	located?
	12	Q Okay. And what is the Bates number at the		12	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	13	bottom of that first page?		13	THE WITNESS: Do you want you want me to
	14	A This one?		14	to to to say what I think?
16:29:42	15	Q Yes, ma'am.	16:31:38	15	BY MR. WALKER:
	16	Could you just read that literally as it reads?		16	Q Yes, ma'am.
	17	A JAM TT 000532.		17	A It would have been in the corporate books.
	18	Q Okay. So regardless of who drafted or prepared		18	O And where were those located?
	19	that document, did you understand that Mr. Jam produced		19	A I think there was a lot of corporate books on
16:29:58	20	it as one of his records in this case?	16:31:58	20	the on the Wil on the on the
	21	A I know that I did not prepare it.		21	Wilshire offices.
	22	Q Yes, ma'am.		22	Q Okay.
	23	But that Bates number with the Jam designation		23	A Those big binders.
	24	indicates that it was produced in this lawsuit by		24	Q And do you know whether or not Mr. Jam ever had
16:30:13		Mr. Jam?	16:32:08	25	occasion to be aware of that fact, to know that the
10:30:13			10.02.00	2.5	occasion to be aware of that fact, to know that the
16:30:13			10102100		·
16:30:13		Page 231	10102100		Page 233
16:30:13	1	Page 231 A Oh.	16:32:11	1	Page 233 binders were there?
	1 2	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was		1 2	Page 233 binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.
	1 2 3	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of		1 2 3	Page 233 binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or
16:30:13	1 2 3 4	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.	16:32:11	1 2 3 4	Page 233 binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate
	1 2 3 4 5	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:		1 2 3 4 5	Page 233 binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?
16:30:13	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.
16:30:13	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.
16:30:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account fromfrom
16:30:13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from A I'm going to look right now.
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts MR. WALKER: Fair enough.	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 231  A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a  Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.	16:32:11	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a  Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit.
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a  Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit.  Okay?
16:30:13 16:30:23 16:30:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so that he could then produce it in this lawsuit?	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a  Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit.  Okay?  A Okay.
16:30:13 16:30:23	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so that he could then produce it in this lawsuit?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Speculation.	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit.  Okay?  A Okay.  Q Knowing that Mr. Jam had possession of that
16:30:13 16:30:23 16:30:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so that he could then produce it in this lawsuit?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit.  Okay?  A Okay.  Q Knowing that Mr. Jam had possession of that document that allowed him to produce in this lawsuit, do
16:30:13 16:30:23 16:30:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so that he could then produce it in this lawsuit?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Regardless of who prepared it?	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Knowing that Mr. Jam had possession of that document that allowed him to produce in this lawsuit, do you know how he came to possess the document?
16:30:13 16:30:23 16:30:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so that he could then produce it in this lawsuit?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Regardless of who prepared it?  MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit.  Okay?  A Okay.  Q Knowing that Mr. Jam had possession of that document that allowed him to produce in this lawsuit, do
16:30:13 16:30:23 16:30:35	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Oh.  MR. WEICHERT: We'll stipulate that it was produced by us. The witness doesn't have any idea of what was produced by us.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Do you know how Mr. Jam would have secured the information that appears in Exhibit 22?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.  THE WITNESS: If he if he prepared it.  MR. WEICHERT: And assumes facts  MR. WALKER: Fair enough.  MR. WEICHERT: not in evidence. Thank you.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Fair enough.  Let me ask you this: Do you know how would you guess that or how would you how would you estimate that Mr. Jam came to possess that document so that he could then produce it in this lawsuit?  MR. WEICHERT: Objection. Speculation.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q Regardless of who prepared it?	16:32:11 16:32:28 16:32:52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	binders were there?  A I don't know what he knew.  Q Did you ever have occasion to know whether or not Mr. Jam actually accessed any of those corporate binders?  A I would have no way of knowing what he did.  Q Okay. Looking at the Exhibit 26, ma'am.  That's the application to Deutsche Bank account from from  A I'm going to look right now.  Q Now, that particular document doesn't have a Bates number, does is it, ma'am?  A When you you mean this one on the Q Yes, ma'am.  A No, it doesn't have one. No.  Q Okay. And I will represent to you that that document was also produced by Kia Jam in this lawsuit. Okay?  A Okay.  Q Knowing that Mr. Jam had possession of that document that allowed him to produce in this lawsuit, do you know how he came to possess the document?

		Page 234			Page 236
16:33:41	1	MR. WEICHERT: Because counsel is creating a	16:35:42	1	know how Mr. Jam would have come to possess those
	2	false impression with the witness. He knows that this		2	records?
	3	was an exhibit from the government's case that we		3	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	4	provided at counsel's request. So the intonation that		4	Misleading the witness.
16:33:55	5	this is something that Mr. Jam had in his possession as	16:35:54	5	THE WITNESS: May I ask something?
	6	a business record or something is just a false		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	MR. WALKER: Okay, well		7	Q Sure.
	8	MR. WEICHERT: It's a false implication and		8	A Which one is the government's Bates number? Is
	9	and counsel knows that. So I'll object on the grounds		9	it this one?
16:34:08	10	that the counsel was totally misleading the witness	16:36:01	10	Q The government sticker? It's actually a
	11	about the source of this document.		11	sticker like that yellow one. It's a square sticker.
	12	MR. WALKER: Okay. We'll, I'll address that.		12	It says "Government EX" and it has a number on it.
	13	You see, the records that government used as an		13	A And this one is from whom is this?
	14	exhibit that they gave us had a government exhibit		14	Q That shows that that's the prefix. The JAM TT
16:34:19	15	sticker number on it. It was actually marked as a	16:36:12		is the prefix that they used to mark the documents that
	16	government exhibit. That document doesn't have any		16	they have produced in this lawsuit.
	17	government stick sticker on		17	A Okay. I just was wondering.
	18	MR. WEICHERT: And we deleted the government		18	O Yes, ma'am.
	19	exhibit number on all of these exhibits because we don't		19	A And these ones we just put right now?
16:34:30	20	believe that the government's prosecution is going to be	16:36:25	20	Q Yes.
10.54.50	21	a matter that's going to be considered by the jury.	10.30.23	21	A Okay. Got it.
	22	MR. WALKER: And there there was also a		22	-
	23	Bates number for Mr. Jam that was on the document he		23	Q Those are the ones that the court reporter put on so that the exhibit would have a sticker on it.
	24			24	
16:34:43	24	produced in the case, and yet that document doesn't have	16:36:32		A Okay. I get it.
10:34:43	25	this Bates number on it either, suggesting that what	16:36:32	25	Q So my question to you, ma'am, is: Do you know
		Page 235			Page 237
16:34:48	1	Mr. Weichert has used as an exhibit in this case today	16:36:35	1	how Mr. Jam would have had access to the record that's
	2	is not the government's exhibit, or even the document		2	marked as Exhibit 26?
	3	they produced with the Bates number, but the actual		3	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	4	record that was in Mr. Jam's possession.		4	MR. MCGONIGLE: Do you have 26 in front of you?
16:35:01	5	So based upon the fact that there is no	16:36:50	5	THE WITNESS: Yes. That he was not copied on.
	6	government exhibit sticker on it, and based upon the		6	You want you want you want to ask
	7	fact that the actual exhibit you used in your deposition		7	BY MR. WALKER:
	8	has no Bates number on it, I think my question is a fair		8	Q Yes, ma'am.
	9	one.		9	A me when he was not copied
16:35:12	10	I'm not trying to mislead you.	16:36:51	10	Q Yes.
	11	MR. WEICHERT: You are, actually, Counsel.		11	A how he would have gotten the document?
	12	This came from the government's exhibit because I pulled		12	Q Yes.
	13	it from the government's exhibit.		13	A Is this the question?
	14	MR. WALKER: I'm asking my question. So if you		14	Q Yes.
16:35:20	15		16:36:56	15	
16:35:20		have an objection MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is	16:36:56	15 16	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?
16:35:20	15	have an objection MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is	16:36:56	16	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?
16:35:20	15 16	have an objection  MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with	16:36:56		Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I
16:35:20	15 16 17	have an objection MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is	16:36:56	16 17	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.
16:35:20 16:35:31	15 16 17 18	have an objection MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with this later on, we can, but this witness doesn't know	16:36:56	16 17 18 19	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.  Q Okay. Fair enough.
	15 16 17 18 19	have an objection MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with this later on, we can, but this witness doesn't know where this document came from other than I showed it to her.		16 17 18 19 20	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Now, going to
	15 16 17 18 19 20	have an objection MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with this later on, we can, but this witness doesn't know where this document came from other than I showed it to		16 17 18 19 20 21	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Now, going to  A I'm sorry, could I just step out for one
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have an objection  MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with this later on, we can, but this witness doesn't know where this document came from other than I showed it to her.  MR. WALKER: Okay. Fair enough.  BY MR. WALKER:		16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Now, going to  A I'm sorry, could I just step out for one second?
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have an objection  MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with this later on, we can, but this witness doesn't know where this document came from other than I showed it to her.  MR. WALKER: Okay. Fair enough.  BY MR. WALKER:  Q So my question to you, ma'am, is: Seeing as		16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Now, going to  A I'm sorry, could I just step out for one second?  Q Of course.
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have an objection  MR. WEICHERT: Okay. Yeah. The objection is it's total speculation. So if you want to deal with this later on, we can, but this witness doesn't know where this document came from other than I showed it to her.  MR. WALKER: Okay. Fair enough.  BY MR. WALKER:		16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Was that record would that record have been available at the Colorado Boulevard office?  A Well, he was not copied on the e-mail, so I don't know how he would have gotten it.  Q Okay. Fair enough.  Now, going to  A I'm sorry, could I just step out for one second?

		Page 238			Page 240
16:37:23	1	Watch your microphone, ma'am.	16:45:22	1	Q Now, with respect to the fact that Mr. Jam is
	2	A Oh, yes. Thank you.		2	not copied on the e-mail, do you know whether or not
	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:37 p.m. We		3	Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein discussed that loan or the
	4	are now off the record.		4	opening of that account, rather?
16:37:26	5	(A recess was taken.)	16:45:37	5	A I wouldn't know either way.
	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.		6	Q And just because Mr. Jam doesn't get copied on
	7	The time is 4:42 p.m.		7	the e-mail to Deutsche Bank doesn't mean that he wasn'
	8	BY MR. WALKER:		8	aware that this was being done; right?
	9	Q All right. Ma'am, do you have Exhibit 26		9	MR. WEICHERT: The question is argumentative
16:43:08	10	before you?	16:45:53	10	BY MR. WALKER:
	11	A I do.		11	Q You can answer the question.
	12	Q All right. Now, there were a couple of		12	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat?
	13	instances on that document were you know that the		13	BY MR. WALKER:
	14	Mr. Bergstein's signature stamp was used?		14	Q Yes, ma'am. I'd be glad to.
16:43:16	15	A Yes.	16:45:59	15	The fact that Mr. Jam is not copied on that one
	16	Q Okay. And is it correct that Mr. Bergstein		16	e-mail doesn't suggest that he didn't know anything
	17	never used his own signature stamp, that he would sign a		17	about it, does it?
	18	document if he was signing it?		18	A Doesn't suggest anything.
	19	A I don't think he used the signature stamp.		19	Q I mean, it's entirely possible that
16:43:32	20	Q So how do we know that Mr. Bergstein actually	16:46:14	20	Mr. Bergstein and Mr. Jam discussed this account
	21	completed that application?		21	opening, is it not?
	22	A Can you repeat the question? I don't quite		22	A Anything is possible.
	23	understand the connection.		23	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	24	Q He was asking you about that submission to		24	BY MR. WALKER:
16:43:57	25	Deutsche Bank?	16:46:24	25	Q I mean, they were sharing an office. They were
		Page 239			Page 241
16:43:59	1	A Yes.	16:46:27	1	friends. They were both involved in Swartz IP. Mr. Jan
	2	Q Presuming that Mr. Bergstein completed that and		2	signed a purchase agreement in this case as vice
	3	sent it into the bank.		3	president of Swartz IP.
	4	And he kept pointing out that Kia Jam was not		4	MR. WEICHERT: Counsel is testifying.
16:44:06	5	on the e-mail and was not mentioned in the document;	16:46:39	5	Do you want to testify or ask questions
	6	right?		6	BY MR. WALKER:
	7	A Yes.		7	Q Do you think that
	8	Q Okay. And you remember his earlier questions		8	MR. WEICHERT: Counsel?
	9	about how Mr. Bergstein was directing Kia Jam in certain		9	BY MR. WALKER:
16:44:19	10	requests, he would direct him to do things?	16:46:42	10	Q Do you think that it's possible that Mr. Jam
	11	A Correct.		11	was aware of the submission of this account?
	12	Q Okay. Their theory is that Mr. Jam is not		12	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	13	responsible for any of this because it was all		13	THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to say something
	14	Mr. Bergstein, that he's solely responsible for all of		14	now? I'm sorry.
16:44:32	15	this and that he was controlling Mr. Jam so thoroughly	16:46:56	15	BY MR. WALKER:
	16	that Mr. Jam has no liability or fault		16	Q Yes, ma'am. I'll reask the question.
	17	MR. WEICHERT: Do you want to make your opening		17	Given what you know about the relationship
	18	statement now or is there going to be a question?		18	between Mr. Jam and Mr. Bergstein, and the number of
	19	BY MR. WALKER:		19	business matters that they worked on together, do you
16:44:46	20	Q My question, ma'am, is that if Mr. Bergstein,	16:47:07	20	think it's likely that Mr. Bergstein discussed this
	21	at least according to your knowledge, would sign a		21	account opening with Mr. Jam?
	22	document, would not use a signature stamp for his own		22	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	23	signature, how do we know that Mr. Bergstein even sent		23	THE WITNESS: I don't know what they discussed
		that into Deutsche Bank?		24	BY MR. WALKER:
	24				==
16:45:04	24 25	A I don't know.	16:47:22	25	Q Fair enough.

		Page 242			Page 244
16:47:23	1	A I was not privy to their meeting so	16:50:03	1	touch anything. 27.
	2	Q And the simple fact that Mr. Jam is not copied		2	BY MR. WALKER:
	3	on that e-mail doesn't mean that he didn't know about		3	Q Now, again, you were asked about the fact that
	4	it?		4	Mr. Jam was not copied on that e-mail.
16:47:31	5	MR. WEICHERT: It's argumentative.	16:50:18	5	But you do you know if whether or not
	6	THE WITNESS: Oh, it doesn't mean anything.		6	Mr. Jam discussed the substance of that e-mail with
	7	BY MR. WALKER:		7	Mr. Bergstein?
	8	Q Thank you, ma'am.		8	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.
	9	Do you think it's possible that Kia Jam		9	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know one way or the
16:47:44	10	actually filled that out and used Mr. Bergstein's	16:50:30	10	other.
	11	signature stamp?		11	BY MR. WALKER:
	12	A I think		12	Q Looking at this e-mail Exhibit 29, ma'am.
	13	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.		13	A 29.
	14	MR. MCGONIGLE: You can answer.		14	Q Again, I'll wait until you find it. I'm sorry.
16:47:57	15	THE WITNESS: I I don't think I don't	16:50:49	15	A Yeah.
	16	think so. I I I personally think that this		16	Q And you got it. Okay.
	17	document was filled out by Deutsche Bank.		17	Again, much was made of the fact that Mr. Jam
	18	BY MR. WALKER:		18	did not receive a copy of that e-mail.
	19	Q But they wouldn't have had Mr. Bergstein's		19	But again, do you know whether or not Mr. Jam
16:48:07	20	signature stamp?	16:51:00	20	would have discussed any aspect of the substance of that
	21	A No. They wouldn't have the signature stamp.		21	e-mail with Mr. Bergstein at any time?
	22	Q Okay. Do you think it's possible that Mr. Jam,		22	A I would not know one way or the other.
	23	in assisting Mr. Bergstein, used the signature stamp to		23	Q The fact that Mr. Jam is not on these e-mails
	24	submit those documents?		24	doesn't necessarily signify that he didn't know about
16:48:20	25	MR. WEICHERT: Calls for speculation.	16:51:13	25	the substance of the e-mails; is that correct?
		Page 243			Page 245
16:48:21	1	THE WITNESS: Do you think that you	16:51:17	1	MR. WEICHERT: It's argumentative.
	2	you do I'm I'm sorry. Again, if I was not		2	THE WITNESS: I don't know what he knew.
	3	there at that time, I was not in the office, the office		3	BY MR. WALKER:
	4	manager was there, Steven Piskula, he had access to the		4	Q Well, I guess my point is the fact that he
16:48:44	5	stamp.	16:51:23	5	wasn't copied on the e-mails doesn't mean that
	6	BY MR. WALKER:		6	Mr. Bergstein didn't discuss the substance of those
	7	Q Did Mr. Jam also have access to the stamp?		7	e-mails with Mr. Jam
	8	A Not that I don't he, himself, I don't		8	MR. WEICHERT: Speculation. Argumentative.
	9	think so.		9	BY MR. WALKER:
16:48:54	10	Q From time to time, he did possess the stamp,	16:51:35	10	Q is that correct?
	11	didn't he?		11	A It doesn't say anything about whether he knew
	12	A I don't know about that.		12	or whether he didn't know. He was just not copied on
			I .	13	
	13	Q Okay. Thank you.		10	the e-mail. And I don't know what their conversations
	13 14	Q Okay. Thank you. Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17,		14	the e-mail. And I don't know what their conversations was about.
16:49:10			16:51:46	14	was about. Q Thank you, ma'am.
16:49:10	14	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.	16:51:46	14	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.
16:49:10	14 15	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.	16:51:46	14 15	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.
16:49:10	14 15 16	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.	16:51:46	14 15 16 17	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells
	14 15 16 17 18	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.  Oh, where is 27?		14 15 16 17 18	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells  Fargo checks that we looked at, the first one being made
16:49:10 16:49:28	14 15 16 17 18	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.  Oh, where is 27?  MR. MCGONIGLE: It was it was right behind	16:51:46 16:52:25	14 15 16 17	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells  Fargo checks that we looked at, the first one being made payable to cash on the counter check, and the last two
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.  Oh, where is 27?  MR. MCGONIGLE: It was it was right behind 26. It should be right here. Hold on a second.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells  Fargo checks that we looked at, the first one being made payable to cash on the counter check, and the last two were made payable to Integrated Administration.
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.  Oh, where is 27?  MR. MCGONIGLE: It was it was right behind 26. It should be right here. Hold on a second.  THE WITNESS: It's not here.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells  Fargo checks that we looked at, the first one being made payable to cash on the counter check, and the last two were made payable to Integrated Administration.  Do you recall those?
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.  Oh, where is 27?  MR. MCGONIGLE: It was it was right behind 26. It should be right here. Hold on a second.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells  Fargo checks that we looked at, the first one being made payable to cash on the counter check, and the last two were made payable to Integrated Administration.  Do you recall those?  A Yes.
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Looking at Exhibit 27, that is the November 17, 2011 e-mail?  A Hold on one second. I'm sorry.  Q Yes, ma'am. Take your time.  A I need I need to arrange this.  Oh, where is 27?  MR. MCGONIGLE: It was it was right behind 26. It should be right here. Hold on a second.  THE WITNESS: It's not here.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was about.  Q Thank you, ma'am.  If you could look at Exhibit 31, ma'am.  A Yes. 31.  Q Now, you were asked about those five Wells  Fargo checks that we looked at, the first one being made payable to cash on the counter check, and the last two were made payable to Integrated Administration.  Do you recall those?

		Page 246			Page 248
16:52:37	1	correct me if I'm wrong, because I believe you testified	16:55:27	1	Q do you agree that the best indication of
10.32.37	2	that you weren't sure whether or not those were actually	10.55.27	2	what happened to the money in those two accounts, where
	3	Mr. Bergstein's signature.		3	
	4			4	the money was directed, who it was paid out to, that sort of thing, that the best indication of that would be
16.52.40		A I said that I yes. I was not sure that	16.55.30		<u> </u>
16:52:49	5 6	those were. I I could not I would not say for	16:55:39	5 6	the actual bank records for those two accounts?
	7	100 percent that those were his signatures. Yes, I said		7	MR. WEICHERT: It's argumentative.
		that.			THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know wherever else to
	8	Q And going to Exhibit 32.  A Yes. Exhibit 32.		8	get it from.
16.52.25	9		16.55.40	9	BY MR. WALKER:
16:53:25	10	Q You were asked about this particular real	16:55:49		Q The bank records would be an accurate
	11	estate transaction?		11	reflection of checks that were issued, for example;
	12	A Yes.		12	correct?
	13	Q In the normal course of your business, did you		13	A Yes, and the statements.
	14	have occasion to have any information or knowledge about		14	Q The the bank statements and the bank records
16:53:34	15	this transaction?	16:56:01	15	would be an accurate reflection of wire transfers that
	16	A Can you explain, please?		16	were sent out from those two accounts; correct?
	17	Q Yes, ma'am.		17	A Yes.
	18	As of March 13, 2012, when this e-mail was sent		18	Q The bank records and bank statements for both
	19	by Mr. Bergstein, is the normal part of your duties		19	the Swartz IP, Wells Fargo account, and the Swartz IP
16:53:49	20	working at the Colorado Boulevard address, did you have	16:56:16		Deutsche bank account would be the best most accurate
	21	occasion to know anything about this transaction?		21	record of the amount of each payment and the recipient
	22	A Not not really. I mean, it was it it		22	of each payment; correct?
	23	was he wasn't doing real estate back then.		23	A Can I ask a question?
	24	Q Did you have anything to do with anything		24	What would the another option?
16:54:11	25	mentioned in Exhibit 32 at the time that this e-mail was	16:56:31	25	Q I I'm I'm not aware of one, but I'm just
		Page 247			Page 249
16:54:17	1	sent?	16:56:32	1	trying to do you agree with the the bank records
	2	A You mean		2	are the best evidence of
	3	Q Did you know about it? Did you facilitate it?		3	A That's that's where I would look first
	4	Did you have anything at all to to do with this		4	Q Okay.
16:54:32	5	particular real estate transaction at the time that this	16:56:39	5	A if I was going to try to reconcile an
	6	e-mail was sent?		6	account.
	7	A I don't I don't re recall. I really		7	Q Okay.
	8	don't recall. It's possible that I did. I did		8	A If I was.
	9	something with it. Maybe maybe documents were sent.		9	Q Have you ever looked at the bank records for
16:54:47	10	I don't know. I really don't remember.	16:56:46	10	Wells Fargo and Deutsche Bank to see how much money wa
	11	Q Do you agree that the best indication of where		11	paid out to Integrated Administration from those two
	12	the money went and to whom it was directed that was		12	accounts?
	13	contained in the Deutsche bank account and the Wells		13	A Maybe maybe when discovery was done. It's
	14	Fargo account that Swartz IP had, that the best		14	very possible. But I really don't remember.
16:55:09	15	indication of where that money went in those two	16:57:06	15	Q Did you ever analyze the Swartz IP Deutsche
	16	accounts would be in the bank records themselves?		16	bank account to determine how much, if any, of that
	17	MR. WEICHERT: It's vague and ambiguous. Also		17	money went to Kia Jam directly?
	18	argumentative.		18	A If it was done during discovery, then the
	19	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the questions.		19	account was reconciled. Maybe I looked at it. It's
16:55:17	20	I'm sorry.	16:57:26		possible. But I don't I don't really remember.
	21	BY MR. WALKER:		21	Q But whatever the records reflect, you agree
	22	Q With res with respect to the Swartz IP		22	that the bank records themselves would be the most
	23	Deutsche bank account and the Swartz IP Wells Fargo		23	accurate records of what happened to the funds held in
	24	account		24	each of those two accounts; correct?
16:55:26	25	A Yes.	16.57.42		
16:55:26	25	A Yes.	16:57:42	25	MR. WEICHERT: Asked and answered multiple

		Page 250			Page 252
16:57:43	1	times. Argumentative multiple times.	16:59:57	1	correct?
10.37.43	2	THE WITNESS: So I'm not saying anything?	16:39:37	2	A To the best of my knowledge, yes. I don't
	3	MR. WEICHERT: No. You can answer.		3	think so.
	4	BY MR. WALKER:		4	Q You never saw Kia Jam use David Bergstein's
16:57:50	5	Q You can answer.	17:00:04	5	signature stamp; correct?
10.37.30	6	MR. MCGONIGLE: You can answer again.	17.00.04	6	A I did not see anybody. I mean, I I I saw
	7	Coun Counsel, you should know this by now,		7	myself using it. The office manager, Steven Piskula.
	8			8	Q Is there any indication in Exhibit 26 that Kia
	9	anyway.  MR. WEICHERT: Making his point.		9	Jam had any involvement in the preparation of any of
16:57:56	10	MR. MCGONIGLE: You can go ahead and answer.	17:00:20		these documents?
10.37.30	11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.	17.00.20	11	A Well, his name is not mentioned on it.
	12	MR. WEICHERT: Maybe you want to come back		12	Q The last two pages of the documents, the last
	13	tomorrow.		13	page is David Bergstein's driver's license.
	14	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?		14	Did Kia Jam, at any point in time, did you
16:58:03	15	BY MR. WALKER:	17:00:41		know, have any possession of David Bergstein's driver's
10.30.03	16	Q Yes, ma'am.	17.00.41	16	license?
	17	You agree that the bank records for the Swartz		17	A That's actually very possible as much as I
	18	IP Wells Fargo account and the Deutsche Bank account are		18	am am because they were traveling together. So
	19	the best record of how the funds in those two accounts		19	it's very possible that I gave him a copy of the
16:58:15	20	were paid out and to whom they were paid?	17:00:56		driver's license.
10.30.13	21	A I think the bank records are the only records	17.00.50	21	Q All right. And with regard to the passport,
	22	that I would look at and trust if it came to any kind of		22	did David give Kia a copy of his passport?
	23	transactions that I was trying to reconcile.		23	A I may have given it.
	24	MR. WALKER: Thank you, ma'am.		24	Q A copy of his passport?
16:58:30	25	We'll pass the witness.	17:01:07		A When they were because they were traveling
		in pass the winters.			11usi may work secame may work nurrenning
		Page 251			Page 253
16:58:31	1	EXAMINATION	17:01:09	1	together.
	2	BY MR. WEICHERT:		2	Q When they were traveling
	3	Q So with regard to the bank records, I'm just		3	A So it's very important. When they were
	4	following up really briefly.		4	traveling. Because they did.
16:58:37	5	If there was a wire transfer out of the	17:01:12	5	Q The document the first page of Exhibit 26
	6	account, the person who actually originated that wire		6	indicates that you were sending a number of documents to
	7	transfer necessarily wouldn't show up in the bank		7	Deutsche Bank including David Bergstein's passport and
	8	records; correct?		8	driver's license.
	9	A I don't know do you mean who initiated the		9	Do you see that?
16:58:53	10	wire transfer?	17:01:29	10	A Yes.
	11	Q So if you are on an account and David Bergstein		11	Q And
	12	gives you a direction to initiate a wire transfer, the		12	A Yes. Yes.
	13	bank records would show that you initiated it even		13	Q Would you have gotten David Bergstein's
	14	though it was David Bergstein's instruction to you;		14	passport and driver's license from Kia Jam?
16:59:05	15	correct?	17:01:38	15	A I think we had a copy of his driver's license
	16	A I am not I'm not assigned on any of the		16	and his passport in in the records.
	17	accounts.		17	Q And which records are those?
	18	Q Okay. So if you look at the bank records and		18	A I think I in my records.
	19	you're the sole signer of the account, that will show		19	Q Your records?
16:59:17	20	withdraw that question.	17:01:49	20	A Yes.
	21	A Okay.		21	Q And that's where you obtained the copies at the
	22	Q Let's go to back to Exhibit 26.		22	back of Exhibit 26?
	23	A Go ahead.		23	A You mean this particular record, they could
	24	Q I believe you testified that Kia Jam did not		24	have been also e-mailed to somebody and I would have
16 56 -		-			•
16:59:54	25	have access to David Bergstein's signature stamp;	17:02:01	25	taken a copy of that e-mail.

		Page 254			Page 256
17:02:02	1	Q All right. But it was you who provided the	17:03:22	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	2	picture?		2	MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay. But but I'll give
	3	A According to this e-mail? Yes. It listed		3	you after that once she gets it, once we receive
	4	here.		4	it after 30 days, I'll give you a prompt notice of all
17:02:10	5	Q So you provided the passport page as well as	17:03:29	5	the changes, if any, she's made, and also the fact that
17.02.10	6	the driver's license page?	17.03.23	6	she signed it under oath. And I'll send the original to
	7	A Yes. According to this e-mail, absolutely,		7	Mr. Walker. He can retain custody of it.
		-		8	MR. WALKER: Okay. Yeah. And we will reserv
	8	yes.			•
4	9	Q And Kia Jam did not; correct?	1	9	the right to use an unsigned copy for any purpose with
17:02:20	10	A I don't think so.	17:03:42		the court.
	11	MR. WEICHERT: Nothing else.		11	THE WITNESS: If I'm not signing this in
	12	MR. WALKER: I have nothing else.		12	30 days; is this correct?
	13	MR. WEICHERT: So should we enter into a		13	MR. WALKER: Well, you you you have the
	14	stipulation about		14	30 days to review it and sign it.
17:02:34	15	MR. MCGONIGLE: Want to just send it	17:03:51	15	THE WITNESS: Okay. From the time we
	16	MR. WEICHERT: the transcript?		16	receive
	17	MR. MCGONIGLE: It would be easier to send		17	MR. WALKER: Regardless of what I do with it.
	18	MR. WEICHERT: What do you want to do?		18	THE WITNESS: Okay. From the time you receiv
	19	MR. MCGONIGLE: the transcript to her and		19	it?
17:02:38	20	she'll review it and sign under oath.	17:03:57	20	MR. WALKER: Sure. That will be fine. We just
	21	I guess you originally want it to go back to		21	need to get it back, you know, at some point.
	22	you?		22	MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay. Okay.
	23	MR. WALKER: Yeah.		23	THE WITNESS: All right.
	24	MR. MCGONIGLE: Or let the witness hold it		24	_
17 00 40			17:04:06		MR. MCGONIGLE: All right.
		or			
17:02:42	20	•	17.01.00	20	MR. WALKER: Thank you.
17:02:42		Page 255	17.01.00		Page 257
17:02:42	1		17:04:09	1	·
		Page 255			Page 25° THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's
	1	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.		1	Page 25° THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD
	1 2 3	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.		1 2	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the
17:02:44	1 2 3 4	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the	17:04:09	1 2 3 4	Page 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.
17:02:44	1 2 3 4 5	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?		1 2 3 4 5	Page 25.7  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded
17:02:44	1 2 3 4 5	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded
17:02:44	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it,	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 255  MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?  MR. WALKER: Well, we'd like to have it	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?  MR. WALKER: Well, we'd like to have it quicker, obviously. But we'll reserve the right to use	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?  MR. WALKER: Well, we'd like to have it quicker, obviously. But we'll reserve the right to use an unsigned copy	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?  MR. WALKER: Well, we'd like to have it quicker, obviously. But we'll reserve the right to use an unsigned copy  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?  MR. WALKER: Well, we'd like to have it quicker, obviously. But we'll reserve the right to use an unsigned copy  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WALKER: in lieu of the signed copy.	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVE were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)
17:02:44 17:02:48 17:02:56	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WALKER: No. No. We want it to go back to us.  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.  MR. WEICHERT: How long do you want to give the witness to review and sign?  MR. MCGONIGLE: Well, will it be okay is just relieve the court reporter of her duties under the California code  MR. WEICHERT: Yes.  MR. MCGONIGLE: with respect to having the witness sign the original under oath, and also her duty to retain the original signed transcript.  You can send the certified or the original transcript to me, and I'll have the witness review it, make any changes she deems appropriate and sign it under oath.  And if you could do that in 30 days from receipt?  MR. WALKER: Well, we'd like to have it quicker, obviously. But we'll reserve the right to use an unsigned copy  MR. MCGONIGLE: Okay.	17:04:09	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 25  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's proceeding in the deposition of Frymi Biedak. Four DVD were used. The time is 5:04 p.m. We're now off the record.  (The proceedings were concluded at 5:04 p.m.)

	Page 258
17:04:27 1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
,	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF)
3	
4	
17:04:27 5	I, FRYMI BIEDAK, say I have read the
6 7	foregoing deposition and declare under penalty of perjury that my answers as indicated are true and correct.
8	that my answers as indicated are true and correct.
9	
17:04:27 10	
11	
	(Date)
12	
13	(Signature)
14	(Signature)
17:04:27 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
17:04:27 20 21	
22	
23	
24	
17:04:27 25	
	Page 259
1	Page 259  I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand
1 2	
	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand
2	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:
2	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.
2 3 4	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).
2 3 4 5	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a),
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).
2 3 4 5 6 7	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [ x ] was [ ] was not requested. If requested,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.  Dated this 29th day of March, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Sandra Mitchell CSR No. 12553, Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations.  (Cal. Code of Civ. P. Sec. 2093 (b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)).  The foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was duly sworn by me. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.330(a), 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  The foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and testimony. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.540(a) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(f)(1)).  I am not a relative or employee of the parties, nor financially interested in the action. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc. 2025.320(a)).  Before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [x] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the witness (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

			I	
A	212:20,24 213:1,5	activities 12:25	114:25 116:4	affixed 89:8 92:9
<b>a.m</b> 2:19 7:2,11	213:7 214:8,10,12	actual 39:7 46:25	117:11,16 118:1	afternoon 173:16
45:25 46:4 82:21	214:14 216:25	78:21 79:3,9,16	125:6 132:2,6,18	agent 147:2 155:7
109:20 120:7	217:1,6 218:8	79:21,25 94:24	133:5,11 134:21	155:13 157:17
213:24 222:25	219:6,14 220:12	96:1,17 144:18	134:25 139:13	158:1,23 159:21
<b>Aaron</b> 73:6 146:18	220:21,21,25	218:19 235:3,7	141:7,19 142:1,6	160:19 169:22
148:14 186:6,7,8	221:6,8,11,14,15	248:5	142:15,20,24	170:7,23 171:2,4
186:10 188:16,25	221:20,23 222:3,6	adapted 191:7	143:4,8,15 144:20	171:10
abcxyz.cc 75:18	224:1,9 233:8	192:5	165:15,19,23	agents 152:22
76:6,20 82:25	240:4,20 241:11	addition 165:9	167:3 168:7,13	171:16
87:15	241:21 247:13,14	additional 104:25	174:15 175:7	ago 43:23 179:15
able 10:5 103:14	247:23,24 248:19	116:15 119:6	178:20 245:21,25	204:4
111:20 139:22	248:20 249:6,16	156:7,11,13	249:11	agree 84:7 226:24
181:25 184:11	249:19 250:18,18	196:12	Administration's	247:11 248:1
absolutely 16:24	251:6,11,19	address 20:25	97:4 125:21 169:4	249:1,21 250:17
237:25 254:7	accountancy 25:17	26:21,23 57:21	Administrations's	agreement 241:2
accepted 170:8	accountant 25:8,11	61:5,6,8 71:23	107:15	ahead 57:20 145:14
access 28:13 30:17	25:14,20 30:10	72:2,4,7,8 74:24	administrative	159:4 227:24
55:13 56:8,9	58:23 69:10,12,16	75:2,25 81:12,16	8:24 9:12,14	250:10 251:23
57:11,16,23 58:1	69:18 70:1,10,21	82:25 87:15,25	15:10 16:11 18:16	Aircraft 136:13
58:4 94:9,12,16	71:4	88:2,5 89:23 94:4	18:18 20:16,24	<b>al</b> 7:17
107:14 108:18	accounted 30:12	95:7 113:18 114:9	174:17,19,21	albeit 101:7
110:4,10,23 117:8	accounting 38:19	114:25 118:22	175:2	<b>Alisa</b> 6:5 204:13,18
133:6 138:7	58:15,16,17 63:13	119:12,13,16,23	admitted 123:10	207:1 213:21
214:10,12 224:3,5	211:13,14	120:1,2 127:11,12	advanced 38:21	216:13
224:9 237:1 243:4	accounts 22:20	127:15 131:11	advice 13:10,12	allergies 73:13
243:7 251:25	30:19 45:18 57:12	140:1 174:14	28:20 29:5 31:4	<b>allow</b> 35:14 107:17
accessed 233:4	57:16,24 58:2	183:3,4,7 209:23	173:6 174:11	139:2
accident 12:2	108:18 117:9,10	210:1,3,5,6,8,9,10	<b>advise</b> 223:16	allowed 60:25
accompanied 10:19	117:16 118:1,8	223:2 234:12	advised 86:9	175:6 233:21
account 25:4 30:16	192:22 219:25	246:20	103:12	259:18
40:4 53:25 55:13	221:25 224:2,11	addresses 72:15	advises 170:5,5	altogether 167:1
93:14 94:8,10,13	247:16 248:2,5,16		advising 85:23	ambiguous 24:24
94:16 95:23 96:21	249:12,24 250:19	<b>admin</b> 168:10	<b>Advisory</b> 88:15,20	26:9 39:24 54:11
96:25 97:4,14	251:17	administer 259:3	91:3,11 92:5	77:5,16 137:18
107:15 108:3,11	accurate 25:9,10	Administration 1:8	131:7 170:12,15	157:20 231:9
108:14 110:4,10	38:10,15 39:11,22	2:8 8:1 50:15	171:8,19	247:17
110:14,19 111:1	41:1,9 86:1,13	51:24 52:6,14,22	<b>affairs</b> 10:1,10	amended 90:12,13
125:21 133:6,7	248:10,15,20	53:11,14 54:19,21	11:24 12:14 17:4	124:13
182:8 192:19,24	249:23 259:9	55:10,15 56:11	173:20 177:1	amendment 90:9
193:5,12,16,20,21	accurately 40:14	57:3,12,17,24	affiliated 16:13	<b>America</b> 108:10,17
193:24 194:2,3,5	acquired 232:5	63:17 66:3,7,15	18:7,8,24 19:1	115:5
194:16 205:1,11	action 7:9 156:7,13	67:11,19 69:4	112:22 134:24	American 108:13
206:7 207:10	259:13	97:1,7,15 98:17	135:9,22 136:6,18	182:7
208:15 211:3,8,9	actions 149:3 154:9	99:8 100:10 101:5	136:25	Amex 108:8
211:12,16 212:2	228:5	107:11,21 112:8	affirmations 259:3	amount 38:9,20
	active 18:3	112:15,23 114:22	affix 124:2	40:3,14 49:13
			·	'

				1age 201
95:19 96:13,24	252:6	applying 68:8,10	129:18 130:8,13	57:10 62:10 63:8
98:18 125:10	anymore 176:5	140:11 162:11,13	130:23 138:15	69:5 74:8 76:21
195:25 196:13	anyway 225:2	appoint 149:2	139:24 140:4,20	92:12 125:7 131:6
217:1 225:5	250:8	154:8 228:4	150:20 155:5,10	133:23 135:14
248:21	apart 129:2	229:23	155:11,15 158:19	201:10 216:20
amounts 39:18	apologies 120:9	appointed 151:12	171:3 179:17	218:22
229:6	apologize 53:4	152:7 199:25	183:1,2 184:20	assumes 50:21
Amy 26:17,19	56:25 85:16	appointing 151:22	188:1 191:3,4	51:25 56:16 70:3
analyze 249:15	158:19	200:4	196:11,20 220:20	70:6 75:9 90:21
Andrea 168:2	apparently 76:4,10	appointment	221:5 224:11	97:25 125:23
<b>Angeles</b> 2:18 3:18	76:17 84:9 92:10	228:25	225:17,23 226:16	128:2 231:11
7:1,12	97:3 102:19	apportioning 105:2	226:20 230:4	assumption 125:25
<b>Anna</b> 167:9	162:13 188:24	<b>Appro-</b> 209:19	244:3 245:18	attached 4:23
annual 126:20,23	198:4	appropriate 255:15	246:10 249:25	10:16 13:20 31:11
129:20 149:3	appeal 172:24	approved 70:1	asking 36:19 52:20	46:13 49:25 59:15
154:9 202:25	174:6	approximately	74:10 83:25 104:9	61:14 71:17 80:6
203:1,5 207:14	appear 14:3,4	47:10 82:9 172:8	114:8 180:12	81:23 86:21 93:11
208:3 209:8 228:5	32:18 46:9 62:16	182:18 199:19	224:21 235:14	101:24 105:23
annually 203:1	93:13 105:25	208:25 209:14	238:24	107:3 108:25
answer 6:10 13:2	115:1 137:15	223:24	asks 67:2 82:14	121:24 122:6
13:13 16:1 17:11	141:22 212:14,16	<b>April</b> 106:1 107:8	113:17 127:4	124:20 126:11
17:12 18:13 20:2	APPEARANCES	109:19 117:5,7	130:17	129:16 141:1
22:10,18 25:1,9	3:1	118:15 119:3	aspect 244:20	145:22 164:8
26:11 28:18 29:3	appeared 15:6,13	124:22 194:12	assessment 34:23	169:12 189:14,15
29:8 30:3,5,7,21	33:1 64:1 120:6	area 180:8	asset 78:23 79:2	189:21 197:21
31:1,5 32:22	142:25	argumentative	161:1 209:1	200:14 203:17
34:21 40:18 41:4	appears 32:7 47:4	56:22 130:16	assets 51:9,11,16	213:16 216:5,15
50:24 52:19 55:1	64:21 70:12,22	240:9 242:5 245:1	209:14	217:24 218:6
55:3,4 56:23	71:6 114:23	245:8 247:18	assign 45:15	219:2,20 222:19
66:12,13 70:18	120:15 190:20	248:6 250:1	assigned 251:16	223:14
78:13 85:12 99:15	205:22 206:20	arrange 116:15	assist 70:17 220:21	attaching 188:12
110:8 114:3	231:7	119:6 196:11,20	221:6	attachment 102:25
118:11 128:10	appended 259:18	243:18	assist- 221:16	189:24 216:17
143:11 144:8	apple 8:22 62:6	arranged 111:22	assistant 26:14,16	attachments
145:15 152:11,12	146:18,20 148:14	articles 181:13,16	27:4,6 70:17	189:20,22 204:1
168:18,19,20	application 233:8	181:21 182:1	72:22 166:24	attend 159:20
173:3,25 174:8	238:21	201:4	204:19,20 211:4	161:24
185:1 201:11,12	applied 68:6,15	asked 10:19 13:13	211:10	attended 160:6,15
201:13 211:19	132:18 135:14	14:25 19:7 22:8	assisted 221:20,22	attending 161:24
224:23 240:11	136:21 139:10	24:17 25:8 54:14	assisting 17:3	attention 31:20
242:14 250:3,5,6	140:10 155:15	54:17 67:7,8,12	242:23	80:8
250:10	159:2 160:8	74:8 84:12 94:18	associate 21:8	attorney 67:9
answered 249:25	162:10	104:14 105:3	204:22	74:22 172:18
answering 86:8	apply 67:14,16	108:16 110:1,15	associated 167:1	186:8,9 188:25
answers 258:7	132:21 137:10	110:16 111:2	219:5	217:9,10,11,12,15
anticipating 84:24	139:15,20 158:13	112:2 116:14	assume 14:6 34:18	attorney-client
anybody 107:24	162:12 198:19	119:6 120:22	34:20 39:7 40:4	17:5 173:1
	•	-	-	-

attorneys 10:4,6	175:25 178:13	28:10	6:7 9:19 12:9,19	213:12,20 215:2
11:18 172:17,21	181:8 214:5	bankrupt 11:5	16:9,14,16,25	215:10 217:5,12
August 95:19 142:7	225:16 226:14	bankruptcies 181:3	17:3,6,19,23 18:2	218:3,11 219:4
Austin 11:15	238:6 246:23	181:10	18:7,11,25 19:9	220:7 221:1,5,24
author 152:1	250:12 251:22	bankruptcy 11:6	19:11,22,24 20:4	222:2,5,9,12,13
authorization	253:22 254:21	11:14 13:23 31:17	20:11 21:8,20	224:3 225:4
206:7 208:15	255:1 256:21	180:13,19,24	22:22 24:7 26:3	228:14 238:16,20
212:2 218:7	backup 43:10,12	181:2	27:5 28:2 50:7,15	239:2,9,14,20,23
authorizations	bad 73:13 82:3	<b>Bartfay</b> 3:21 7:5	51:6,9,16 58:20	240:3,20 241:18
218:14	181:19	based 45:1 70:16	65:20 67:8,21	241:20 242:23
authorized 70:10	<b>balance</b> 39:7 40:3	99:25 162:22	68:20 70:16 72:22	244:7,21 245:6
70:20 209:16,20	104:14 110:17	191:10,15 207:2	75:17 76:7 77:2	246:19 251:11
217:5 259:3	111:1	211:16 235:5,6	77:14,25 82:25	Bergstein's 11:7,23
authorizing 216:24	balanced 40:4	basic 126:24	87:15 89:3,11,13	12:14,25 16:8
auto 12:2	balances 46:25	basically 205:18	94:20 95:13 96:6	18:20 23:11,17
automatically 75:7	47:1	211:11	96:20 100:2 102:6	27:13 28:10,23
available 10:3	balancing 25:5,5	basis 90:3	103:5 104:7 107:5	30:14 58:18 74:23
237:16	bank 22:19 25:4	Bates 189:2 230:3	107:10,23,25	76:20 81:16 89:5
Avenue 95:6	47:1 53:25 57:12	230:12,23 233:12	107:10,23,23	92:9 95:15 96:1
Aviation 136:9	57:16,24 58:1,4	234:23,25 235:3,8	112:21,25 113:5	96:16 99:11,22,22
aware 75:24 76:19	94:12,16 95:24	235:25 236:8	118:17,18 119:3	100:1,6,11,20
78:22 79:17 101:8	111:11 113:18	Bates-stamped	122:12 123:13	107:20 119:25
101:9 123:10,13	114:9,25 115:5,8	190:2	125:1 126:16	122:23 123:7
126:22 176:8	133:6,7 192:25	battles 16:22	127:18 129:23	124:2 125:20
183:21 219:4	193:5 194:1,3,5	Beach 113:11	134:17 137:16,24	143:20,22 144:6
222:9 232:25	194:16 204:16,23	bearing 206:3	140:5 142:5	173:19 177:1
240:8 241:11	205:1,11 207:1,2	Beca- 155:19	147:19 162:23	179:23 180:2
248:25	207:4,6 208:12,17	began 19:10,21	166:17 167:16	181:20 184:13,17
	209:13 211:8,15	26:4	172:8 173:9,24	184:22 191:1
B	213:2,5,7,21	beginning 19:7	174:4 175:16	195:16 205:20
<b>b</b> 4:8 5:2 6:2 8:21	214:8 217:1,17	21:4 101:17	176:6,13,19,25	210:10,19,25
259:4	218:4,8 219:6,22	begins 122:9	177:5 180:18,23	216:21 218:7,17
<b>B-205</b> 127:11	219:25 220:5,11	behalf 2:17 7:25	181:6,9,14,18,24	221:12 223:1
<b>B1123</b> 3:13	221:12,23 222:8	38:1 42:13 104:21	182:7,11,17,24	238:14 242:10,19
<b>B205</b> 95:7	223:21 224:2,3,5	220:22 221:12,23	183:12,18 184:8	246:3 251:14,25
back 15:22 17:24	224:9 225:3 233:8	believe 69:25 70:9	184:20 185:3,21	252:4,13,15 253:7
18:20 21:15 43:5	238:25 239:3,24	70:19 71:3 72:6	186:10,13 190:12	253:13
43:24 45:5,6 46:3	240:7 242:17	72:14 91:15 100:2	190:14,17 191:16	best 17:21 40:19
76:18 77:21 91:19	247:13,16,23	100:10 145:8	192:1,9 193:18,24	41:5 58:14 79:12
101:16 103:21	248:5,10,14,14,18	164:18 165:14	194:3,4,11,18,22	79:23 80:1 105:9
104:3 112:21	248:18,20 249:1,9	194:15 234:20	195:10,23 196:9	171:20 247:11,14
113:1 114:12	249:10,16,22	246:1 251:24	196:16 197:25	248:1,4,20 249:2
117:6,20 120:5	250:17,18,21	belonging 70:15	198:10 201:16,18	250:19 252:2
121:19 134:20	251:3,7,13,18	beneficiary 34:15	202:10,15 205:24	Beverly 11:17
140:2 144:13	253:7	36:5,13,18 114:22	206:4,6 209:22	Biedak 1:16 2:16
148:17 153:23	bank's 211:12	Berg- 99:17	210:9 211:4,11,18	3:15 4:3,11,12,13
159:2 171:5 172:2	banking 24:19	Bergstein 1:9 2:9	211:21 212:6,19	4:15,21 5:9,18
	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "			

				Page 203
7:15 8:12,20 46:6	<b>boy</b> 8:21	258:1 259:21	140:2,5 142:14	209:2 228:6
172:5 178:18	boys 113:2	call 66:8 69:21,23	175:15	change 15:4,20,24
200:20 203:21	break 43:20 45:22	211:18,19	CAS 15:12	16:6 88:23 90:12
215:1,1 225:14	57:21 73:11,12	called 9:3 27:18,23	CAS-13.12 CAS-AJWx 7:16	90:16,19 91:5,10
257:2 258:5	86:18 92:21	27:24 74:10 140:6	CASCADE 1:9 2:9	91:17,20,22 92:5
	101:11 171:22	140:7 197:14	case 1:6 2:6 7:15,16	133:10 170:7
<b>big</b> 100:25 232:23 <b>biller</b> 168:10	178:7 215:22	caller 9:3,7	12:23 17:10 28:16	171:10
		′		
billing 168:25	brief 178:18	calls 35:23 45:9	32:12 67:8 178:20	changed 15:23 16:6
169:2	briefly 251:4	52:8,15 54:24	196:16 197:18	32:23 88:20 91:1
bills 108:17 126:23	bring 103:21	69:19 71:8 85:14	230:20 234:3,24	91:2 92:15
binders 232:23	brought 43:14	85:17 99:14	235:1 241:2	changes 255:15
233:1,5	<b>building</b> 26:12,20	100:23 110:20	Casey 223:17	256:5 259:17
<b>Birdview</b> 224:20,20	27:3	112:4 117:19	cash 93:20 94:3	changing 92:7
224:22	<b>Bureau</b> 177:12,16	128:3 132:9,24	111:5 245:20	characterization
bit 33:17 37:2 42:3	business 5:20 10:1	137:19 142:8	cashed 94:8	24:22
51:22 76:14	10:10 11:24 12:4	149:10 150:13,15	cashier's 41:19,23	characterize
148:17 150:6	12:14,19,25 21:8	151:3,8 152:10	47:16,20 48:2	176:17
177:8 189:15	77:22 90:1 104:25	155:20 167:6	cause 8:7	characterizes
<b>board</b> 184:3 192:6	105:6 128:24	168:14 175:10	<b>caused</b> 187:11	117:10
<b>bonds</b> 209:1	135:25 173:20	176:10 231:23	cc 47:13,15 75:4	<b>charge</b> 42:24 43:16
book 183:25	174:16 176:18,24	232:6,12 236:3	cc'd 170:3	44:25
bookkeeper 30:11	177:1 181:11	237:3 240:23	cell 9:9 82:2 210:22	<b>chart</b> 53:9 54:18
books 232:17,19	201:16 210:16	241:12,22 242:13	<b>CENTRAL</b> 1:2 2:2	58:8 59:1 62:20
<b>Boson</b> 160:12,17,18	234:6 241:19	242:25 244:8	CENTURY 3:17	62:25 63:10 64:1
160:24	246:13	CANCELED 4:24	<b>CEO</b> 127:7 143:7	67:6 69:2,8 70:5
<b>bottom</b> 22:7 32:5	businesses 174:22	Canoga 209:24	166:17	70:12,22 71:6
41:14 58:25 61:3	174:23	<b>Canyon</b> 209:24	<b>certain</b> 44:13 80:10	140:15,17 159:7
71:19 72:21 80:9	<b>bylaws</b> 123:20	210:7	83:21 104:10	159:11 160:4,13
88:9 102:5,15,22	124:13 197:22	<b>CAPCO</b> 42:13	106:1 109:3	160:22 161:4,22
103:17 109:6,8,13		CAPISTRANO	130:13 138:7,11	163:5
115:20 119:1	C	3:13	142:11 167:24	charter 60:25
121:12 126:13	C 146:14 148:7	capital 78:17,20	175:8 201:23	97:23 98:9,10
127:4 147:4 148:2	C.S.R 1:22 2:20	122:21 123:4	239:9	155:25 170:11
148:16 153:6	259:25	141:10,14 160:3	certainly 33:1	<b>charts</b> 4:16 50:4,6
154:16 189:2	<b>CAC</b> 64:14 67:12	164:21 197:8,15	136:18,24	Che 95:10
190:5,6,11 195:21	67:18,25 68:12,17	197:17	<b>certif-</b> 192:4	check 25:4 33:14
202:23 213:18	69:3 70:11 131:17	Capital's 122:22	certificate 87:21	36:16 38:8,19,19
216:22 222:22	Cal 259:4,7,10,13	card 219:5,12	88:14 189:11	40:1 41:20,23
230:4,13	Calabasas 180:8	care 39:9 161:23	192:4 201:4 206:2	42:20 44:11 45:20
<b>Boulevard</b> 2:17	<b>calculate</b> 229:8,11	careful 38:9	212:3	47:17,20 48:2
7:12 21:2 26:5,21	California 1:2 2:2	Carol 223:17	certificates 183:25	49:8,13 63:13
140:1 167:13,13	2:18 3:13,18 7:1	Carroll 112:19,20	<b>certified</b> 7:6 25:16	93:16,20,24 94:2
174:14 175:24	7:13 65:2 95:7	112:22,25 113:4	191:25 255:13	94:4,6 95:2,5,18
179:11 209:24	104:25 105:7	114:22 115:9	259:1	96:12,12,23,23
237:16 246:20	113:10 127:11	116:4 134:23	certify 259:2	99:4 100:2,9
box 207:13 208:2	129:10,11 131:12	135:5,12,20 136:5	cetera 149:4	245:20
208:20	162:18 255:8	137:16 139:24	154:10 172:23	checkbook 23:3
		10,110 10,121	10 0 1/2.20	

				Tage 204
25:5	246:20	20:22,23 24:8	concludes 257:1	contain 259:9
checked 207:13	<b>column</b> 48:17	27:18 34:14 38:2	conclusion 52:8,16	contained 247:13
208:3,20 225:3	62:16 66:24	43:15 44:9 45:14	54:24 66:9 110:21	context 21:17
checking 97:14	columns 33:7	45:19 52:7,7,14	128:3 132:9	74:19 84:13 95:13
checks 4:24 23:4	come 48:16 69:4,9	52:22,23 65:25	137:19 155:21	continue 12:20
93:13,25 94:7	111:9 120:5	66:7 67:4 79:6,11	conditions 212:2	73:17
99:23 100:20,21	128:17 167:17	79:14,21,25 97:18	conduct 12:5	Continued 5:1 6:1
101:5,8,9 108:5	175:6 181:21	129:2 131:23,24	conducted 199:19	continues 31:16
192:16,19 220:24	187:4 236:1	133:5 134:15,18	conferences 154:23	contract 106:22
221:3 245:19	250:12	135:6,9,18,22	confirm 120:25	contrary 184:16
248:11	coming 23:4	136:5,8,10,17	confirmation 4:22	<b>control</b> 194:16
Chinese 75:2,3,7	126:23	138:17,18 142:25	81:22 114:17	202:2
chopped 63:5	commencing 2:18	149:19 156:22	116:3 117:1	controlling 239:15
Chris 223:7	comment 101:1	159:21 160:2,7,11	188:13 189:6,16	convenience 99:21
Citizens 111:10,11	commercial 17:20	160:15 161:2,20	confirmations	conversations
City 175:25	18:11	166:13 167:1,2	114:13 115:11,16	245:13
Civ 259:4,4,7,8,10	Commission	169:20 181:23	121:7	convey 111:21
259:11,13,19	177:20	183:16,17 187:24	confirmed 225:4	conveying 125:19
claim 11:21 38:21	<b>common</b> 76:25	197:14 198:17,22	conform 13:3	187:3
<b>claims</b> 38:22	77:13	202:3 227:16,21	17:11 34:25 55:22	convicted 123:14
clarification	communicate 10:5	229:14	149:15	123:17
218:23	communicating	compare 226:3	confused 98:6	<b>copied</b> 74:15 76:22
Class 1:4 2:4 3:2,7	10:9	227:23	150:6 189:15	77:7,9 78:4,5
7:22	communication	compiled 141:18	connected 53:1	195:23 197:25
<b>clean</b> 163:19	10:4 74:19 121:19	complete 14:8	66:1,15,18 70:15	213:13,21 215:5,6
clear 218:18	communications	46:22 60:4	131:25 138:5	218:2 220:7,14,19
<b>cleared</b> 39:4,5 47:1	20:11 76:6 78:1	completed 82:3	219:13	220:19 223:5
clearly 76:19 84:12	companies 11:7	100:20 208:15	connection 11:14	224:14 237:5,9,17
84:16	12:16,17 15:6,13	238:21 239:2	82:3 177:10 181:6	240:2,6,15 242:2
clients 186:12,13	18:3,11 23:6,8,21	completion 259:15	238:23	244:4 245:5,12
clock 76:15	24:9 27:14 56:20	compliance 98:11	considered 170:16	<b>copies</b> 253:21
close 172:6	57:6 58:18,18	complied 130:14	234:21	<b>copy</b> 14:4 24:7 76:3
closely 20:8	64:24 66:17 69:17	130:25	consistent 63:4	76:18 77:2,8,25
clue 121:5	70:2,11,22 71:5	complies 186:16	83:1 153:14	78:6,11 80:10
<b>co-</b> 93:25	78:2 122:23 123:5	195:20 211:2	consistently 19:13	82:24 87:14,17
coach 13:7	123:8 127:25	<b>comply</b> 17:15	consolidated 7:15	102:6 103:5 104:6
code 255:8 259:4,7	128:6 132:7 134:8	107:20 131:5	constitute 100:21	105:25 109:15
259:10,13	134:14 137:3,15	compound 43:17	consulting 33:20	118:18 122:12
coequal 204:23	137:23,24 138:8	43:20 57:19 64:6	33:23 34:10,15	124:25 127:18
cohead 166:9	138:12 139:13	65:10 122:25	36:2,6,14,21 37:4	129:23 145:24
Cole 3:3,8 7:23	140:16 143:20,22	157:19	136:3	163:17 170:1
collection 87:2,5	143:24 144:7,16	computer 76:15	<b>contact</b> 9:21,23	198:3 217:21
<b>Colorado</b> 21:2 26:5	157:13 158:7	120:23 136:4	70:10,16,21 71:2	244:18 252:19,22
26:21 89:24 95:6	169:21 175:9	206:22	71:4 211:3,8,12	252:24 253:15,25
127:10 140:1	<b>company</b> 9:1,16	<b>con-</b> 189:16	contacted 70:14	255:21,23 256:9
167:13,13 174:14	14:25 15:23 16:5	concerning 201:8	171:1,3	corner 50:10 59:22
210:5 237:16	18:6,15,17,23	concluded 257:5	contacting 70:1	88:9 190:6 197:3
	<u> </u>	l	l	ı

				_
Corp 1:9 2:9 78:17	95:3,8,23 96:14	196:17 198:1,23	245:20	63:23 69:7 89:14
160:3	96:15 97:1,2	199:9 202:8,9	COUNTY 258:2	131:5 141:9,13
corporate 8:24	98:18 100:3 102:8	204:7 205:12,15	<b>couple</b> 11:6 134:8	142:4 159:7,12
9:12,13,14 16:10	102:11,17,20	206:4 207:4	170:14 172:5	164:19,20
16:25 17:23 18:16	103:6,9 104:4,7,8	209:21 211:24	179:15 180:9	creating 62:8 63:6
18:18 74:21	104:11 106:13,19	212:20 213:22,25	189:25 238:12	165:1 234:1
104:17 138:7	107:6,7,8,9,12	215:5,8,9 217:2	course 13:15 43:7	credit 48:1,16,17
184:5 206:7,14,21	109:20,21,24,25	217:10,20 218:4,8	64:4 73:11 76:2	111:13
207:12,20 208:15	111:11,15 112:11	218:11 220:5,6,9	76:22 90:1 102:13	criminal 174:6
212:2 232:17,19	113:19 114:10,14	220:12,16 221:1	143:3 147:20	CSR 259:1
233:4	114:15,18,19,23	221:20 222:3	170:10 200:17	CT1 32:12 36:16
corporation 87:21	115:4,7,25 116:1	223:5 224:10	201:15,16 237:23	36:17 37:24
98:13 104:24	116:4,9 117:2,3	225:11 226:17	246:13	<b>Culver</b> 175:24
136:14 146:15	118:16,19,22,23	227:11,16 228:7	<b>court</b> 1:1 2:1 3:9	<b>current</b> 7:10 16:23
148:8 155:17	118:24 119:7,8,10	228:19 238:16	7:18 8:4 10:15	18:17,23
183:14,16	119:11,14,17,20	239:11 244:25	13:19 31:10 46:12	currently 8:23,24
corporations	120:14,22 121:7,8	245:10 246:1	49:24 59:14 61:13	13:1 16:20,21
128:21,22 129:24	121:13,14,20	248:12,16,22	62:3 71:16 80:5	170:6 171:8,14
130:3,6,13 169:21	122:13,17 123:21	249:24 251:8,15	86:20 93:10	custody 256:7
correct 10:20,21	124:23,24 125:1,2	252:1,5 254:9	101:23 105:22	customary 77:25
11:7 15:2,24 16:6	125:4,11 126:16	256:12 258:7	107:2 108:24	Cyrano 64:16
18:25 20:8 23:8	126:20,21 127:5,8	259:21	121:23 122:5	156:24 157:3,11
28:2 31:18,19	127:19,20,23	correcting 120:12	123:11 124:19	166:17
32:8,18 33:15,18	128:8,17 129:25	120:18	126:10 129:15	
33:21 34:22 35:8	130:4,25 131:9,10	<b>correctly</b> 48:4 73:7	140:25 145:21	<u>D</u>
35:9,12 37:19,20	131:13,16,18,19	81:24 82:16 83:12	164:7 169:11	<b>D</b> 3:16,17 5:1 6:1
37:22 39:18 41:1	131:21 132:4,7	88:17 98:15	200:13 203:16	8:22
41:20 42:4,6,7	136:11,12,15,19	104:19,20 117:13	213:15 216:4	DALLAS 3:4
43:2,13 44:21	137:3,6,17 139:16	120:10 127:1	217:23 219:1,19	dash 168:10
46:9 47:6 49:9,14	143:5,6,16 146:3	147:7 149:5 153:9	222:18 236:22	date 7:10 34:3,5
50:4,8,11,16 51:6	146:4,12,15,18,19	166:10	255:7 256:10	37:12,14 39:2
51:24 53:11,15	146:23 147:2,23	Cortazzo 223:7	<b>courtesy</b> 76:3,18	51:1 59:21 71:24
55:16 56:20 57:9	148:5,8,11,14	Coun- 250:7	80:10 82:24 87:14	84:25 91:6,10
59:22 60:1,6	149:20 151:2,7,13	counsel 7:19 13:3,7	87:17 104:6	99:3,8 101:1
61:18 63:14,17,20	152:3,8,22 154:4	17:14 21:11 34:24	109:15 118:18	109:24 119:19
63:23 64:25 65:3	154:10,17 159:14	35:17 39:24 55:21	122:12 124:25	146:11 148:10
65:6,22 66:4,5	165:12,13,20,24	55:23 67:10 89:20	127:18 145:23	199:18,20 258:11
67:21 69:8,18	166:2,2,5,14,18	149:15 151:16	170:1	dated 80:14 92:1,8
72:22 73:21 74:16	166:21 167:23	162:2 173:23	<b>CPA</b> 60:16 80:20	93:16 94:3 95:2
76:7,23 78:18	168:4,7 169:15,17	234:1,9,10 235:11	80:22 102:6	95:19 96:12 100:9
79:6 81:13,16,19	170:2,9,12,13	241:4,8 250:7	create 33:7 54:14	106:1 107:8
81:20 82:6,9,12	181:4 182:15	counsel's 13:9	55:24 56:8,19	124:22 126:16
82:22,25 83:15,22	183:4 185:22	28:20 29:5 31:3	63:2	186:5 194:11
84:4,19 86:1 87:9	186:11 187:7	173:5 174:10	created 32:13 34:1	259:22 D 120-22
87:12,15,18,19,22	189:4 191:17,20	234:4	37:24 42:14 47:3	Dave 129:23
89:3 91:7,9,12,24	192:20,21 194:14	count 62:13 206:8	47:5 49:1 50:6	<b>David</b> 3:12 6:7 7:25
92:2,5,9 93:17	194:16,17,23	counter 93:24	61:20,22 62:25	9:18 16:9 19:8,10
I		1	<u> </u>	ı

				Tage 200
19:22 20:4 21:20	139:7 216:10	177:13,16,24	dial 215:14	discussions 154:23
28:23 65:20 67:8	255:17,24 256:4	depends 9:22	difference 166:3	178:25
68:20 70:15 72:22	256:12,14	173:11	227:14	dishonest 85:12
74:23 76:20 81:15	dbergstein@gra	depicted 229:6	different 83:4	Disk 101:17
82:25 89:3 94:20	75:23	deposit 47:11,13,20	97:21 157:13	dissolved 97:19
95:25 107:5,22	deal 74:20 222:25	47:20,25 48:2	159:12 167:2	DISTRICT 1:1,2
109:13 112:21	235:17	214:4 223:15	174:22 227:20	2:1,2
116:14 118:17,18	dealings 96:6	deposited 97:3	228:9,18 229:5,13	<b>do-</b> 208:13
119:5 122:12	deals 226:25 227:3	deposition 1:16	229:14	document 55:24
124:25 126:16,22	<b>Dear</b> 119:5	2:16 4:11,12,13	differently 49:6	60:3 62:24 89:9
140:4 142:5 147:5	debit 219:5,12	4:14 7:11,14	diligence 181:24	90:5 91:13 106:11
147:18,19 149:2	<b>December</b> 41:15,16	10:18 13:23 14:1	dinner 43:13	123:23 124:8,10
154:8 166:17	42:4 49:8 93:17	14:5 180:11 235:7	direct 80:8 189:25	141:3,6 146:2,5
176:19 178:19	94:3 96:24 97:11	257:2 258:6	210:18,19,20,21	149:11,13,23
182:24 183:12,18	97:13,18,22 98:7	depositions 10:22	239:10	151:10,16 152:1,6
184:8,13 185:2,21	99:1 100:9 142:7	11:3,9,22 12:8,12	directed 247:12	152:16 153:4,10
186:10,13 190:12	146:12 148:11	describe 195:5	248:3	153:12,15,20
190:14,17 191:1	192:1	describes 82:5	directing 194:22	154:11,14,18
191:16 192:8	decent 177:9	describing 229:13	196:16,18 239:9	156:10 164:11
193:18,24 194:3,4	decided 33:7	description 4:10	direction 44:20	188:24 191:2,6,11
194:11,18,22,25	decision 33:10 65:8	5:4,19 6:4 37:21	184:17,22 190:21	198:15 199:2,5,15
195:10,16,22	65:14,16 215:1	199:16,18	194:11,18 215:7	200:25 201:2,14
196:8,9,16,19	declare 258:6	descriptions	251:12	201:19,23 203:21
197:25 198:10,17	259:20	228:18	directions 195:1	205:17 211:22
198:22 199:17	deems 255:15	designate 47:15	directly 10:6 70:15	212:10 227:16,25
202:10,15 206:4	<b>def-</b> 69:6	68:3	83:5,25 249:17	228:2 229:18
209:22 210:9,10	defendants 1:10	designated 134:12	director 166:21	230:5,19 231:18
210:25 211:4,10	2:10 3:11 8:1	205:5 206:6	184:9 188:19,23	233:11,17,21,22
211:18 212:6	definitely 24:11	designation 230:23	190:12 191:7,20	234:11,16,23,24
213:12,20 214:15	29:19 41:5 49:3	desk 179:14	directors 184:4	235:2,19 237:11
215:2,10 217:4,12	58:3,6 85:13	<b>Details</b> 209:17	188:3 192:6	238:13,18 239:5
218:3,6,11 220:7	86:15 160:17	determine 203:23	226:19	239:22 242:17
223:1,14 224:3	Defrank 166:8	249:16	discovery 133:12	253:5
225:3 228:4,13	172:19	determined 67:4	165:3,6,16 184:6	documents 74:6
251:11,14,25	Delaware 64:25	Deutsche 192:25	213:10 219:14	87:2 99:23 104:10
252:4,13,15,22	126:19 128:1,7	193:5 194:1,3,5	249:13,18	156:11 172:23
253:7,13	129:20 146:14	204:16 205:1,11	discuss 147:5 245:6	177:23 184:6
<b>David's</b> 125:8	155:23 156:19,25	213:1,5,7 214:8	discussed 73:4	193:7 200:3 201:9
216:21	162:17 171:14	217:1 218:4,8	80:22 154:24	208:14 219:23
david@abcxyz.cc	delay 120:24	219:5,22 222:7	165:12 173:25	220:10 221:9,17
74:23	delete 75:7	223:21 224:2,3,5	177:11 240:3,20	221:17,18 227:9
day 51:1 82:21	<b>deleted</b> 234:18	224:9 225:3 233:8	241:20,23 244:6	229:13,22 236:15
89:25 90:1 113:9	delinquency 59:21	238:25 239:24	244:20	242:24 247:9
149:22 172:12	59:24	240:7 242:17	discussing 10:1	252:10,12 253:6
215:11 259:22	delinquent 4:17	247:13,23 248:20	22:14 76:25	dog 8:22
day-to-day 20:18	59:18	249:10,15 250:18	173:19 174:5	<b>doing</b> 16:16 17:6
days 10:7 75:8 92:4	Department	253:7	discussion 179:14	17:13 30:13 38:16
	<u> </u>			

				- 5
38:17 41:7 42:19	76:19 77:7 78:10	245:5,7	158:14 159:22	enter 254:13
86:22 104:25	78:17 80:9 81:11	Earl 11:12	160:5,8,17 162:11	entered 39:1,20
140:16 157:10	81:21 82:4,8 83:4	earlier 13:22 21:15	186:25 187:14,16	Enterprises 96:9
158:3,6,17 164:23	83:7,20,24 84:6	21:17 103:19	198:19 201:5	134:21 135:7
164:24 181:24	84:13,15 85:3,10	120:7,17 121:11	either 10:24 15:19	140:11
246:23	85:22 86:7 87:8	139:12 141:16	26:13 28:7 56:13	entire 44:23 173:23
dollars 116:9 196:5	102:5,12 103:3,4	153:15 163:10	57:3 67:7 76:15	entirely 240:19
domain 75:2,7,18	103:17 104:2	169:19 172:7	78:4 158:17	entities 5:16 12:15
76:6,20	107:5,22 108:8	198:9 202:7 206:2	165:22 172:13	12:17,19 16:25
<b>Don</b> 134:23 135:5	109:8,12,23 110:2	213:19 216:10	177:23 193:25	17:19 18:2 23:11
135:20 136:5	112:11 113:23	239:8	200:9 202:22	23:17 28:10,12,14
139:24 140:5	114:6 115:24	<b>Early</b> 11:18	212:23 224:4	30:14,15,19 61:17
<b>Donald</b> 112:19,20	116:6,12,14,20	easier 158:11	234:25 240:5	62:11 63:9,14,16
114:22 115:8	117:7 118:14,22	206:16 254:17	ELATZER@CO	63:19 64:1,4
116:4 142:13	118:25 119:2	<b>EAST</b> 3:17	3:10	65:12,15,17 66:20
dot 75:4	120:6,13,16,17	Ed 172:19	eLitigation 7:7	66:25 67:5 73:5
drafted 76:19	121:2,3,10,11	<b>Ed-</b> 204:15	employed 8:23	73:20 74:7,11,22
124:7 164:19	122:9 124:22	<b>Edmond</b> 166:8	133:17 145:9	77:1,19 78:16
230:18	126:15,18 129:23	Edrington 5:21,22	167:1 183:9	80:22,23 126:19
drastically 32:24	169:14 179:18	5:23,24 204:15	<b>employee</b> 5:15 7:8	126:25 127:5,22
drawn 93:13 96:24	183:3,4,7 186:4	213:12,21 215:12	141:7 142:6,15,20	128:6 129:19,20
drive 113:13,14	186:18,19 188:8	216:8,9,18	142:23 143:4,15	130:24 131:1,2
172:15,16,20	189:23 195:22	educated 25:13	158:6,14 165:19	144:11 146:3
driver's 205:20	196:8,19 197:7,20	effective 91:6,10	165:23 166:8	159:12 179:16
252:13,15,20	197:25 203:25	effectively 104:15	168:12,13,25	180:24 181:1,1,4
253:8,14,15 254:6	205:4,10,15	126:2	259:12	181:7 188:1
driving 172:17,18	207:10,11 208:14	effectuate 111:18	employees 5:17	206:14,21 207:13
due 74:11	213:11,13,18	effectuated 88:23	78:21 79:3,9,16	207:21
<b>duly</b> 8:13 259:7	214:6 215:4,11	Effie 4:20 71:20	79:18,22,25	entities' 4:18
<b>duties</b> 169:3 246:19	216:8,10 217:16	72:19,24 74:10	141:19 142:1	207:14 208:3
255:7	218:2,6,14,16	effort 38:13 40:7	144:18 164:13	<b>entitled</b> 7:16 53:9
duty 255:11	220:3,7,10,16	40:24,24 86:15	165:15 168:7	146:2 206:21
<b>DVDs</b> 257:2	222:21,24,25	efforts 41:6	169:1 175:2,8	<b>entity</b> 9:10 16:10
DWEICHERT@	223:1 224:15	efile 4:22 81:22	employer 20:16	27:23 28:1 32:11
3:14	225:2 237:17	EFILED 4:22	69:1 138:9,19	32:16 42:13,25
	239:5 240:2,7,16	eight 123:14 139:6	152:20 155:5,11	43:1 44:13,14,16
E 4 9 5 1 2 6 1 2	242:3 243:15	204:4 206:9,11	157:15 158:21	44:24,25 45:16
E 4:8 5:1,2 6:1,2	244:4,6,12,18,21	EIN 62:12,21 67:1	159:19 160:15,24	53:1 58:12 62:21
e-mail 3:5,10,14,19	245:13 246:18,25	67:14 68:5,7,8,10	163:7,8	63:22 65:9 66:1
4:20,21,22 5:5,8,9	247:6 253:25	83:11,18 84:18,21	employers 15:20	68:8 74:1 79:15
5:10,11,12,13,14	254:3,7	85:2,25 86:11,12	employment 12:8	81:2 90:10,13
5:18,21,22,23,24	e-mailed 253:24	132:14,18,21	16:24 161:24	126:24 129:11
6:5,6,7 71:20,23	e-mails 77:14 102:2	135:14 136:21	ended 18:1	136:21 139:1,2,19
72:2,4,7,8,14,17	107:25 109:2	137:10 138:13,24	endorsement 96:8	143:10 146:7,14
72:22 73:21,23	111:9 116:11	139:7,10,15,20	ensure 38:9,13 39:9	148:7 162:19
74:10,15 75:1,6	179:15 219:16	146:25 155:15	39:20 40:7,12,24	168:22 170:6,10
75:18,20,24 76:10	225:9 244:23,25	157:15,25 158:13	41:7	170:19,20 171:4
'	•		•	•

185:22 188:17	excuse 47:14 54:5	165:23 166:1,5,7	56:10	127:21 135:24
198:19	73:10 150:13	165:25 166:1,5,7	30.10	157:6,8 177:21,25
entity's 90:13	executed 89:2	167:25 168:1,6,9	F	222:2,5
208:21	Exhi- 228:10	169:10 185:7,17	F 8:20 125:11	Fargo 95:24 108:2
entries 30:11 39:10	exhibit 4:11,12,13	186:2,4,15 188:4	F-I-N-E 21:25	108:3 110:11,14
entry 37:25 38:10	4:14,16,17,18,20	188:5,8 189:3,10	facilitate 193:8	111:19 192:19
41:15 47:24,25	4:21,22,24 5:5,6,8	190:1,1,4 192:14	247:3	193:13,16,20,24
envisioned 151:22	5:9,10,11,12,13	194:9 195:19	facsimile 68:9	220:1,5,11,22,25
151:25 152:6	5:14,15,16,17,18	196:21 198:7,9,25	139:4 158:17	221:6 222:3,7
equivalent 114:20	5:19,21,22,23,24	200:10,11,12,20	fact 12:13 18:24	245:19 247:14,23
Eric 3:8 7:23 214:6	6:5,6,7 10:13,14	203:14,15 213:11	90:15 128:2	248:19 249:10
error 99:5	13:17,18 14:12	213:14,19 216:3,7	160:24 222:5	250:18
escrow 223:16	25:23 31:9,14	216:11,22 217:22	232:25 235:5,7	farther 132:3
<b>ESPADA</b> 3:13	32:3,4,17 39:17	218:2,24,25 219:8	240:1,15 242:2	210:16
ESQ 3:3,8,13,17	41:12 46:7,11	219:10,17,18	244:3,17,23 245:4	<b>fashion</b> 135:23
esquire 73:6 186:6	48:22 49:23 50:3	220:2 221:4	256:5	fault 239:16
estate 106:1,5	50:11,13 53:4	222:16,17 225:24	facts 50:21 51:25	fax 139:4,7 161:17
223:9,11 246:11	59:10,13,17 61:3	225:24 227:10,10	56:16 70:6 75:9	faxed 216:13
246:23 247:5	61:11,12,16 62:22	228:1,7,8,9,10,17	90:21 97:25	FBI 177:24
estimate 231:18	64:25 70:13,23	228:18 229:2,7,19	125:23 128:3	fbiedak@kjamm
et 7:17 149:4	71:7,14,15,20	230:2,3 231:7	231:11	72:5
154:10 172:23	77:2 80:3,4 86:17	232:4 233:7 234:3	fair 12:11 19:10	February 35:7
209:1 228:5	86:19,25 87:2,5	234:14,14,16,19	24:22 39:3 69:11	36:15 37:6,15
<b>EUGENE</b> 1:8 2:8	87:20 92:19 93:4	235:1,2,6,7,12,13	83:7 103:2 108:6	38:8 50:18,19
evidence 50:21	93:8,9,13,18	235:24 236:23	131:3 138:1	52:13,21 53:10,18
52:1 56:16 70:6	98:16 100:16,22	237:2 238:9	144:19 147:9	Fed 259:4,8,11,19
75:10 90:21 98:1	101:21,22 104:2	243:14 244:12	150:24 153:22	<b>federal</b> 50:7 104:17
125:24 128:3	105:20,21 106:25	245:16 246:8,9,25	160:21 161:19	123:11,14 177:12
153:17 231:13	107:1 108:21,23	251:22 252:8	164:2,25 182:17	177:16
249:2	113:16,21 114:13	253:5,22	185:6 229:12,15	fee 126:24
EX 236:12	115:4,13 116:8,11	exhibits 226:23	230:1,7,8 231:12	<b>felt</b> 30:9
exact 38:20 55:6	116:25 117:6	227:19 234:19	231:15 235:8,21	Fifty-one 41:13,13
examination 4:5,6	121:12,18,22	expecting 84:24	237:19 241:25	<b>file</b> 67:1 74:5 83:11
8:16 13:25 25:23	122:3,4,8 123:19	<b>expense</b> 42:19,20	fairly 23:25 90:3	84:18,24 85:2,25
31:17 46:8 178:16	124:1,17,18 126:8	42:24 43:12,13	157:11 160:25	86:11 104:17
225:21 251:1	126:9,14 129:13	expenses 37:22	222:7	105:1 139:1
examined 8:14	129:14,18,22	38:1 42:10,13,18	false 234:2,6,8	186:25
example 32:10	130:12 140:23,24	42:24 43:2 44:8,9	familiar 27:10,12	<b>filed</b> 60:1,6,9,11,22
38:21 69:13	141:6,25 144:3,14	, , ,	27:18,23 58:12	80:24 81:5,9,22
133:17 138:9,22	144:17 145:19,20	45:2,14	90:15 162:17	84:20 104:21
140:10 141:23	156:7 157:8 159:4	explain 12:10	familiarity 100:1	133:13,14,15
143:25 166:7	159:10,16 160:3,4	39:12 68:6 246:16	162:23	138:14,18,23
168:1 248:11	160:12,13,23	explained 171:12	far 11:8 12:21	162:17 165:5
Excel 141:21	161:3,4,21,22	<b>Express</b> 108:10,13	20:14,15 27:9	181:4 187:14,16
exchange 177:20	162:3 163:4,5	108:17 182:7	37:8 57:25 64:9	<b>filing</b> 60:14,17
179:18	164:5,6 165:2,8	extent 15:22 16:5	79:4,4 90:2 111:3	84:22 88:15 90:10
excluding 208:21	165:11,11,14,18	17:3,10 50:23	111:7 113:8	103:14 138:13
	I	I	I	I

				Page 209
143:9 163:11	flip 158:9	forward 106:8	Freddie 134:12,15	getting 228:25
170:7 171:10	Floor 2:18	forwarded 98:10	166:12	229:16
188:13,15 189:6	focus 201:25	forwarder 83:2	free 14:3	Gion 79:14 146:8
189:11,16	follow 13:9,12	forwarding 123:20	Friday 172:13	147:22 158:1,23
filings 74:12 83:21	28:20 29:5 31:3	forwards 83:5	friend 112:20	158:25 159:17
103:13 128:19	108:1 173:5	found 88:25 122:20	friendly 177:9	161:14
filled 242:10,17	174:10	213:8,9	friends 176:18	giv- 140:8
final 41:11	follow-up 172:5	foundation 19:16	241:1	give 29:24 41:16
financial 7:8 15:12	194:21	20:1 23:13 28:3	front 227:9 237:4	130:9 194:25
202:24	followed 63:3	32:21 35:22 36:8	fronted 38:18	215:7 226:2
financially 259:13	184:21	36:24 40:17 43:3	Frymi 1:16 2:16	252:22 255:4
financials 207:7	following 73:4	45:10 50:23 52:1	3:15 4:3,11,12,13	256:2,4
find 69:23 90:4	110:2 251:4	52:15 54:25 55:18	4:14,21 5:9,18	given 29:17 70:19
159:3 189:16	follows 8:14 130:3	55:19,24 56:22	7:14 8:12,20 82:1	71:9 78:5,8,11
197:21 244:14	215:10	59:4 64:7 66:9,11	146:25 156:8,14	112:2 138:14
fine 14:21 21:23,25	Fondren 61:4	69:20 75:13 78:14	157:25 197:23	214:22 241:17
22:1,2,3 86:23	87:24	85:18 86:2 90:22	214:2,15,24,25	252:23
159:9 185:16	for-profit 87:21	97:25 110:21	257:2 258:5	gives 38:22 42:19
256:20	foregoing 258:6	112:16 118:12	frymi@grayboxl	251:12
finish 35:14	259:5,9,21	129:4 132:10	71:23	giving 25:22 70:17
<b>firm</b> 11:13 58:15	forfeit 60:25 97:23	137:19 142:9	full 8:18 104:13	glad 240:14
58:16	98:8	144:6 145:12	259:9	Glendale 168:24
first 10:25 13:25	<b>forfeited</b> 170:6,11	149:9,21 150:16	Fund 1:4 2:4 3:2,7	<b>Global</b> 134:9
14:12,13 19:8	170:23 171:9	151:4,9,15,25	7:17	144:23 166:13
22:8 26:3,7 30:5	forget 56:24	152:9 154:13,19	fund-raiser 180:7	<b>go</b> 29:25 31:24
50:13 62:6,17	form 44:19 60:5	155:21 168:15	Funding 79:14	41:10 42:25 44:4
64:24 75:11 78:18	62:9,11 64:8 74:1	175:10 184:25	146:8 158:2,23	44:4 48:21 57:20
80:8 83:20 87:8	74:1 91:19 92:1,7	four 11:9 21:7	159:17 161:14	73:4 92:2,18 93:3
89:14 93:16,17	format 31:21 32:23	181:1 206:2,9	funds 38:21 108:17	93:4 106:8 109:5
94:7 100:16,17	46:21 62:18 63:4	257:2	249:23 250:19	109:22 112:21
104:1 106:10	formation 87:21	Fox 26:25 27:5	further 42:3	113:1,15 114:12
112:25 114:17	90:14 156:21	fragment 47:2	197:23 225:21	126:13 132:2
119:1 121:12	157:3	frame 43:4 45:13	future 101:7 117:8	140:2 144:13
122:8 124:15	formed 63:14,19	72:11,12,13 77:18		145:14 158:20
126:14 127:4	64:8,12,14,14,23	77:24 142:21	G	159:2,3,4 163:22
146:7 149:22	65:12 66:21 73:5	143:16 165:20,24	<b>G.X.715</b> 53:10	164:1 178:6,8
156:18 157:24	73:20 128:1 129:9	176:1 180:19,25	gathered 205:14	189:10 190:5
158:9,18 170:21	156:18,24 157:5	181:14,23 217:13	gathering 172:23	206:8,13,18
182:10 190:1	157:12	franchise 4:17	general 42:20	209:16 210:16
195:21 201:25	formerly 88:15	59:18,25 60:13	104:14 111:13	211:1,17,20 212:1
202:3,24 208:16	131:8	80:25 81:6,9	114:18 115:24	227:22,24 237:24
220:2 222:24	<b>forming</b> 64:4 65:16	103:14 104:18	168:21	250:10 251:22,23
230:13 245:19	forms 4:22 60:8	126:20,23 129:20	generally 167:21	254:21 255:1
249:3 253:5	81:22 208:16	Frank 8:20	generated 42:21	god 8:10 23:7
five 15:7,18 181:1,1	forth 259:6	frankly 51:3	203:5	goes 14:8 68:5
181:7 206:9	Forty-eight 35:3,5	201:20	gentleman 226:20	104:24 107:22
245:18	Forty-six 31:25	fraud 123:11	gentleman's 74:18	108:8 214:4
	,			

				rage 270
going 12:22 13:9,12	government's	Group-security/c	head 179:2 195:7	<b>Holdings</b> 27:19,21
14:17 15:8,22	234:3,20 235:2,12	166:13	Health 111:13	32:12 36:17 37:25
17:2 18:20 19:2	235:13 236:8	grouping 95:23	114:18 115:25	110:3,5,13,19
21:5 22:4,15 23:5	Graybox 1:8 2:8	189:12 191:8	128:14 129:7	111:1,10 112:14
24:16 25:7 28:15	7:17 8:3 9:4,5	<b>Grunfeld</b> 73:6 74:9	137:11 145:1,3	119:7 137:2
28:18,20 29:2,5	27:11,16 50:16	146:18 148:14	168:22 175:8	196:12 198:11
30:20 31:1,3	96:14,20 120:2,20	186:6,7,8,10	hear 72:3	Hollywood 181:15
33:13 36:25 37:1	121:1,2,4 131:15	188:16,25	heard 27:20,25	181:17,25
37:12 41:11 42:3	147:22 183:3,7,9	guess 44:17 45:18	95:12 177:8 193:1	home 59:3 179:19
47:10 48:11 49:7	183:11,15,20	67:7 81:1 113:16	193:2	179:20,23 180:3
50:22 51:22 54:18	185:18,21,25	137:10 170:19	held 25:16 51:9,12	honesty 176:19
58:7,25 59:9 61:3	198:14,22	175:16 186:12	249:23	hour 93:2 120:24
61:10 68:6 76:18	grayboxllc.com	231:17 245:4	help 8:10 16:21	172:14 215:19
78:10 87:20 90:4	72:8 81:12,16	254:21	103:14 184:11	hours 82:9
93:3 95:1,18	121:3	guidance 13:4	193:10	house 59:2 180:1,8
96:12,23 98:16	great 219:24	17:16	helped 81:1 193:4,8	180:10
103:3,12 104:1	grounds 22:15 34:8	<b>Gumport</b> 11:1,3	helping 10:2,3	Houston 61:5
113:15 116:3	234:9	13:23 180:12	172:23	87:25
117:5 118:25	group 42:13 50:14	13.23 100.12	Henry 217:6,8,15	Howard 146:18
122:2 124:16	50:20 51:23 53:11	H	hereto 10:16 13:20	148:14
134:8,20 138:22	53:14,18 56:10	H 4:8 5:2 6:2	31:11 46:13 49:25	Huh 196:24
154.8,20 138.22	59:19 60:24 61:4	HACKENSAK	59:15 61:14 71:17	human 40:21
158:13 160:11	63:20 64:14,16	3:10	80:6 86:21 93:11	<b>Human 4</b> 0.21
161:1,20 164:10	65:5 67:12,19,25	half 77:21 82:9	101:24 105:23	I
172:21,25 174:7	68:12,17 69:3	172:14 215:19	107:3 108:25	I-E 8:22
178:18 205:17	70:11 78:24 79:20	halfway 33:13	121:24 122:6	I-N-D-E-X 4:1
		hand 8:6 13:16		i.e 117:10,16 118:1
215:18,18,24 229:3 233:10	87:22 88:16,20 90:11,14 91:3,11	59:9 61:10 71:13	124:20 126:11	IA 5:15 125:3
	· / /	80:2 86:16 93:7	129:16 141:1	133:17 164:14
234:20,21 237:20	91:23,23 92:5,8	101:20 105:19	145:22 164:8	166:21 194:13,16
239:18 243:25	92:14,17 93:14	106:24 108:21	169:12 200:14	194:19
246:8 249:5	95:6 96:25 97:7	122:2 124:16	203:17 213:16	IA's 142:10 145:6
good 7:4,23 41:3	97:23 98:8 115:7	126:7 129:12	216:5 217:24	169:3
73:14 103:21	116:9 119:10	140:22 145:18	219:2,20 222:19	ID 9:3,7 152:20
112:20 117:4	120:8,13 122:15	164:4 169:8	259:18	155:5,12 157:15
153:25 156:3	123:16,21 128:25	handed 10:12	Hermosa 113:11	158:6,22 159:19
170:22 175:19	131:8,18 134:9	31:13 46:6 50:2	Hi 72:24 82:1 125:8	160:15,24 161:24
176:16 177:5	136:13 137:5,7,9	61:16	197:20 216:13	160:13,24 161:24
189:18	137:15 144:23	handled 162:24	high 205:4,5,6	idea 35:24 48:8
Google 181:20	148:5,23 151:23		Hills 11:17	
gotten 99:1 237:11	152:8 155:7	handling 51:15	hired 74:20	51:2,10,20 59:5,8
237:18 253:13	156:24 157:4	handwritten	Hmm 20:20	61:2,7,9 62:19
governing 17:15	161:21 162:2,6	206:22	<b>Hojo</b> 78:17,20	75:14,19 77:8
government 50:7	166:17 185:10,15	happened 248:2	160:3	82:20,20 85:7
50:11 234:13,14	188:20 197:22	249:23	hold 189:5 243:16	96:4 97:16 99:9
234:16,17,18	204:25 205:12	happens 25:4	243:21 254:24	106:10 112:17
235:6,24 236:10	206:3 217:1	hard 195:4	<b>Holding</b> 195:24	122:24 123:6
236:12	228:16	haste 99:21	196:3	125:15 146:21
	ı	<u> </u>	1	1

				rage 271
167:7 176:9 187:5	24:12 180:10	69:17 70:2,11,21	insured 125:16	30:21,24 173:1
187:19 195:14	Incident 53:11	71:5,10 74:9 78:6	Integrated 1:8 2:8	investable 209:1,14
196:4 197:19	include 63:16	85:5 102:11,17	8:1 15:10 20:16	Investigation
198:6 199:4,13	77:13 82:24	103:20 105:4,12	20:24 50:15 51:23	177:13,16
203:6,9 211:13	included 63:10	111:21 114:16,21	52:5,14,21 53:14	involuntary 181:2
215:16 219:7	65:21 107:25	116:7,21 130:24	54:19,20 55:10,14	181:3
224:16,25 231:3	155:3 194:20	132:20 138:8,11	56:11,13 57:3,12	involve 20:17
identification	including 63:20	139:22 143:10	57:16,24 63:16	involved 16:13
10:15 13:19 31:10	199:18 253:7	177:23 187:3,6,10	66:3,6,14 67:11	20:10 28:2,18
46:12 49:24 59:14	income 104:17	187:19,23 191:22	67:19 69:3 70:12	54:4 65:16 102:3
61:13 69:2 71:16	105:2 202:25	191:24 199:4	97:1,3,7,14 98:17	137:17 152:20
80:5 86:20 93:10	203:1,5 207:14	201:1,4,8,23	99:8 100:10 101:5	156:21 157:3
101:23 105:22	208:3 209:8	202:14 203:8,10	107:11,14,21	170:19,20 176:24
107:2 108:24	incorporated 64:25	205:11,14 206:20	112:8,14,23	180:18,24 214:7
121:23 122:5	65:2,6,9,17 128:7	206:24 207:3,6	114:21,24 116:4	222:15 241:1
124:19 126:10	188:16	208:9 209:13	117:10,16 118:1	involvement 18:10
129:15 138:9,19	incorporation	226:16 227:15,20	125:6,21 132:2,6	193:4 218:13
140:25 145:21	146:11 148:10	231:7 246:14	132:18 133:5,10	252:9
164:7 165:22	incs 128:19	initial 125:11 130:3	134:20,24 139:13	involving 114:18
169:11 200:13	incurred 38:1 44:9	initially 27:24	141:7,19 142:1,6	123:15
203:16 213:15	44:24 45:14	initiate 251:12	142:15,20,24	IP 4:22,24 5:5,19
216:4 217:23	incurring 42:12	<b>initiated</b> 251:9,13	143:4,7,15 144:20	27:24 28:6 50:14
219:1,19 222:18	independent	input 206:24	145:10 165:15,19	50:19 51:6,22
identified 134:24	176:25	inputted 206:22	165:23 167:2	53:10,13,18,21
188:23 191:16	India 8:21	inquires 186:19	168:7,13 169:4	54:15,19 56:10
211:7 220:24	indicate 116:7	223:14	174:15 175:1,7	59:19 60:12,24
identifies 188:19	149:12	instance 38:8 76:2	178:20 245:21,25	61:4 63:20 65:5
212:6	indicated 202:7	130:23	249:11	79:20 81:6,22
identify 51:11	258:7	instances 238:13	intended 62:15	83:14,18,21 84:4
59:17 87:2 141:3	indicates 152:6	instruct 13:1 17:10	intent 106:1,4	84:14,21 85:5
141:5 164:10	185:17 199:24	17:11 28:15,18	interest 7:9 12:2	87:6,22 88:5,15
211:3	208:25 225:2	29:2 30:20 31:1	134:18 137:25	88:15,19,20 90:11
IM- 158:14	230:24 253:6	173:3,24 174:7	138:5 195:13	90:14,16 91:2,3
immediately	indicating 167:25	instructed 6:10	202:4	91:11,11,23,23
223:16	192:4 195:23	62:10 63:7 107:24	interested 259:13	92:7,14,16 93:14
implication 234:8	indication 247:11	instructing 107:10	interesting 201:6	94:4,8,10,12,16
implications	247:15 248:1,4	instruction 78:8	interests 16:17	95:6,22 96:21,25
181:10	252:8	107:20 217:20	17:1,20,23 18:12	97:7,18,23 98:8
importance 205:4	individual 134:23	251:14	interpose 35:15	102:7,11 103:15
important 253:3	individually 51:12	instructions 45:13	interpretation	104:10,22 105:15
impressed 147:3	individuals 166:4	65:20 68:20,24	153:3	106:5,17 115:6
impression 234:2	202:1	78:6,11 111:24	interviewed 177:12	116:9 117:1
in-house 67:10	information 4:19	125:10 157:12	177:15,19	119:10,12 120:8
inaccurate 85:11	6:20 39:22 40:13	182:25 214:19,21	intonation 234:4	120:13 122:15
incarcerated 17:24	40:25 41:8 51:13	216:14 221:21	introduce 7:19	123:11,15,20
incarceration 16:9	60:5 61:18 67:13	insurance 11:21	introduced 21:20	129:3 131:7,8
18:3,21 19:14,23	67:20 68:2,18	125:9,14,17,22	invasion 28:17	148:4,23 151:23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·

Г				Tage 272
152:8 155:7	154:3,6 157:24	182:22,24 184:19	jeffreymsolomon	131:20 136:9,17
161:21 162:2,24	items 10:2 39:4,5	185:3,25 186:17	74:16	136:24 137:12
170:11,12,15	48:11 51:15	187:4,22 194:12	Jeffs 150:21,25	138:23 149:1,19
171:8,18,19	154:24 156:7,13	194:19,22 195:22	Jerome 48:12	149:20 151:1
177:10 183:22,23	134.24 130.7,13	197:2 198:3 200:4	Jerry 107:11,21	153:7 154:7,17
184:1,4,9 185:10	J	200:7 211:23	194:13,19 195:3,4	186:23,24 187:12
185:12,15 186:18	jail 172:9 173:9	215:5 217:16,19	195:11,12,16	187:12 229:23
188:20 189:12	jam 3:11 5:8,12,13	218:13 220:14	202:18 228:15,15	Kambe 78:23 79:2
191:7,17 192:22	5:14 8:1 20:8,14	225:8 230:19,23	Jersey 3:10 113:1,1	161:1
193:12 195:13,15	26:4,7,14 27:4,8	230:25 231:6,18	Jim 7:21 136:11	Kambe's 161:10
197:22 199:17	28:1 29:13 34:20	232:3,24 233:4,17	200:15	Kashefipour 58:10
200:4,8 201:8	37:16 38:1,7 39:1	233:20 234:5,23	<b>job</b> 15:24 16:6 41:3	keep 22:24 23:3,10
200:4,8 201.8 202:19,21 203:4	42:4,12,23 43:14	236:1 237:1 239:4	201:17	28:12 94:10
202:19,21 203:4	47:19,21 48:1,3,5	239:9,12,15,16	join 24:25	105:15 179:17
204.23 203.12	56:18 57:4 66:1,7	, , ,	J.	193:21
211:16 212:7,14	66:15,19 67:12	240:1,3,6,15,20 241:1,10,18,21	jswoodwardcpa 80:10	keeping 23:20 25:2
211:16 212:7,14 212:17,20 216:25	68:3,18,24 69:4	241:1,10,18,21	JUAN 3:13	25:3 30:11,16
217:5 219:6	69:22 70:1,9,20	′ ′	July 95:2,4 96:13	33:2
220:22 221:10,12	71:4 77:3,13 78:1	244:4,6,17,19,23 245:7 249:17	June 91:7,8,12 92:1	Keith 5:11 122:9
220:22 221:10,12	81:18 82:8,22	251:24 252:4,9,14	92:3,8 128:23,23	
	83:7,24 84:12	251:24 252:4,9,14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	122:18 197:7,10
224:1 226:17,25	85:11,22 86:7		jurisdiction 90:13	197:20,21 199:24
227:3,11 228:16	87:18 88:10 89:17	Jam's 29:21 52:6,7	jury 234:21	KELLER 5:6
228:19,21,24	89:25 90:2 95:13	52:14,22,23 58:17	Just- 220:11	kept 22:23 239:4
229:25 241:1,3	96:6 99:20,21	58:23 59:3 65:24	Justice 177:13,17	KG 186:19
247:14,22,23	100:19 102:7	69:12,16 70:2	177:24	Kia 5:8,12,13,14
248:19,19 249:15	103:5 104:7	86:14 122:23	Justin 6:6 220:3,4	8:1 20:8 29:13
250:18	106:18,21 107:6	123:4 131:23	221:18	34:20 37:16 38:7
IP's 207:7	107:23 108:10	132:7,23 134:13	JWALKER@C	39:1 42:4 47:19
irrelevant 12:23	107.23 108.10	149:19 166:24	3:5	47:21,25 48:3
13:1 28:16 29:3	110:25 113:5	179:20 182:7	K	52:7,14,22,23
30:21 174:1	118:16 119:2,17	235:4	K 8:22 62:5	56:18 57:4 58:23
IRS 139:2,6	119:19 124:23	JAM_TT 236:14	K.Jam 33:15,23	65:24 66:1,7,15
Iskra 134:21 135:6	125:20 126:15	JAM_TT_000532	34:11,16 35:7,11	66:19 68:18,24
140:11	123.20 120.13	230:17	35:21 36:6,14,22	69:22 81:18 82:8
issue 100:25 120:19	129:23 130:7,13	<b>JAMES</b> 3:3	37:5,9 41:17 49:8	87:18 106:18
120:20 121:15	130:23 131:25	Jannol 217:6,8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	107:6,23,23 108:7
149:1,18 151:1	130:23 131:23	<b>January</b> 19:11	49:19 55:15,15 56:4,4,13,14 57:4	108:8,10 109:13
154:7 170:25	134:17 133:10,23	103:4 104:3		110:15 124:23
228:2	, ,	126:16 127:4,19	57:4,13,13,17,17	125:8,20 126:15
issued 49:8,13	137:16,24 140:20 143:3 147:16	182:16	58:2,5,8 59:1,2 63:22 65:21 67:12	126:22 127:3
59:18 101:8,10		Jeff 83:2 147:5,11		129:18 130:2
228:24 229:22	149:8 151:23	148:25 149:18	67:19 68:1,14,16	131:25 135:10,23
248:11	152:7 162:23	150:11,18,22,22	68:21 69:3 70:12	136:11,14,18,25
item 43:14 49:7	166:20 167:19	154:7 156:7,13	82:19 83:10,10	147:5,14,16 149:2
51:5 78:23 146:25	175:21 176:7,13	172:19 228:2	84:17,17 85:1,6	149:7,8 151:12,22
147:23,24 148:16	176:24 177:4	<b>Jeffrey</b> 77:7 199:8	85:24,24 86:8,9	152:7 154:8
150:9 152:19	178:19 179:3,12	230:11	86:10 103:8 127:8	166:24 167:19
	I	I	ı	ı

				Page 2/3
179.10 170.2 12	61,2 6 9 62,19	209:15 212:21,22	late 97:10	252.9 14 15 254.6
178:19 179:3,12 179:20 182:22,24	61:2,6,8 62:18 63:1,5 66:23	212:23 214:13		253:8,14,15 254:6 licensed 25:19
185:3,25 186:17	67:15,23,24 68:25	217:14,14 222:2,5	lately 180:9 Latzer 3:8 7:23,23	lieu 255:23
194:12,19,22	72:12 75:1,3,5,6	222:8 223:7 224:2	law 3:16 11:11,13	life 22:24 50:15,20
194.12,19,22	75:15 77:6,9 79:4	224:4,4,13,14,17	171:15	51:3 125:9,14,17
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		laws 259:20	125:21
200:4,7 215:5 217:16,19 218:13	81:8,10 83:16	224:21,22,24 229:8 230:6,21		
,	84:10,11 88:19,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lawsuit 133:13,14	<b>Lighting</b> 64:18
220:14 225:8	90:4,18 94:17	231:6,16 232:5,24	133:20,25 165:5	156:19
228:4 233:17	95:11 96:19,22	232:25 233:2,3,22	165:16 179:4	likewise 60:4
239:4,9 242:9	97:6,9,10,13,16	235:18 236:1,25	230:24 231:19	119:25 136:13
249:17 251:24	97:20 98:7,14,14	237:18 238:13,20	232:4 233:17,21	155:11
252:4,8,14,22	98:20 99:3,7,9,10	239:23,25 240:2,5	236:16	Liley 6:5 204:13,18
253:14 254:9	99:24 100:4	240:16 241:17,23	lawsuits 141:18	207:1 216:19
kiajam@kjamm	102:18,23 104:23	242:3 243:12	lawyer's 13:12	limited 183:13,15
76:23	105:3,17 106:21	244:5,9,19,22,24	lay 144:6	line 6:11 19:4,4,7
<b>Kiarash</b> 3:11 143:3	108:1,3,4,12	245:2,12,13	learn 182:6 183:23	21:13 25:7 30:3,4
166:20	110:13,22 111:17	246:21 247:3,10	195:10	35:6 48:11 106:17
kind 39:15 41:14	112:5 113:8 118:5	248:7 250:7 251:9	lease 20:15	161:2 190:12
51:13 132:13	118:6,9 120:16	252:15 256:21	leave 185:3	192:8 199:17,24
154:23 172:5	123:9,12,17,25	knowing 63:11	ledger 104:15	203:8 204:7
174:21 176:15	124:7,10 125:16	233:6,20	left 19:5 61:3 67:6	210:18,19,20,21
185:14 195:6	126:6 129:1,5,10	knowledge 17:21	legal 7:6 10:2,4	218:11
250:22	129:11 130:21	55:25 58:14 60:9	16:21 17:4 34:23	lines 21:7 37:12
kinds 157:17	131:1,24,24 133:1	60:25 79:12,23	52:8 90:10,12	105:4
kite 8:22 62:5	133:3,4 134:19	80:1 88:1,4 91:16	155:17 201:9	liquid 203:7
KJ 228:3	135:24 137:11	99:23 105:9 106:9	209:23	list 4:18 5:15,17
kjammedia.com	138:4 142:5 146:5	126:5 171:20	Leonard 11:1	61:17,20 65:21
72:7	147:12 152:1	176:6 177:2	13:23	66:4,17 68:7
<b>KJM</b> 82:14,19 83:9	158:19 161:14	199:12 239:21	let's 44:4,4 67:25	72:21 73:5 127:5
83:25 84:13	162:11 165:1	246:14 252:2	113:15 115:13	127:22 128:6
186:19	167:4,10,24	known 135:6	138:21,22,24	129:19,19,24
knew 29:22 44:25	168:12 169:24,24	170:12 171:18	144:13,13 158:18	130:2,6,13 131:14
224:6 233:2 245:2	169:24 170:23,25	175:15,21 217:15	159:16 178:6	131:17 132:3
245:11	171:1,2 174:20,23	knows 149:12	189:25 200:10	137:23 138:17
know 9:2,7,15	175:5,12 177:3	234:2,9	204:3,5 205:17	139:14 140:20
11:16,25 16:15	182:3,4 183:11,19	Kranzton 150:22	206:12 213:11	141:8,9,18,19
18:4,13,14,15	186:7 187:6,8,23	172:19	222:24 251:22	142:2,3,4 143:18
19:1 24:5 27:6,17	189:17 192:22		letter 5:6 105:25	143:24 144:1,9,16
27:22 28:4,7	193:22,23,25	L	106:4	146:22 161:2,11
30:15 31:5 34:13	194:2,4 195:2,3	L 62:5	letters 141:11,15	161:20 164:20
34:18 36:20 40:20	195:12,15,18	lacks 19:16,25	164:21	165:2,15,19,23
41:25 42:1,17	196:5,18 197:14	23:13 32:20 40:17	Levine 11:12	168:2,6 175:9
44:15 45:8 49:18	197:17,22 198:5	43:3	<b>li-</b> 150:6	188:1
50:18,19 51:2,11	199:21 201:11,13	ladies 188:12 189:8	liability 183:13,15	listed 61:4 66:3
52:25 53:24,24,25	201:19 202:7,16	laid 55:24	239:16	67:4 68:1,16 69:2
54:16 55:5,12,19	202:20,22 203:4	largely 56:18	license 205:20	78:17 106:18
56:6 58:21,24	208:9,11 209:12	Larry 62:6	252:13,16,20	119:12 131:2
	,		,,	

				Tage 274
134:25 135:5,12	24:14 30:10 33:5	232:19	174:11 178:2	183:12,13,18
136:10 137:8,12	35:2 39:4,5 48:15	loud 30:6	227:1,24 229:21	185:23,24 243:4
138:13,18 139:19	50:10 62:14 67:25	low 110:17 111:2	230:15,22 232:2	252:7
142:5,14,18,21	76:8,11 90:23	lunch 86:18 92:21	232:16 233:7,12	managers 183:20
143:4,14 158:7	91:8,19 99:12,25	101:12	233:14 235:23	188:2
166:4,17,21,24,25	106:15 109:7		236:18,25 237:8	managing 51:15
198:14 202:3	142:3 144:8	M	238:1,9 239:20	110:18 185:21
254:3	158:25 159:1	<b>M</b> 8:21	240:14 241:16	March 1:18 2:19
lists 130:9 133:16	163:19 188:4	<b>m-</b> 120:3	242:8 243:17	7:1,10 17:25
133:17 135:20	189:20 198:13,25	<b>M2</b> 136:13	244:12 245:15,16	47:11,19 48:11
136:14 158:24	203:18,22 211:22	ma'am 8:19 13:10	246:17 250:16,24	98:24 126:25
164:12,13 165:12	213:18 218:10	14:11 17:18 22:5	255:25	170:16 222:22
208:14	219:23 225:23	29:6 30:2 31:6,13	mailbox 210:13,15	246:18 259:22
literally 230:16	230:2 233:10	31:20 32:1,6	mailing 210:8,9,10	<b>Maria</b> 167:9
litigation 170:20,21	245:16 249:3	34:10 35:2,4,19	210:14	mark 67:15,16,22
little 33:17 37:2	250:22 251:18	36:19 39:14 40:23	MAIN 3:4,9	132:3,19 213:11
42:3 76:14 148:17	looked 39:25 40:1	45:21 46:14 48:21	maintain 24:3	236:15
150:6 177:7	46:24 124:15	50:2 52:11,20	29:12 54:17,20	marked 10:12,14
189:15	143:25 153:15	53:4 54:5 55:3,9	55:9 56:3 57:5	13:16,18 31:9,13
lived 113:8,10	185:8 201:3	56:3 59:9,12	maintained 32:11	32:5 46:6,11
LLC 1:8 2:8 7:17	206:10 221:3	61:11 62:24 64:21	40:12 43:7 53:20	49:23 50:2 59:9
9:4,5 27:11 32:12	232:7 245:19	65:14 68:11,13	56:12	59:13 61:10,12
35:7,11,21 36:6	249:9,19	71:12 73:11 77:12	maintaining 23:16	70:13,23 71:6,13
36:17,22 37:5,25	looking 47:19	80:2 85:20 86:6	28:9 32:16 53:17	71:15 80:2,4
41:17 42:13 46:20	72:17 88:25 92:13	86:22,25 90:7,25	<b>Majid</b> 5:10 69:23	86:16,19 93:7,9
47:6 48:19 49:2	96:8 100:13,14	93:7 98:5 101:12	109:13 119:5	101:20,22 105:19
79:24 96:14,20	102:22 111:6,8	101:20 108:22	147:5,20 195:22	105:21 106:24
110:3,5 111:10,14	115:10 124:1	109:9,11,18	196:11	107:1 108:21,23
119:7 131:15	126:18 140:14	111:25 113:24	majority 202:4	121:22 122:2,4
134:21 135:7	143:18 146:7	114:1,6 115:2,10	228:13	124:16,18 126:7,9
160:12,17,18,24	148:18 160:3,13	116:18 117:22	making 30:11,12	129:12,14 140:22
163:11 183:3,7,9	160:22 161:4,22	119:22 122:2	38:22 40:23	140:24 141:6
183:15 185:18,21	163:3,5 168:1	124:4,17 126:8	133:17 152:13	144:2,2 145:18,20
195:24 196:3	201:8 207:19	127:14 128:5,12	158:22 215:1	164:4,6,20 169:8
LLCs 128:22	216:17 219:8	128:20 130:22	250:9	169:10,17 200:12
loan 240:3	221:3,4 226:23	132:1,16 133:24	<b>Malibu</b> 6:7 222:10	203:15 213:14
located 61:8 88:1	227:19 233:7	134:7 135:4	222:14 224:17,18	216:3 217:22
168:22 232:11,18	243:14 244:12	136:23 139:5	malibuproperty	218:25 219:18
location 167:15	looks 32:13 47:2	140:23 144:22	223:1,13	222:17 225:25
long 24:3 27:3 29:7	49:3 50:13 61:21	145:14 148:2	managed 15:2	226:1,3 227:10,10
33:11 126:24	97:5 106:3,4	150:13 151:21	198:17,22	234:15 237:2
173:9 175:21	194:24 200:22	152:3,5 159:9	Management 46:20	marks 67:24
215:17 217:15	Los 2:18 3:18 7:1	161:12 162:9,15	47:5 48:3,6,18	101:17
255:4	7:12	162:20 163:2	49:1 78:23 161:1	<b>Mary</b> 8:21
longer 28:9 98:12	loss 104:15	164:5,22 165:9	manager 27:15	matter 7:16 11:6
look 14:4,8,11	lost 37:2 155:25	166:1 169:9,25	29:23 61:24	12:24 13:24 71:1
15:17 18:4 23:19	<b>lot</b> 19:18 143:17	171:23 173:6	103:10 140:12	129:24 204:25
	l	l	l	l

215:13 234:21	233:13 240:7,19	203:11 207:14,16	move 26:21 27:4,8	need 66:12 68:4,9
matters 10:1 76:25	240:25 242:3,6	208:6,6,22 209:14	34:21 78:13 111:2	71:1 73:12 74:4,4
176:25 177:10	245:5 246:22	214:3,8,16,25	111:3 118:11	104:16 117:12
241:19	247:2 251:9 252:6	215:8	143:11 176:2,3	118:2 140:6
McGonigle 3:16,17	253:23	million-dollar	215:25	163:24 170:17,21
8:2,2 12:22 13:6	means 191:2	119:9 198:10	moved 20:12,25	197:23 203:22
14:7 15:25 16:7	<b>Media</b> 15:2 55:16	<b>Milton</b> 169:14	26:4,13,15,20,25	214:4 215:12
16:18 17:2,13	56:4,14 57:4,13	mind 92:18	175:23 179:15	226:12 243:18,18
21:16 24:25 28:15	57:17 58:5 59:1	mine 141:20	movement 196:17	256:21
29:2,8 30:20,24	63:22 65:21 67:12	minutes 45:23	217:5	needed 60:16 74:11
32:19 38:4 40:16	67:19 68:2,14,16	171:23 184:3	movies 37:10	140:4,7 193:7
43:19 50:22 51:18	68:21 69:3 70:12	214:6 223:24	multipage 203:21	205:11
54:3,5,7,10 55:4	82:19 103:8 127:8	mischaracterizes	<b>multiple</b> 23:8,21	needs 125:9 162:17
56:21 57:7,18	131:20 136:18,24	32:20	116:11 249:25	170:6 223:15
66:10,13 75:12	137:12 138:23	mislead 235:10	250:1	<b>negative</b> 181:13,17
77:19 92:23 99:14	149:1,19,20 151:1	misleading 234:10		neither 7:7
110:6 144:5	153:7 154:7,17	236:4	N	net 203:7,11 208:21
152:12,15 154:25	228:3 229:23	misstates 149:24	N 5:1 6:1	209:1,8
158:8,11 163:14	medical 168:10,24	153:16	name 7:5 8:18 9:10	Nevada 136:13
163:18,22,24	169:2	mistakes 40:20	15:23 16:5 18:5	162:18 183:15
164:1 172:25	meet 112:25 197:10	Mister 80:17	21:24 22:1,2	never 29:14 50:9
173:22 174:7	meeting 72:24	<b>Mitchell</b> 1:22 2:19	26:16,18 27:20,25	51:13 53:19,20
184:24 190:6	215:25 242:1	7:18 259:1,24	30:18 62:6,20	55:5 56:8 57:15
196:23,25 197:2	meetings 149:4	mixing 156:2	88:19,23 89:3	84:10 88:6 94:17
207:19,22 209:6	154:9,22 174:1	mkatz@vcorpser	90:10,12,14,15,18	155:3 171:19
215:17,21,23	184:4 228:5	87:11 188:9	91:5,10,16,20,22	179:22 183:10
224:23 237:4	memo 157:14	moment 54:7	92:4,7,15 94:4	187:16 199:15
242:14 243:20,23	158:7 161:25	233:24	95:12 96:5 99:12	210:3 219:12,12
250:6,10 254:15	mentioned 13:22	<b>Monday</b> 1:18 2:19	99:22 100:20	219:13 222:8
254:17,19,24	111:9 146:7	7:1	120:8,12 145:2	238:17 252:4
255:3,6,10,22	147:11 157:7,14	money 56:13	178:19 181:20	new 3:10 90:14
256:2,22,24	162:3 211:24	107:17 108:8	201:12 211:25	103:13 113:1
mean 12:16 18:8	217:16,19 218:16	110:17 111:2,3	221:18 252:11	200:10 205:1,11
19:18 27:12 29:17	239:5 246:25	126:4 175:4,6	named 16:10 65:15	207:10
33:25 41:7 42:17	252:11	194:19 223:20	83:10 84:17 85:1	nice 72:24
51:18 52:24 69:6	met 140:4,4 182:10	247:12,15 248:2,3	85:24 86:10	nine 206:11,12
79:5,12 83:16	182:12 195:11	249:10,17	186:24 187:12	Nobunaga 79:24
84:6 88:7 98:11	<b>Michelle</b> 3:21 7:5	<b>Monica</b> 2:17 7:12	195:15	163:11
100:25 105:6	microphone 238:1	20:13 95:7 127:11	names 28:14 109:8	normal 70:19
107:24 111:3	middle 103:3 186:4	127:13 131:11	141:23 143:19	77:22 90:1 246:13
124:15 129:8	mileage 38:22	168:23 175:24	202:1	246:19
140:3 141:12	Milligan 6:6 220:3	176:3 197:12	nature 36:21 37:4	normally 44:11
158:12 162:16	220:4,11 221:18	monies 38:18	128:24 135:25	70:24 102:24
170:17 173:13	million 48:12,19	month 172:8	necessarily 244:24	157:18
177:6,8 193:6	116:9 195:25	months 179:15	251:7	<b>NORTH</b> 3:9
194:7 195:4,6	196:5,13,17	morning 7:4,23	necessary 30:9	notation 47:11
200:5 226:20	202:25,25 203:5,8	173:15 214:5	41:22	note 102:25 103:13
		1	1	1

Г				Tage 270
108:7 128:16	189:22 198:20	151:14,24 152:9	228:22 229:1	92:24 93:5,16,20
147:22,23 153:6	200:2 201:5	152:24 155:20	offices 3:16 11:11	94:7,12,15,22
154:16 157:14	210:22,24 213:6	182:2 231:8,20	20:13 179:6	95:1,25 99:7,20
163:14,23	214:14 230:4,12	235:15,16	232:21	100:19 101:4,11
noted 39:2	230:23 233:12	objections 13:4	officing 139:25	102:14,21 103:2
notes 82:1 127:7	234:15,19,23,25	16:7 17:15 34:25	174:14	103:25 104:9
147:2	235:3,8,25 236:8	55:22 78:3 128:9	offline 214:13	107:19 109:2,4,5
notice 2:20 4:11,17	236:12 241:18	138:2,3 149:15	oh 15:11 21:18 23:7	109:22 110:18
10:18 59:18 206:1	253:6	150:19 152:15	52:2 66:14 93:5	111:16,20 114:4,4
207:12 215:4	numbered 53:5	obligated 171:15	112:9 153:24	114:8,12,16,20
223:14 256:4	109:5	observe 176:13,14	155:9,25 161:13	115:2,6,12,12,14
noticed 88:8	numbering 46:16	observed 177:4	161:13,13 163:16	115:15,16,20,23
<b>notices</b> 127:22	numbers 14:18	<b>obtain</b> 146:25	197:2,9 200:17	116:2,6,19,23
128:17	19:4 40:1 62:12	155:11 157:15,25	227:2 231:1 238:2	117:4 118:10
notifications 74:4	68:5 88:10 132:14	159:19 201:7	242:6 243:19	121:3,18 125:13
November 71:21	158:6	obtained 160:14	okay 9:15 12:4,16	126:13,18 128:16
72:1,10 73:1		161:9 163:6	14:11,17,19,20	131:17 133:8
78:20 79:2,8,15	0	253:21	15:13 17:8 18:20	134:3,3,17 135:9
79:21,24 80:14	<b>o0o</b> 257:7	obtaining 152:20	18:23 19:2,7	135:16 136:5,9
81:11 82:11 83:8	oath 25:24 26:1	158:21	20:10 21:18 22:7	137:8,11 138:21
84:23 85:5,10,23	31:17 46:8 254:20	obviously 136:6	22:19,21 23:10	139:8,12,18 141:9
86:7 87:9 89:22	255:11,16 256:6	255:20	24:13 25:3,7,11	141:22 144:13,13
102:8,16 103:15	oaths 259:3	Occa- 179:24	27:4 29:16 30:12	144:25 145:8
147:6,12 186:5,17	<b>object</b> 12:22 15:25	occasion 232:25	30:18 31:8,22	146:2,11,17 147:4
204:9 209:25	17:2 19:15 22:15	233:3 246:14,21	32:9,10,15 33:1	147:14,20 148:1,4
214:9 216:19	32:19 34:7 40:16	occasions 110:16	33:17 35:5,6 39:9	148:13,21,24
217:4 218:3	50:22 54:8 56:21	179:25	40:11 41:7,19	150:5,8,9,24
243:14	57:18 66:10	occupations 164:17	44:2,6 45:4 46:19	151:12 152:14,19
number 4:10 5:4	172:25 233:23	occupied 26:23	47:4,8 50:6,13	153:2 155:17
6:4 7:15 31:21	234:9	occurred 55:20	51:1,22 53:3,6,9	156:18 157:24
62:21 67:2,14	objected 13:14	odd 76:14 187:22	53:13 55:5 58:12	158:10 159:6,10
68:7,10 69:2	objection 19:25	187:25	58:16 60:8,24	159:16,25 160:2
83:11,18 84:19,21	23:12,22 24:24	offensive 85:21	61:20,23 62:8	160:11 161:1,19
85:2,25 86:11,12	28:3 35:13,15,22	office 26:5,24 29:23	63:12,21 64:11,15	164:2,16,25 165:8
132:18,21 135:15	36:8,24 37:7 38:4	53:2 61:24 89:13	66:22 67:18 69:1	165:8,11,14 166:3
136:22 137:10	41:24 42:8,15	89:15,17,20,22,25	69:11,25 71:12	166:7,12,16,23
138:9,13,19,24	43:3 47:22 48:9	113:5 119:13,16	72:6,10,14 73:9	167:15,21,21
139:7,11,15,20	49:10,15,20 51:25	119:18,23,24	73:13,15,15,19	168:6,9,21 169:19
141:23,25 148:2	54:3 55:18 57:7	120:1,2 167:12,16	75:1 76:5,18	169:23 170:1,14
152:20 155:5,12	57:21 59:4 66:8	167:16,19 168:10	77:23 78:12 81:3	171:7 172:18
155:16 157:16	69:19 75:9,12	176:13,20 179:9	81:5,15 82:1,21	175:4,4,21 176:22
158:14,22 159:19	86:2 100:23 110:6	180:6 182:23,24	82:24 83:14,20	176:24 180:11
159:22 160:5,8,15	110:20 112:16	237:16 240:25	85:4 86:22,25	182:6 184:11
160:17,24 161:9	125:23 128:2	243:3,3 252:7	87:4 88:9,13 89:5	190:7,10 192:7,15
161:24 162:11	129:4 130:15	<b>officer</b> 103:10	89:8,25 90:8	193:11,23 198:8
163:7,9 181:16	132:24 144:4	166:21 212:3	91:10,15,19,22	203:24 208:11
186:25 187:14,16	145:12 149:9,21	officers 226:19	92:1,4,7,11,13,21	213:11 214:24
	l			

				Page 2//
215.4.12.21	202.15 220.2 11	259:19	206.12 15 20	233:11 246:10
215:4,12,21 223:25 225:2,2,8	202:15 228:3,11		206:13,15,20	247:5 253:23
	228:12,14 229:23	<b>p.m</b> 76:10,11 82:11	207:13,19,22	
225:18 226:23	229:25	101:13,18 102:16	209:16 211:1	parties 7:8 66:25
227:7,9 228:9,17	order 74:12 194:20	104:4 119:3	212:13 216:22	209:17 229:5
229:2 230:1,12,18	organized 206:14	127:19 169:17	218:10 220:2	259:12
232:22 233:7,16	206:21 207:13,20	171:24 172:3	226:24 227:1,4,6	partners 78:17
233:18,19 234:7	original 59:25	178:10,14 186:17	230:13 252:13	160:3 176:18
234:12 235:16,21	255:11,12,13	215:11 238:3,7	253:5 254:5,6	party 67:1,2,3,5
236:17,21,24	256:6	257:3,6	pages 21:17 61:17	68:1,3,17,22
237:19 238:16	originally 254:21	Pacific 50:14,20	87:1 100:21	83:11 84:18 85:2
239:8,12 242:22	originated 251:6	51:2 213:24	114:12 115:18	85:25 86:10
243:13,25 244:16	outcome 7:9	package 189:3	190:1 205:18	131:14,20 132:4
249:4,7 250:11,11	outside 12:8 176:25	207:10	206:2 212:1	132:12 134:13,25
251:18,21 255:3,6	207:3	packages 219:22	252:12 259:9	135:6,13,21
255:22 256:2,8,15	overbroad 16:1	page 4:4,10 5:4 6:4	paid 36:7,14 40:13	136:10,14,20
256:18,22,22	130:16 137:19	6:11 14:8,12,12	40:14 50:20 147:2	137:8,13 138:12
once 9:24 172:8,8	oversight 54:2	14:13,15,18,22	152:22 155:7,13	138:18 139:9,14
172:10,11 173:9	Owari 79:6,8 83:10	19:2,3 21:5,15	157:17 158:1,23	139:20 140:10
212:24 213:5	84:17 85:6,24	22:4 24:16 25:7	160:9 162:14	158:25 185:18
256:3,3	86:10 149:2 151:7	29:25 30:1 31:21	171:14 245:25	186:24 187:13
one's 163:23	153:7 154:4,17,25	31:24 32:2,6,7,17	248:3 249:11	198:13,19 209:21
ones 51:18 67:14	155:13,14 163:3,9	33:13 35:2 39:15	250:20,20	232:3
226:2 236:19,22	186:24 187:12,17	41:11 46:16,17	<b>papa</b> 62:4	<b>PASEO</b> 3:13
ongoing 181:10	198:5 202:3,8,11	48:21,21,23 50:13	papers 211:24	pass 178:3 200:16
<b>online</b> 55:13 67:2	202:15 228:3,11	53:3 58:7 62:14	paperwork 211:11	250:25
68:7,9,15 117:11	228:12,14 229:23	62:15,15,17,21	211:16	passport 205:23
118:2 132:21	229:24	64:24 87:8,20	<b>Par-</b> 160:19	252:21,22,24
138:23 139:2	owned 83:9 84:16	88:25,25 90:4	paragraph 30:6	253:7,14,16 254:5
159:23 160:9	85:6,23 86:9	91:6,20 92:2	104:13,13	Paul 215:13,15
214:13	186:23 187:12,24	93:17 95:1,18	Parasec 74:1 157:6	paused 35:17
open 207:10 219:25	196:3	98:16 100:7,8,16	157:12 160:20	pay 97:14 108:16
220:11,20 221:14	owner 183:23	100:17 104:1	169:22 171:3	126:24 159:23
221:14	ownership 52:24	106:12,15,16	179:18	175:7
opened 212:25	134:18 195:13	109:5,7,9,22	Park 3:17 209:24	<b>payable</b> 93:20 94:3
213:5 221:11	202:2,2 228:19,20	113:15,16,21	part 49:4 54:2	96:13,25 245:20
opening 220:21	229:3,6,14	114:17,23 115:4	123:15 138:13	245:21
221:6,8,20,22	<b>owning</b> 202:18	115:20 116:2,8,25	139:10 165:3,6	paycheck 9:11 15:1
239:17 240:4,21	owns 9:1,15 82:15	117:6 119:1	189:3 195:15	15:14,23 16:5
241:21	83:9 84:1,10,13	121:12 122:8	201:17 246:19	18:6,17,24 142:25
operate 12:20	86:9 147:22	124:1 126:14,18	particular 12:1	paychecks 15:7
operations 20:18	154:17 183:11	127:4 144:17	28:17 32:11,16	payees 39:18
opportunity 114:5	186:20 198:5	148:1 156:6	39:21 42:25 43:14	<b>paying</b> 48:6 118:8
<b>option</b> 248:24	202:8 228:12,13	159:11 188:18	44:14,16,24 45:16	payment 33:15
<b>Opus</b> 79:6,8 149:2	229:25	189:1,10,11 190:1	45:20 64:1 66:4	34:12 35:7,10,20
151:7 153:7 154:4		190:2,11 192:3,5	70:5 91:5 96:19	37:16,18 38:7
155:13,14 163:3,9	P	195:21 199:16	99:23 125:16	39:1,3,7,21 41:17
198:5 202:3,8,11	<b>P</b> 62:4 259:4,4,8,11	205:19,23 206:8	165:2 174:22	41:23 42:4 44:21
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				rage 270
47:18 49:18 96:20	184:14 190:24	220:25	100:19 108:19	228:4,14 229:24
97:6 98:17,21	221:13,24	plaintiff's 13:17	142:12 155:10,10	241:3
99:8 111:16	person 11:12 21:9	50:3 61:16 104:2	173:13 178:22	Pressler 95:20 96:3
248:21,22	21:9 34:14 44:17	105:20	191:9 193:6,7,8	96:10
payments 38:14	45:1 71:2 83:3	Plaintiffs 1:5 2:5	200:24 206:25	presume 131:5
39:17 147:1	111:22 134:12	3:2,7	240:19,22 241:10	Presuming 239:2
152:21 155:6,12	185:22 199:6	planned 215:23	242:9,22 247:8	pretty 17:25
157:16 158:1,22	221:9 223:12	Plaza 3:9 26:25	249:14,20 252:17	100:17 124:6
159:20 160:6	230:9 251:6	27:5	252:19	136:7 156:23
161:25	personal 12:1,4	please 7:19 8:5,6	possibly 65:16	171:6 184:5 205:6
payroll 79:19,19	22:24 55:25 88:1	8:18 9:13 14:23	201:10	previous 60:22
133:18 142:11	88:4 91:16	22:4 29:25 30:6	Potenciano 168:2,3	120:13 192:5
144:11,17,20,24	personally 89:12	31:24 35:14 46:17	practice 77:25	previously 73:1
145:6 164:14	164:19 187:6	55:7,22 88:13	86:13 191:10	principal 208:21
169:3,4 174:18,25	218:21 242:16	116:21 126:22	practices 70:20	printed 49:5 89:2
175:1,3,7	pertaining 11:6	141:5 165:9 171:4	77:22	94:5 95:2,5
pen 200:16	87:6	185:7 188:4,6	preceded 121:12	<b>prior</b> 10:22 46:8
penalty 258:6	pertains 157:9	189:16,17 192:14	preceding 24:16	60:12 126:24
259:20	Phoenix 125:9,14	194:12 196:21	109:7,9	<b>prison</b> 123:15
pending 8:8 225:16	125:21	197:21,22 198:25	Predovich 167:9	privacy 28:17
penny 30:12	phone 9:9 72:25	203:20 221:9	preferred 169:20	30:22,23,25
people 19:18 21:18	82:2 136:2 210:17	223:14,16 246:16	169:22	privilege 17:5,7
21:19 28:17 43:23	210:22 211:19	255:24	prefix 236:14,15	173:2
77:9 78:5 83:4	photocopy 205:23	point 15:9 40:23	preparation 252:9	privy 154:22 242:1
120:22 130:10	picture 254:2	64:11 98:25	prepare 57:5	probably 63:3,4
143:18,19 145:3	Pineboard 27:19	155:23,25 156:3	140:20 149:11	67:8,23 140:13
166:25 167:24	27:21 110:3,5,13	160:18 166:3	151:17 199:2	159:22 160:8
169:1 177:8 180:6	110:18 111:1,9	170:25 171:3,13	200:23,25 201:17	162:10 181:22
percent 22:11 84:7	112:9,10,14	180:7 182:6,21	230:21	183:1 184:6 185:2
156:4 176:4 202:2	116:15 117:11,17	183:22 187:10	prepared 46:19	194:20 201:3
202:4,18 208:25	118:1 119:7 137:2	191:2 245:4 250:9	123:25 146:5	208:17 210:5
223:15 229:25	195:24 196:3,12	252:14 256:21	149:12 165:16	211:18,19 221:7
246:6	196:17 198:11,14	pointed 110:25	188:15 230:10,18	225:19
percentages 229:3	<b>Piskula</b> 62:2,4	pointing 68:11	231:10,22	<b>problem</b> 120:23
229:10	103:6,8 104:7	227:14 239:4	preparing 201:14	144:5
perform 223:15	109:16 118:19,21	portfolio 118:7	201:15	<b>Proc</b> 259:7,11,14
Pericorp 169:20	125:1 127:18	<b>portion</b> 47:4 48:25	present 3:20	proceeding 10:23
<b>period</b> 15:21 16:18	143:14 170:2,3	position 16:24	173:23	174:2 257:2
19:24 20:7 24:4	195:23 243:4	possess 28:23 29:12	presented 42:23	proceedings 180:13
92:15 142:7,16	252:7	231:18 233:22	44:7 49:5	180:19,24 257:5
143:1 173:23	<b>place</b> 7:11 89:15	236:1 243:10	presently 10:2	259:5,10,15
174:13 176:12	161:25 191:3	possessed 29:20	president 143:7	process 160:19
259:18	213:6 259:6	possession 232:5	149:2 151:12,23	169:22 170:24
perjury 258:6	placed 191:12	233:20 234:5	152:7 154:8	171:4
259:20	199:5 201:2	235:4 252:15	191:16 199:25	<b>produce</b> 231:19
permission 70:17	<b>plaintiff</b> 2:17 7:21	<b>possible</b> 29:18 72:5	200:4,7 202:10,15	233:21
71:1,4 99:22	7:24 197:18	72:6,9 84:23	206:6 212:8,9,14	produced 230:19
	I	I	ı	I

				Page 2/9
230:24 231:3,4	providing 33:24	52:19 54:10 55:3	83:12 88:17	134:4 153:19
230:24 231:3,4	34:11 102:10	55:7 56:3 64:6	104:19,20 117:13	157:8,9 158:21
234:24 235:3	105:11 129:22	67:15,16,22,24	120:10 127:1	164:18,23,24
234.24 233.3	207:6	70:18 77:4,12,15	147:7 149:5 153:9	177:18,21 178:25
producer 127:8	<b>pu-</b> 134:16	83:8 85:20 86:8	166:10 181:13	180:14,15,23
product 37:9	public 25:16 60:5	97:12 98:3 110:6	196:19 228:7,8	184:8 192:24
125:17	pull 159:4 165:8	128:5 130:15,16	230:16 258:5	193:4,12,15,19
production 36:2	pulled 235:12	132:3,19 135:3	reading 74:13	201:1,7 204:15
46:20 47:5 48:3,6	purchase 43:13	137:18,20 152:4	102:12 113:23	207:6 212:24
48:18 49:1	106:1,5 222:13	157:19 180:21	151:16	214:7 220:20
Productions 33:15	241:2	202:1 211:15,17	reads 230:16	245:22,24 247:7,8
33:23 34:11,16	purchased 222:10	224:24 231:24	ready 141:4	receipt 38:23
1	_			255:18
35:7,11,21 36:6	purports 91:22	235:8,14,23	real 58:20 106:1,5	
36:14,22 37:5,9	purpose 27:16,21	236:25 237:13	223:8,11 246:10	receipts 38:18
41:17 49:9,19	28:6 33:20 35:20	238:22 239:18,20	246:23 247:5	42:20,24 43:15 44:14
55:15 56:4,13	49:18 62:8 63:6	240:9,11 241:16	really 18:14 20:17	
57:4,13,17 58:2,9	64:3 73:23 90:18	248:23 250:14	23:18 38:11 40:9	receive 45:13
profit 104:15	96:19 98:20 99:7	251:20	41:25 42:17 43:24	244:18 256:3,16
project 69:13	111:16 112:13	question's 15:25	44:3 56:25 58:20	256:18
promise 193:10	125:13 165:1,16	23:12 26:8 39:23	60:11 69:5,14,15	received 65:20
prompt 256:4	172:22 177:17	43:17	71:1 79:13 82:20	82:18 85:4 118:3
property 6:7	201:22 205:10	questions 6:10	84:6,11 85:7	127:21 177:22
222:10,13 224:17	220:10 256:9	18:14 56:25 86:14	101:1 105:18	receiving 48:19
224:20,22	pursuant 2:20	170:14 172:6	113:7 120:24	receptionist 167:10
proposed 106:18	207:10,11	180:12 201:12	123:24 129:10	167:10,12,14,22
prosecution 234:20	put 21:17 43:9	202:24 225:15	130:9,10 134:5	recess 46:2 101:15
protected 17:4,7	46:25 62:11 68:21	227:14 239:8	135:17 144:6	172:1 178:12
provide 12:12 13:4	69:9 74:21 110:17	241:5 247:19	159:2 161:18	238:5
17:16 58:17 69:18	111:4 114:25	quick 230:3	165:7 168:24	recipient 34:14
104:10 105:4	124:5 132:19	quicker 255:20	170:25 176:5,20	36:5,13 113:18
113:17 114:9	137:23 140:13	quite 17:18 51:3	177:7 187:9 199:7	114:9 204:13
127:22 174:25	141:23 159:6	113:13 128:13	211:10 222:8	248:21
199:17 205:10	184:5 191:5 205:6	201:20 229:17	229:16,17 246:22	recipients 80:11
227:20	206:25 207:2	238:22	247:7,10 249:14	82:24 104:6
provided 10:22	210:1,4 223:16	R	249:20 251:4	recognize 62:20,23
11:10,22 13:22,25	236:19,22		REALTY 5:6	94:19 95:15,25
24:6 36:22 37:5	putting 41:8	<b>R</b> 8:20 259:4,8,11	reask 241:16	96:16 203:25
39:22 58:19 76:3		259:19	reason 12:7,12	204:2 205:19,22
87:14,17 94:16	Q	raise 8:6	15:20 54:13,16	216:7
103:21 114:16,20	qualified 105:6	randomly 45:15	57:2 59:24 85:9	recognized 98:12
124:25 129:19	question 12:10	range 173:12	85:25 89:11 94:15	recollect 20:14,15
134:16 170:1	13:10,11,13 16:2	re- 156:1 247:7	99:20	37:9 46:21 64:9
174:17 197:18	17:12,18 19:15,25	re-ask 35:19	reasonable 40:24	90:2 111:7 156:1
207:3 227:15	22:18 23:22 26:11	reach 31:6	recall 11:8,13 40:9	157:6 177:25
234:4 254:1,5	31:4 34:10 35:15	react 70:24	48:5 57:25 63:6	recollection 63:25
259:17	35:19 36:11 37:1	read 21:16 30:5	64:11,22 85:4,9	88:22 92:14 96:9
provides 165:19	39:13 40:16 52:9	73:7 81:24 82:16	105:11 120:4	140:16,19 158:2,5

				Page 200
150.12 160.4 14	147.15 216.25	256.17	11.10 15.5 15	61.12 62.2 71.16
158:13 160:4,14	147:15 216:25 224:18 225:8	256:17	11:19 15:5,15	61:13 62:3 71:16 80:5 86:20 93:10
160:23 161:5,23		regards 11:21	23:7,14,18,18	
163:6 184:12	227:11 230:3	register 147:1	24:14 26:19 27:2	101:23 105:22
191:10 219:9	references 83:21	169:21	27:7 29:11 33:9	107:2 108:24
221:5	126:19	registered 152:21	33:11,12 38:5,11	121:23 122:5
recollections	referencing 115:17	155:7,13 157:17	38:11,12 39:6	124:19 126:10
158:15	115:24 116:24	158:1,23 159:21	40:9 43:21,23,25	129:15 140:25
reconcile 249:5	133:21 149:8	registration 90:10	45:5 49:22 60:10	145:21 164:7
250:23	170:11	90:12	60:14,23 68:10	169:11 181:15,17
reconciled 249:19	referred 22:9	regular 9:23 90:3	72:13 74:8 84:21	181:25 200:13
reconciliations	referring 21:10,12	201:16	88:6 91:4 92:16	203:16 213:15
22:19	24:18 39:24 82:19	regularly 89:17,25	108:19,20 110:9	216:4 217:23
record 7:5 8:18	83:14 84:4 103:20	reimbursed 42:18	110:10 113:7	219:1,19 222:18
14:7 20:16 43:1	116:16 117:24	reimbursement	120:3,19,25	224:7 226:3
43:24 44:8 46:1,3	118:4 150:21	37:21 42:10 43:1	121:17 124:14	236:22 255:7
101:14,16 105:15	162:7 185:12	44:12 45:2	130:9,11 133:16	259:2,17
171:25 172:2	186:18 214:19	reinstated 155:22	140:11,12 143:9	reporting 19:23
178:8,11,13	refers 116:12	156:4 170:7 171:9	144:23 145:2	reports 60:8
218:16 226:13,14	reflect 9:7 23:2	171:19,21	155:10 157:22	represent 7:20
232:4 234:6 235:4	42:12 121:19	reinstatement	160:9,10 161:16	13:24 46:7 178:19
235:24 237:1,15	137:24 140:15	170:16	161:17 162:11,12	233:16
237:15 238:4,6	141:25 249:21	reinstating 81:1	175:25 178:1	representation
248:21 250:19	reflected 24:23	relate 10:1 12:1,4	180:17,18 182:9	211:23
253:23 257:4	25:23 40:14	174:6	184:10 200:6,9	representative
259:10	116:25	related 4:18 12:17	207:8 215:13	72:20 204:16
recorded 38:7,14	reflecting 28:10	12:17 44:12,16,17	220:23 239:8	220:4
40:25	47:18	61:17 104:10,18	247:10 249:14,20	representatives
recording 44:24	reflection 248:11	108:2 213:12	remembering	218:4
records 22:23	248:15	221:9 222:6,13	178:24	representing 11:5
23:19 24:15 58:5	reflects 51:5 58:8	relates 21:14	repeat 13:11 16:2	request 73:6 125:8
74:21 87:5 159:1	59:1 63:12,13	202:24 203:23	36:10 37:1 52:9	125:20 130:7,14
193:22 230:20	142:19	relating 11:23	55:7 70:7 97:12	130:25 131:5
232:8,10 234:13	refresh 63:25 92:13	12:14 77:19	98:3 135:2 152:4	132:23 186:6
236:2 247:16	96:9 140:15,19	relationship 78:9	180:20 231:24	198:9 234:4
248:5,10,14,18	160:4,13 161:4,22	122:22 123:3,4	238:22 240:12	requested 6:20
249:1,9,21,22,23	163:5 219:8 221:4	175:19 176:7,14	250:14	13:23 69:17 82:4
250:17,21,21	refreshes 160:23	176:15,16 177:5,9	rephrase 25:3	83:22 102:10,17
251:3,8,13,18	regard 181:24	195:16 241:17	85:20 137:21	102:20 130:2
253:16,17,18,19	251:3 252:21	relative 7:7 259:12	replace 41:22	171:11 259:16,16
reduced 39:7	regarding 40:13	relatively 77:13	replaced 41:19	requesting 44:20
refer 14:17 79:18	69:17 70:11,22	relevance 22:16	reply 139:7	105:12
132:12,13	71:5 77:1 78:1	34:7 35:13 38:4	report 42:20 59:25	requests 239:10
reference 117:8,18	84:14 102:7,11	41:24 42:8,15	60:5	required 29:23
150:11,18 186:5	136:3 138:12	47:22 48:9 49:10	reported 182:19,22	149:3 154:9 228:5
referenced 73:21	214:6 226:17	relevancy 12:24	reporter 7:18 8:4,6	res- 247:22
106:6 115:23	regardless 99:8	relieve 255:7	10:15 13:19 31:10	research 195:9
116:3 141:16	230:18 231:22	remember 11:16	46:12 49:24 59:14	reserve 255:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage 201
256:8	resulted 34:11	roughly 134:4	107:13 111:12	100:8 113:16,21
residence 208:21	retain 255:12 256:7	172:14	114:11 117:14,15	117:6 126:18
resign 171:15	retained 80:21	row 185:9	119:15 121:9	144:16 156:24
resolution 191:7,15	retaining 172:19	<b>RPP</b> 96:8	125:5 126:2,3	159:11 160:2
192:5 228:5	return 80:25 81:6,6	rules 13:4 17:15	127:6,9 136:16	168:1 185:9
resolutions 149:3	81:9 92:25 104:17	34:25 55:22	139:9 141:7	188:18 189:5
154:9	104:18 105:1	149:15	146:13,14,16,17	205:23 227:4,6
respect 10:10 25:19	returns 104:21	run 96:5 121:3	146:25 147:4	237:22 243:16,21
33:8 40:11 67:11	review 14:17 87:1	running 13:1	148:9,17,25	second-to-last
67:18 77:12 78:16	114:5 254:20		149:18 151:5,10	100:7,8 106:15,16
79:20 87:24 101:4	255:5,14 256:14	S	152:16,23 153:7	secretary 146:17
116:20 123:7,19	259:15	<b>S</b> 3:8 4:8 5:2 6:2	153:11 154:14,20	148:13 149:2
133:10 142:13	<b>right</b> 8:6 14:22	62:5	155:9 156:13	154:8 191:18,19
157:13 228:17	40:5,8,20 41:2,6,7	<b>sale</b> 106:8	157:24 161:14	192:4,9 206:2
240:1 247:22	43:12 50:10 59:22	Sam 62:5	164:15 165:21	212:16 228:4
255:10	62:16 65:14 67:25	SAN 3:13	166:11,13 167:9	section 148:19
respective 105:2	73:20 81:21 84:1	<b>Sandra</b> 1:22 2:19	168:9 189:6	153:7 154:4
respects 227:20	84:14 88:9,14	7:18 259:1,24	191:21 196:8	secure 67:20 68:2
respond 13:10	90:9 91:5 99:3	<b>Santa</b> 2:17 7:12	197:2 198:18	69:1 70:10,21
28:21 31:4 83:8	109:6 113:23	20:13 95:7 127:11	199:17 201:11	71:5 139:22 140:9
186:23	116:19 121:8	127:12 131:11	202:10,25 208:7	155:5 160:24
responded 15:1	130:20 147:23	168:23 175:23	208:14 209:16	secured 71:3 160:5
82:22 127:17	152:14 158:9	176:3 197:12	212:8 214:15,24	160:5 231:6
responding 86:14	167:18 179:10	saw 51:13 91:6	217:3,7 222:21	securing 158:6
responds 76:11	181:18 182:10	129:18 164:20	224:19 228:2,10	Securities 177:20
82:8 121:6 127:3	183:19 185:6,17	175:9 177:8 181:8	228:11,13,15	security 134:15,16
response 84:16	190:6 194:6	187:1 198:9	229:4,9,9 236:12	135:15
141:17 187:1	195:19 196:21	216:11 220:24	<b>SCHER</b> 1:8 2:8	see 10:8 14:8,12,15
223:20 227:13	198:21 199:14	252:4,6	<b>Schotz</b> 3:3,8 7:24	14:16 19:4 22:12
responsible 66:25	201:1,21 203:25	saying 85:1 110:1	scientist 195:5	24:20 30:3 32:2
67:1,2,3,5 68:1,3	206:11,12 208:1	116:21 117:25	Scoot 153:23	35:6 36:3 37:13
68:17,21 83:11	208:18 209:6,8,20	194:12 250:2	Scott 21:23,25 22:1	37:15,18 39:5,15
84:18 85:2,24	211:1 215:10,25	says 14:12,15 33:22	22:2,3 60:14,17	39:17 41:15 42:3
86:10 131:14,20	217:4 218:23	37:23 39:6 41:21	80:17,18	46:18 47:12,24,25
132:4,12 134:13	220:2,8,20 224:14	42:11,16 46:10	se- 134:16 179:24	48:1,14,20 50:3
134:25 135:6,13	233:10 236:19	47:25 48:1 49:11	Sean 5:21,22,23,24	50:11,25 51:22
135:20 136:10,14	238:9,12 239:6	49:16 50:11,12,17	204:15 213:12,20	53:9 58:7 59:1
136:20 137:8,13	240:8 243:20,21	51:7 52:2 53:12	214:2 215:11	66:14 70:5 74:13
138:12,18 139:9	252:21 254:1	53:16 55:17 58:11	216:8,9,13	77:1 78:25 79:1
139:14,19 140:9	255:20 256:9,23	59:20,21,23 60:2	seanedr- 204:10	80:12 81:15 87:8
158:25 185:18	256:24	60:7 63:15,18,21	seanedrington@	87:21 88:9 92:8
186:24 187:13	right-hand 197:3	63:24 65:7 66:24	204:10	94:2 95:2,5,20
198:13,18 239:13	righty 64:17	68:14 71:22 81:14	Sec 177:24 259:4	96:23 98:17 104:6
239:14	Road 61:4 87:25	82:17 83:13 84:2	second 15:8 49:7	106:14,14 108:13
restate 128:5	Robert 95:19 96:3	84:6,15 91:13,25	62:14,14,15,21	109:8,12 115:16
145:14	role 74:18 80:16,18	92:6 96:8 102:9	68:4 73:10 78:23	115:19,19,21
restroom 237:24	185:25	104:12 106:7,20	83:24 87:20 91:8	116:2 118:21
	·	1	<u> </u>	

				1 age 202
119:1 123:24	send 74:3 116:21	59:19 60:12,24	229:22	184:13 190:12,15
124:13 126:15	127:5 139:3 207:9	61:4 63:20 65:5	sharing 176:12	190:18,20,23
130:12,23 131:7	215:2 220:10	72:19 79:20 81:22	240:25	191:1,3,5,11,13
131:17 132:13	221:9 254:15,17	83:15,18,21 84:4	she'd 93:4	192:8,11 206:3
134:10,21 135:1,5	255:13 256:6	84:14 85:6 87:6	she'll 254:20	212:11,14 216:21
136:20 137:5,7	sending 81:11,18	87:22 88:5,15,16	sheet 104:14	218:11,17,19,20
138:20 139:17	102:16 109:19,23	88:19,20 90:11,14	Sheng 95:10	238:14,17,19
140:9 143:18	110:11 118:14	91:2,11,12,23,23	short 103:4 120:6,7	239:22,23 242:11
146:9 148:18	214:2,7,15,24	92:5,8,14,16	shortcut 185:14	242:20,21,23
154:3,6 156:9,16	253:6	93:14 95:6,22	Shorthand 259:1	245:24 246:3
157:1 158:24	sense 205:7,9	96:25 97:7,23	shortly 128:17	251:25 252:5
161:10 162:5,10	sent 74:10 76:10	98:8 102:7 104:22	show 56:12 103:1	258:13
165:22 166:16,20	102:5,18,24 103:4	105:16 106:5,18	120:17 251:7,13	signatures 246:6
168:21 176:20	116:8 120:18	115:6 116:9 117:2	251:19	signed 20:14,15
177:7 181:5,25	125:9 126:4	119:10 120:8,13	showed 9:4 235:19	60:4 100:2,20
182:3 183:25	179:15 186:17	122:15 123:11,16	shown 180:11,16	106:21 193:7
184:3 185:19	188:8 189:19,20	123:20 129:3	192:16	208:15 218:21
186:21,22 188:21	204:2,9 213:20	131:8,8 134:9,16	shows 34:5 53:13	221:1 241:2
189:2,13,14	216:18 217:17	136:2,2 140:7	54:18 55:14 63:19	255:12,23 256:6
190:11 191:1,15	221:15,17,17	144:23 148:5,23	63:22 90:11	signer 45:18
191:25 192:8	225:4 239:3,23	151:23 152:8	122:12 127:10	221:25 222:3,6
195:2 196:1,14	246:18 247:1,6,9	155:7 161:21	131:11,14 148:7	251:19
198:14 200:1,2	248:16	166:13 170:12,15	148:10,13 207:14	signify 66:24 83:2
202:5,12,13 203:2	sentence 196:7	171:8,19 174:17	208:6,22 210:16	244:24
204:11 205:2	sentenced 123:14	174:18,19,21,25	236:14	signifying 37:25
207:5,15 208:4,23	separate 23:10	175:2 185:10,15	sic 169:20	signing 99:12
208:24 209:3,11	62:24 108:7 129:2	186:18 188:11,20	sick 195:9	238:18 256:11
209:18 211:5,25	144:24	189:12 191:8	side 19:5 67:6	similar 154:4
212:4 214:23	separately 108:9	197:18,22 216:25	159:6 225:16	simple 242:2
216:14 217:18	September 176:4	228:16,24 229:25	Sidley 11:15	simply 17:18 62:16
218:10,13,15,15	series 4:16 39:17	SERVICES- 5:19	sign 89:12 99:21	100:19
221:7 223:3,14,18		set 92:18 159:22	200:7 212:9	Sir 93:1
223:22 225:6	88:10 102:2 109:2	172:12 193:21	238:17 239:21	sit 28:8 101:4
227:19 234:13	192:16 220:24	205:11 259:6	254:20 255:5,11	140:14
249:10 252:6	server 121:1,4	setting 193:5	255:15 256:14	situation 184:19
253:9	service 5:5 36:6	settle 185:3	signatories 193:23	six 10:24 12:12
seeing 172:7 232:3	51:23 74:2 91:3	Settlements 79:14	194:2	63:19 73:20 77:1
235:23	services 4:22 7:7	146:8 158:2	signatory 106:19	78:16 206:9,11
seen 50:9 88:6,7	8:25 9:12,14 15:2	159:17 161:15	193:15,19 194:5	slightest 75:14
106:11 133:19	15:10 16:11 18:16	seven 10:24 12:12	212:19	social 135:15 180:5
149:22 162:19,21	18:18 20:17,24	206:9,11	signature 28:24	<b>sold</b> 161:16
184:6 191:6,12	33:20,23 34:10,15	shame 178:23	29:13,21,23 89:5	sole 191:7,20
199:15 200:3,7,20	36:2,14,21 37:4	share 183:25	89:8,14 92:9	193:19 212:19
219:12,13,13,15	46:20 47:6 48:3,6	shareholder 202:21	94:19,23,24 95:16	222:2,6 251:19
222:8	48:18 49:1 50:14	shares 149:1,1,18	96:1,17 99:11,13	solely 239:14
selected 63:9 88:5	50:19 53:11,14,18	151:1,7 154:7	100:1,6,11 106:12	solemnly 8:7
seller 223:15	56:10 58:17,19	228:2,3,24 229:9	106:14,17 124:2,3	<b>Solomon</b> 77:7 83:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

r				Page 203
87:18 150:22	speaking 201:7	57:3,5,6 94:10	258:1	stuff 10:4
199:8 230:11	speaks 153:10	131:4 141:21	stated 33:20	style 141:11
somebody 38:17,21	154:11,18 156:10	spreadsheets 22:9	statement 104:15	subject 81:21
42:18 45:18 62:11	specialist 7:6	22:14 23:1,20	138:1 143:10	122:15 125:3
70:14 78:7,10	specific 39:2 54:13	24:4,7,23 28:9,12	144:19 154:7	126:19 129:20,24
105:10 112:2,2	130:6 158:5	30:16 32:15,17	230:7,8 239:18	204:25
130:17 172:20	specifically 23:1	38:14 39:2,21,24	statements 108:14	submission 238:24
253:24	229:9	40:11,15,25 43:8	248:13,14,18	241:11
sonali@vcorpser	specification 89:19	square 236:11	states 1:1 2:1 36:2	submit 242:24
87:12	speculating 199:9	ss 258:1	59:24 60:4 65:15	submitted 189:4,7
soon 24:13 189:17	199:10	stamp 28:24 29:13	89:3 104:25 105:1	208:11,17 209:13
sorry 12:10 15:5,19	speculation 34:22	29:21 89:5,9,14	105:2,8 147:22	211:7,16
25:5 35:16 36:10	35:23 36:9 45:9	92:9 95:17 120:16	154:16 165:18	submitting 45:2
36:25 39:12 41:16	69:20 71:8 78:14	121:10,16 124:2,3	206:13 214:2	subpoena 10:19
44:3 50:18 52:10	85:14,17 99:14	184:14 190:15,18	215:12	148:22 177:22
54:6,9 55:7 56:24	100:24 112:4	190:20,23 191:1,3	stating 83:9	substance 244:6,20
70:7 79:13 82:2	117:19 118:12	190:20,23 191:1,3	stayed 27:3	244:25 245:6
85:15,16 93:5	132:25 142:8	192:11 212:11,14	stayed 27.3 staying 173:10	substantive 178:25
98:3 107:24 114:3	143:12 149:10	216:21 218:17	step 77:21 152:19	suggest 121:11
116:10,10 120:7	150:15 151:3,8,14	238:14,17,19	237:21	240:16,18
121:5 132:11	151:24 152:10	239:22 242:11,20	steps 146:22	suggesting 45:12
135:2 145:14,23	153:4 167:6	242:21,23 243:5,7	148:18,20,25	234:25
150:4,14 153:24	168:14 175:11	242:21,23 243:3,7	150:9	suggests 85:3
153:24 158:4	176:10 182:2	252:5	Stern 4:20 71:20	112:11 162:1
159:8 164:17	199:11 231:20,23	stamped 190:21	72:17,18,19 73:2	suite 3:4,13,17 61:5
180:20 182:13	232:6,12 235:17	stamped 190.21	73:24 74:10	87:25 95:7 127:11
189:14 196:7,22	236:3 237:3	Standard 213:24	Steve 103:6 109:15	209:24 210:12
206:16 207:16	240:23 241:12,22	standard 213.24 standing 103:21	110:1 125:1,10	Sullivan 11:18
214:11 215:16	240:23 241:12,22 242:13,25 244:8	156:3 170:22	127:18 170:2,3	summary 5:16
222:11,24 224:7	242.13,23 244.8	189:18	195:23	110:2 146:2
229:16 237:21	speed 215:14	standpoint 211:14	Steven 62:2,3,4,6	summer 179:13
241:14 243:2,16	spell 9:13 21:24	start 26:7 75:17	143:14 243:4	summer 177.13
244:14 247:20	spent 173:12	115:14 158:8,18	252:7	120:24
sort 11:21 43:10	spoke 9:18 82:2	159:16 200:10	stick- 234:17	Sunday 172:13
78:9 195:6 248:4	116:14 119:5	205:18	sticker 234:15,17	173:13
sorts 131:4	175:23 179:12,13	started 17:22 19:8	235:6,25 236:10	supervisor 182:18
source 124:10	196:8,19	22:21 182:14	236:11,11,23	supposed 34:19
187:11 234:11	spreadsheet 23:10	214:22	stipulate 231:2	114:2 149:25
Sovrin 128:14	23:17 24:18 32:2	starting 23:16 30:3	stipulation 254:14	151:18 157:9
129:7 137:11	32:10 33:2,8 34:1	222:21	stockholder 228:16	179:14 241:13
145:1,2,3,4,9	35:11 37:24 39:10	starts 109:12 119:1	stockiolder 228.16 stocks 209:1	sure 11:2,14 12:24
166:8 168:1,10,13	39:14 41:9 42:14	state 7:20 8:18 60:9	STREET 3:4,9	20:13 22:11 23:9
168:21 169:2	43:1,2,10 44:8	65:8,17 73:4	strike 34:21 78:13	23:24 24:1 30:12
175:8	46:19,24 47:5	97:24 98:9,11	118:11 143:11	38:20,22 45:24
Sovrin's 145:8	48:15,25 52:3	104:24 127:21	187:22,25	58:20 64:13 72:4
SPC 1:4 2:4 3:2,7	53:17,20 54:14,20	128:7 188:13,15	string 103:18	83:19 100:13,14
speak 65:11	55:9 56:4,12,19	189:4,7,17 216:13	string 103.16 stuck 243:23	100:17 105:10
speak 03.11	33.7 30.4,12,13	107.7,7,17 210.13	Stuck 273.23	100.17 103.10

				Page 204
120.21 122.24 25	171.17 10 177.10	139:18 149:3	115:25	192:13 200:18
120:21 123:24,25 124:6 128:13,14	171:17,18 177:10 183:22,23 184:1,4	154:8 159:9	<b>Technology</b> 128:25	203:13 206:19
134:5,6 135:15,17	184:9 185:10,12	171:22 173:5	137:5,7,9 162:5	218:23 223:16
134.3,0 133.13,17	185:15 186:18	171.22 173.3	tell 8:8 11:25 43:15	225:14 231:13
147:1 152:21	188:20 189:11	188:12 203:22,22	66:21 77:10 98:2	238:2 242:8
155:6,12 156:5,23	191:7,16 192:22	211:23 215:22	100:5 153:20	243:13 245:15
157:11,16,25	191.7,10 192.22	211.23 213.22 219:23 228:4	166:23 176:23	250:24 256:1,25
158:22 159:24	195:3,4,11,12,13	243:17	telling 171:7	thanking 121:20
160:1,25 164:13	195.3,4,11,12,13	taken 1:17 2:16	tells 104:16 117:5,7	Thanks 76:11
165:7,17 168:24	193.13,17 197.22	46:2 68:20 101:15	temporary 94:6	theater 199:21
170:21 176:4,5	200:4,8 201:8	172:1 178:12	ten 15:14,16,18,22	theaters 199:19
170.21 170.4,3	200.4,8 201.8 202:18,18,21	238:5 253:25	16:4 139:6 202:1	theory 239:12
184:5 194:21	202:18,18,21	259:5		thing 41:2 98:15
199:7,7 204:6		talk 32:15 177:8	206:11,12 ten-year 15:22	103:23 140:9
222:7 236:7 246:2	205:12 206:3,7 207:7 209:12	181:9 228:20	terms 183:22 194:1	248:4
246:4 256:20		229:2	203:7 212:2 229:5	things 22:24 157:7
	211:8,15 212:7,14			157:18 239:10
Swartz 4:22,24 5:5	212:16,20 216:25	talked 80:25	testified 8:14 91:15	
5:19 27:24 28:6	217:5 219:6	103:19 162:14	139:12 172:7	think 11:12,17,18
48:13,19 50:14,19	220:22 221:10,12	178:21 179:3,8	194:15 246:1	12:23 13:1,6 15:8
51:6,22 53:10,13	221:23 222:6	180:15	251:24	20:12,14 21:14
53:18,20 54:15,19	224:1,9 226:17,25	talking 19:19,21 21:19 23:23 44:22	testify 241:5	24:10 26:6,12,13
56:10 59:19 60:12	227:3,11 228:15		testifying 241:4	26:14,15,17,19,22
60:24 61:3 63:20	228:15,16,19,21 228:24 229:25	68:12 115:3	testimony 16:23	26:25 27:3 28:16
65:5 79:20 81:6		144:21 148:22	21:12,14 28:8	29:7 32:23 33:5
81:22 83:14,18,21	241:1,3 247:14,22	154:25 175:25	32:20 100:12	43:9 45:17 49:3
84:4,14,21 85:5	247:23 248:19,19	194:7 213:1	138:17 139:18	50:3 53:1 58:19
87:6,22 88:5,15	249:15 250:17	talks 228:12,15,22	141:17 144:15	60:11,13,13,15,17
88:19 90:11,16	swear 8:5,7	228:23,23,25	149:24 153:16	60:20,21,21 61:24
91:2,11,23 92:14	switch 163:15	229:10,18,22,24	164:18 173:18	63:2,3 64:13,13
93:14 94:4,8,9,10	sworn 8:13 259:7	tax 4:17 59:18,25	177:23 259:10	64:22,23 65:12
94:12,16 95:5,22	Systems 128:14	60:8,13 80:25	Texas 3:4 4:17 5:5	68:4,14,19,19,22
96:21,25 97:7,18	129:8 137:12	104:17,18,21	59:17 60:9,18	72:16 73:25 74:3
97:22 98:8 102:7	145:1,3	126:23 170:24	61:1,5 65:6 81:6	74:20,20,22 79:19
102:11 103:15	T	taxes 5:5 79:19	87:25 97:24 98:9	80:24 81:1,4,5,7
104:10,22 105:15	T 4:8 5:2 6:2	98:15 102:7	98:11 102:7	84:8,8,20 89:10
106:5,17 107:11	Taft 9:20 123:18	126:20 129:21	103:15 104:18,24	90:2,3,20 98:25
107:21 115:6	take 9:25 27:11	147:1,5 152:21	148:7 162:18,19	99:5 100:15,17
116:9 117:1	31:3 39:9 45:22	155:6,12 157:16	188:13,15 189:4,7	103:19,20,22,22
119:10,12 120:8	54:7 56:18 57:2	157:25 158:22	189:17	111:4 112:24
120:12 122:15	57:11 67:18 73:11	159:20,23 160:6	Thank 22:3 32:6	113:2,11,12
123:11,15,20	73:12 77:21 86:18	160:16 161:25	46:14 59:12 68:13	116:13,16 118:6
128:25 129:3	92:21 93:24 101:7	162:13 171:13,14	71:12 73:19 80:18	120:2,21,23,23
131:8 137:5,7,9		tech- 136:3	89:2 103:11,25	121:8 128:13,13
148:4,23 151:23	101:11 102:2,13	technical 83:3	106:24 132:1	128:22 132:17
152:7,8 153:8	103:16 107:14	136:4	145:17 150:24	133:18 135:11
155:1,7 161:21	108:10 110:4	Technologies 1:9	163:2,10 169:23	136:7 141:10,20
162:2,5,24 170:11	130:12 138:16,22	2:9 111:14 114:18	170:4 178:5 190:9	141:20 142:17
	1			

				rage 200
143:2,9,21 144:5	Thirty-two 226:8	121:10,16 122:19	86:7 104:1 126:15	transmitted 205:15
144:10 145:2,7,11	thoroughly 239:15	124:15 127:16	131:7 148:4	traveling 29:18,22
145:16 155:14,15	thought 27:9 75:22	128:18 133:9,23	159:16 179:2	252:18,25 253:2,4
156:3,4 157:5,5	83:16,17 90:17	140:5 142:6,11,15	195:7 224:19	<b>Tri-State</b> 156:18
159:22,23 160:18	91:1,2 117:20	142:20,25 143:16	225:2 226:25	157:11
160:18 161:15	129:9,11 170:24	143:19 159:9	<b>Topanga</b> 209:23	trial 50:7 174:6
162:7,18 163:8,20	215:18,24	165:20,24 170:19	210:7	tried 41:5
164:12,13,13,14	thousand 228:3	171:18,24 172:3	total 51:8 202:24	Tristate 64:18
164:14 165:3,6,17	thread 118:25	173:8,12,13,23	203:1,10 235:17	true 57:15 142:13
165:17 168:23,25	three 10:7 11:3,9	174:13 176:1,12	totally 120:3	142:22 214:18
169:3,19 170:17	70:11,22 71:5	178:4,10,14 179:8	234:10	258:7 259:9,21
170:22 171:1,5	77:9 78:5 114:13	179:12 180:19,25	touch 211:20 244:1	trust 1:9 2:9 50:15
173:1 174:1,17	115:16,17 180:4	181:14,23 182:6	track 25:3 30:11	51:6,9,12,14,18
175:22,22,23	206:1,9 208:16	182:21 183:22	tracked 35:11	222:12 250:22
176:16 177:9	214:4	191:2,12 194:7	tracking 24:19	trustee 1:9 2:9 11:6
178:1 179:13,16	thumb 53:7	203:22 209:12	trained 25:13	truth 8:8,9,10
180:6 182:9 184:2	Thursday 118:15	213:10,19,24	transaction 33:14	149:16
184:18,24 186:1	147:6	217:13,15 219:14	34:6,8 39:21	truthful 86:14
188:16,17 189:19	till 173:14	238:3,7 243:3,10	246:11,15,21	try 44:13 64:13
189:24 190:19	TIM@MCGONI	243:10,17 244:21	247:5	76:8 178:18 249:5
193:17 195:5,6	3:19	246:25 247:5	transactions 38:13	trying 24:10 40:19
196:23,25 197:16	time 7:11 9:18	252:14 256:15,18	123:15 199:18,19	41:2 85:21 143:21
199:6 200:5,22	14:25 15:1 16:18	257:3 259:6,6	199:21 213:6	175:22 182:9
202:17 204:19,21	17:22 18:3 19:14	times 57:15 108:11	250:23	235:10 249:1
204:21 210:8,11	19:18,22,24 20:7	108:16 110:25	transcript 14:1,5	250:23
210:14,14 214:19	20:12 21:1 23:13	130:8 180:2,4	32:8 254:16,19	<b>TT</b> 1:4 2:4 3:2,7
215:17 222:4	24:6 25:9,22 26:3	188:1 195:11	255:12,14 259:16	7:22 88:10
223:8,11,11,12	26:17 29:1,7,10	250:1,1	transcripts 180:12	turn 14:22 31:20
226:25 227:13	29:20,20 32:24	<b>Timothy</b> 3:16,17	transfer 48:12 51:5	46:17 53:3 88:13
230:9 232:14,19	33:2,25 36:23	8:2	53:13 54:19 55:14	148:1 185:7,9
235:8 238:19	43:4,7 44:23	tired 229:16	111:18,23,24	186:2,15 188:18
241:7,10,20 242:9	45:12,25 46:4	title 127:7 166:8,12	112:7,14 114:10	189:1 192:14
242:12,15,16,16	48:7 49:19 52:6	212:7	114:17 117:9,15	194:9 195:19
242:22 243:1,9	54:1 55:10 57:23	titles 164:15 166:5	117:25 118:7	196:21 198:7
250:21 252:3	58:1,4 61:25	today 7:18 10:20	119:9 125:3	199:16 226:24
253:15,18 254:10	65:19 67:9,23	26:1 28:8 40:2	195:24 198:10	twice 92:15
think- 118:6	69:7 71:25 72:11	101:4 140:14	214:20 215:7	two 48:11 61:17
Thinkfilm 31:17	72:12,13,15 75:21	177:11 180:16	216:14 251:5,7,10	67:16 82:9 92:4
thinking 118:5,9	77:18,24 83:18	215:13 235:1	251:12	100:21,21 101:5,8
third 35:6 41:14	84:3 86:1,6 89:18	today's 7:10,11	transferred 51:23	150:21,25 165:12
53:3 79:6 113:18	92:22 94:13 98:18	10:23 110:3 257:1	56:11 58:9 59:2	179:16 180:4
114:10 115:3	101:13,17 102:13	told 44:9 45:1,19	160:18,19	183:5 205:18
116:7,22,24 148:1	103:4,17 106:10	56:7 183:1 187:7	transfers 24:19	206:1,8 212:1
160:11 218:10	109:24 112:24	221:8	28:11 50:14 53:10	227:9,19 228:18
<b>Thirty</b> 175:17,18	113:6,11 118:3,5	tomorrow 250:13	55:20 56:1 110:3	229:13,14 245:20
Thirty-eight 48:23	119:14,17,18,19	top 14:11 21:7	111:8 118:8 218:7	245:25 247:15
Thirty-seven 46:18	120:1,6,7,16,20	24:17 41:14 51:1	248:15	248:2,5,16 249:11
	1	ı	I	ı

171:17 174:15
252:12
two-day 92:15         two-thirds 47:10         151:25         various 80:21 87:1         28:5,19 29:4,9         168:17 169:13           71:19 188:19         65:24 66:6,18         136:3 137:24         30:23 31:2,12         171:22 172:4           207:18 208:2         84:3,10 122:18         VCorp 72:19 73:5         35:1,14,18 36:1         175:14 176:11           type 69:13 146:14         128:25 136:24         147:1 152:21         36:12 37:3,11         178:3,6 182:2           type 89:3         170:18         155:6,12 157:16         38:6 40:6,22 42:2         192:17 200:17           types 174:22,23         unicorn 62:5         UNITED 1:1 2:1         161:25 188:11         46:15 47:23 48:10         227:5,8 231:5,12           Ub-huh 11:4 14:2         Unity 79:24 163:11         vendor 47:20         49:12,17 50:1         231:14,21 232:1,9           21:18 24:21 39:19         unsure 129:7         video 7:6         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6         237:7 238:8           1875:14 183:8         197:3         154:117:24         77:11,17,20 78:12         24:10 65:13 66:16         240:24 24:10,13           125:12 130:1         university 195:8         unsure 129:7         video 7:6         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6         237:7 238:8           187:2 188:7 198:2         unjper 49:4 59:22         101:16 1
two-thirds 47:10         understood 54:13         110:15,16 130:24         30:23 31:2,12         171:22 172:4           71:19 188:19         65:24 66:6,18         136:3 137:24         32:25 34:2,5,9,24         173:4 174:3,9           207:18 208:2         84:3,10 122:18         VCorp 72:197:5         35:1,14,18 36:1         175:14 176:11           type 69:13 146:14         128:25 136:24         147:1 152:21         36:1 237:3,11         178:36 182:2           typed 89:3         170:18         155:6,12 157:16         38:6 40:6,22 42:2         192:17 200:17           typically 190:20         UNITED 1:1 2:1         161:25 188:11         46:15 47:23 48:10         225:15,19,22           Ub-huh 11:4 14:2         256:9         unsigned 255:21         video 7:6         55:28,21 56:2,17         231:12,18 54:12         232:15 234:7,12           25:12 130:1         138:25 145:5         unsure 129:7         video 7:6         55:28,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6           155:15 183:8         197:3         154:1 17:24         59:16 66:15 62:7         239:19 240:10,13           188:21 201:24         21:18 24:21 39:19         155:1 17:24         77:11,17,20 78:12         248:22 235:14,21           138:25 145:5         199:24         33:1 47:4 8:4         59:16 66:15 62:7         239:19 240:10,13           18
71:19 188:19 207:18 208:2 type 69:13 146:14 148:7 174:19,25 typed 89:3 type 174:22,23 typeially 190:20  Unity 79:24 163:11 University 195:8 unsigned 255:21 21:18 24:21 39:19 76:13 87:10 125:12 130:1 138:25 145:5 138:25 145:5 158:81 187:2 188:7 198:2 198:8,12 201:24 21:34 220:9 unders- 147:17 underscore 88:10 88:11 understand 10:18 25:24 27:13 48:4 25:25,13 58:22 73:25 78:7 82:18  71:19 188:19 207:18 24:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:18 44:3,10 122:19 75:14 176:11 178:3,6 182:2 1175:14 176:11 178:3,6 182:2 192:17 200:17 20:17 200:17 22:157:19,22 24:29,24 3:6 44:1 225:15,19,22 24:29,24 3:6 44:1 225:15,19,22 24:29,24 3:6 44:1 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 227:5,8 231:5,12 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:2,6,10,14,15 227:5,8 231:5,12 223:15,234:2,19 226:2,6,10,14,15 226:
207:18 208:2
type 69:13 146:14         128:25 136:24         147:1 152:21         36:12 37:3,11         178:3,6 182:2           typed 89:3         170:18         155:6,12 157:16         38:6 40:6,22 42:2         192:17 200:17           types 174:22,23         types 174:22,23         typically 190:20         Unitorn 62:5         159:24 160:6         45:11,22 46:5,14         226:26,26,10,14,15           U         Unity 79:24 163:11         university 195:8         university 195:8         versus 7:17         51:4,19,21 52:4         227:5,8 231:5,12           21:18 24:21 39:19         yoice 241:2         yoide 7:6         25:11,12,18 54:12         233:15,21         233:15,21           138:25 145:5         unsure 129:7         untrue 191:23         3:21 7:4 8:4         59:16 61:15 62:7         237:7 238:8           187:1 188:7 198:2         urge 17:14         172:2 178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         241:24 242:7,18           198:8,12 201:24         urge 17:14         videotaped 1:16         74:2 75:1,6 139:1         77:11,17,20 78:12         245:3,9 247:21           188:11         underscore 88:10         88:11         185:14 190:17,23         172:12,22         99:2,19 101:3,11         256:25         93:1,3,6,12 98:4         256:25         93:1,3,6,12 98:4         256:25         93:1,3,6,12 98:4         256:25         93:1,3,6,12 98:
148:7 174:19,25   137:1 149:19,23   155:6,12 157:16   158:1,23 159:20   42:9,22 43:6 44:1   225:15,19,22   159:24 160:6   45:11,22 46:5,14   226:2,6,10,14,15   159:24 160:6   45:11,22 46:5,14   226:2,6,10,14,15   161:25 188:11   46:15 47:23 48:10   227:5,8 231:5,12   27:18 24:21 39:19   256:9   unsure 129:7   untrue 191:23   unusual 194:25   usist 174:16   158:1,23 159:20   159:24 160:6   45:11,22 46:5,14   226:2,6,10,14,15   227:5,8 231:5,12
typed 89:3         170:18         158:1,23 159:20         42:9,22 43:6 44:1         225:15,19,22           typically 190:20         Unity 79:24 163:11         159:24 160:6         45:11,22 46:5,14         226:2,6,10,14,15           U 62:5         Unity 79:24 163:11         university 195:8         university 195:8         versus 7:17         51:4,19,21 52:4         231:14,21 232:1,9           21:18 24:21 39:19         unsure 129:7         video 7:6         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6           VIDEOGRAPH         57:1,8,20,22 59:6         237:7 238:8           187:2 188:7 198:2         upper 49:4 59:22         101:16 153:23         69:24 70:4,8         24:24 242:7,18           188:11         172:2 178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         245:39 247:21           underscore 88:10         understand 10:18         25:24 27:13 48:4         90:24 92:22,24         25:19,23,25           usually 78:8 107:25         visits 174:4         visits 174:4         109:11 10:12,24         visiting 173:8         101:19 102:1         wantl:11:18:19           188:11         understand 10:18         25:24 27:13 48:4         109:17 133         101:19 10:2,24         25:24 10:3,11           25:25,13 58:22         133:13 141:14         133:16,22 46:8         111:18:13         93:2 109:7 133:12
types 174:22,23         unicorn 62:5         159:24 160:6         45:11,22 46:5,14         226:2,6,10,14,15           Unity 79:24 163:11         university 195:8         university 195:8         university 195:8         vendor 47:20         49:12,17 50:1         231:14,21 232:1,9           21:18 24:21 39:19         256:9         vice 241:2         52:11,12,18 54:12         232:15 234:7,12           21:18 24:21 39:19         unsure 129:7         vice 241:2         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6           125:12 130:1         unsure 191:23         untrue 191:23         45:25 46:3 101:13         59:16 61:15 62:7         239:19 240:10,13           187:2 188:7 198:2         197:3         154:1 171:24         71:11,18 75:16         243:6 244:2,11           198:8,12 201:24         urge 17:14         172:2 178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         245:3,9 247:21           213:4 220:9         use 31:21 47:16         74:2 75:1,6 139:1         77:11,17,20 78:12         248:9 250:4,15,24           unders- 147:17         underscore 88:10         185:14 190:17,23         185:14 190:17,23         172:12,22         99:2,19 101:3,11         256:25         256:9         256:78,13,17,20           25:24 27:13 48:4         25:6:9         visiting 173:8         101:19 102:1         101:19 102:1         101:19 102:1
Unity 79:24 163:11   vendor 47:20   versus 7:17   vice 241:2   23:15,212   2
Unity 79:24 163:11 university 195:8 unsigned 255:21 256:9 unsure 129:7 untrue 191:23 unusual 194:25 upper 49:4 59:22 198:8,12 201:24 220:9 use 31:21 47:16 188:8 11 understand 10:18 25:24 27:13 48:4 52:5,13 58:22 73:25 78:7 82:18    Unity 79:24 163:11 university 195:8 unsigned 255:21 vice 241:2 video 7:6 vrice 241:2 vri
U         university 195:8         versus 7:17         51:4,19,21 52:4         232:15 234:7,12           U62:5         Uh-huh 11:4 14:2         256:9         vice 241:2         52:11,12,18 54:12         234:22 235:14,21           21:18 24:21 39:19         unsure 129:7         video 7:6         VIDEOGRAPH         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6           125:12 130:1         unsual 194:25         unsual 194:25         45:25 46:3 101:13         64:10 65:13 66:16         240:24 241:6,9,15           156:15 183:8         187:2 188:7 198:2         197:3         154:1 171:24         71:11,18 75:16         241:24 242:7,18           187:2 188:7 198:2         urge 17:14         172:2 178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         245:3,9 247:21           188:8,12 201:24         use 31:21 47:16         videotaped 1:16         25:24,224 89:21         25:14,239:22         25:16 7:14           191:4 239:22         visiting 173:8         visiting 173:8         101:19 102:1         want 1:1 18:19           25:24 27:13 48:4         25:25,13 58:22         visually 78:8 107:25         volume 14:1,5         112:6,18 116:17         68:7 69:5 92:25           73:25 78:7 82:18         132:13 141:14         31:16,22 46:8         112:6,18 116:17         68:7 69:5 92:25
U62:5         unsigned 255:21         vice 241:2         52:11,12,18 54:12         234:22 235:14,21           Uh-huh 11:4 14:2         256:9         unsure 129:7         video 7:6         VIDEOGRAPH         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6           76:13 87:10         untrue 191:23         unusual 194:25         video 7:6         VIDEOGRAPH         57:1,8,20,22 59:6         237:7 238:8           138:25 145:5         upper 49:4 59:22         101:16 153:23         69:24 70:4,8         241:24 242:7,18           156:15 183:8         197:3         154:1 171:24         71:11,18 75:16         243:6 244:2,11           187:2 188:7 198:2         urge 17:14         urgency 205:7,9         use 31:21 47:16         72:12 2178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         248:9 250:4,15,24           213:4 220:9         use 31:21 47:16         74:2 75:1,6 139:1         videotaped 1:16         86:5,22,24 89:21         254:12,23 255:1           underscore 88:10         185:14 190:17,23         185:14 190:17,23         172:12,22         99:2,19 101:3,11         want 11:1 18:19           25:24 27:13 48:4         256:9         visits 174:4         VOL 4:13,14         109:1 10:12,24         68:7 69:5 92:25           73:25 78:7 82:18         132:13 141:14         31:16,22 46:8         117:21 118:13         93:2 109:7 133:12
Uh-huh 11:4 14:2         256:9         video 7:6         55:2,8,21 56:2,17         235:22 236:6         237:7 238:8           76:13 87:10         untrue 191:23         3:21 7:4 8:4         59:16 61:15 62:7         239:19 240:10,13           125:12 130:1         unusual 194:25         45:25 46:3 101:13         64:10 65:13 66:16         240:24 241:6,9,15           156:15 183:8         197:3         101:16 153:23         69:24 70:4,8         241:24 242:7,18           187:2 188:7 198:2         197:3         154:1 171:24         71:11,17,20 78:12         245:3,9 247:21           198:8,12 201:24         urgency 205:7,9         238:3,6 257:1         78:15 80:7 85:19         248:9 250:4,15,24           213:4 220:9         use 31:21 47:16         74:2 75:1,6 139:1         2:16 7:14         90:24 92:22,24         255:19,23,25           unders- 147:17         141:10,14 184:13         185:14 190:17,23         172:12,22         99:2,19 101:3,11         256:25           88:11         191:4 239:22         visiting 173:8         101:19 102:1         want 11:1 18:19           25:24 27:13 48:4         256:9         visits 174:4         109:1 110:12,24         26:13 52:17 55:1           73:25 78:7 82:18         132:13 141:14         31:16,22 46:8         117:21 118:13         93:2 109:7 133:12
21:18 24:21 39:19         unsure 129:7         VIDEOGRAPH         57:1,8,20,22 59:6         237:7 238:8           76:13 87:10         untrue 191:23         3:21 7:4 8:4         59:16 61:15 62:7         239:19 240:10,13           125:12 130:1         unusual 194:25         45:25 46:3 101:13         64:10 65:13 66:16         240:24 241:6,9,15           156:15 183:8         156:15 183:8         197:3         154:1 171:24         71:11,18 75:16         243:6 244:2,11           187:2 188:7 198:2         urge 17:14         172:2 178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         245:3,9 247:21           198:8,12 201:24         urgency 205:7,9         238:3,6 257:1         78:15 80:7 85:19         248:9 250:4,15,24           213:4 220:9         use 31:21 47:16         videotaped 1:16         25:2,224 89:21         255:19,23,25           28:11         141:10,14 184:13         185:14 190:17,23         172:12,22         99:2,19 101:3,11         256:25           88:11         191:4 239:22         visiting 173:8         101:19 102:1         want 11:1 18:19           25:24 27:13 48:4         256:9         VOL 4:13,14         109:1 110:12,24         26:13 52:17 55:1           52:5,13 58:22         132:13 141:14         31:16,22 46:8         112:6,18 116:17         68:7 69:5 92:25           73:25 78:7 82:18
76:13 87:10         untrue 191:23         3:21 7:4 8:4         59:16 61:15 62:7         239:19 240:10,13           125:12 130:1         unusual 194:25         45:25 46:3 101:13         64:10 65:13 66:16         240:24 241:6,9,15           138:25 145:5         upper 49:4 59:22         101:16 153:23         69:24 70:4,8         241:24 242:7,18           187:2 188:7 198:2         urge 17:14         172:2 178:10,13         77:11,17,20 78:12         245:3,9 247:21           198:8,12 201:24         urgency 205:7,9         238:3,6 257:1         78:15 80:7 85:19         248:9 250:4,15,24           201:34 220:9         use 31:21 47:16         216 7:14         90:24 92:22,24         255:19,23,25           141:10,14 184:13         185:14 190:17,23         172:12,22         99:2,19 101:3,11         256:25           88:11         191:4 239:22         visiting 173:8         101:19 102:1         want 11:1 18:19           25:24 27:13 48:4         256:9         VOL 4:13,14         109:1 110:12,24         26:13 52:17 55:1           52:5,13 58:22         132:13 141:14         31:16,22 46:8         117:21 118:13         93:2 109:7 133:12
125:12 130:1       unusual 194:25       45:25 46:3 101:13       64:10 65:13 66:16       240:24 241:6,9,15         138:25 145:5       upper 49:4 59:22       101:16 153:23       69:24 70:4,8       241:24 242:7,18         156:15 183:8       197:3       154:1 171:24       71:11,18 75:16       243:6 244:2,11         187:2 188:7 198:2       urge 17:14       172:2 178:10,13       77:11,17,20 78:12       245:3,9 247:21         198:8,12 201:24       urgency 205:7,9       use 31:21 47:16       74:2 75:1,6 139:1       74:2 75:1,6 139:1       74:2 75:1,6 139:1       216 7:14       90:24 92:22,24       255:19,23,25         underscore 88:10       185:14 190:17,23       185:14 190:17,23       172:12,22       99:2,19 101:3,11       256:25         understand 10:18       252:4 255:20       visiting 173:8       101:19 102:1       want 11:1 18:19         25:5,13 58:22       usually 78:8 107:25       VOL 4:13,14       109:1 110:12,24       26:13 52:17 55:1         73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
156:15 183:8       197:3       154:1 171:24       71:11,18 75:16       243:6 244:2,11         187:2 188:7 198:2       198:8,12 201:24       172:2 178:10,13       77:11,17,20 78:12       245:3,9 247:21         198:8,12 201:24       198:8,12 201:24       198:8,12 201:24       198:8,12 201:24       199:24 201:24       248:9 250:4,15,24         198:8,12 201:24       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       198:8,12 47:16       199:24 92:22,24       256:7,8,13,17,20       256:7,8,13,17,20       256:7,8,13,17,20       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:25       256:19       256:25       256:13 52:17 55:1       256:13 52:17 55
187:2 188:7 198:2       urge 17:14       172:2 178:10,13       77:11,17,20 78:12       245:3,9 247:21         198:8,12 201:24       urgency 205:7,9       238:3,6 257:1       78:15 80:7 85:19       248:9 250:4,15,24         213:4 220:9       use 31:21 47:16       videotaped 1:16       86:5,22,24 89:21       25:1,23 25:1         ultimately 207:9       141:10,14 184:13       visit 9:20,24,25       90:24 92:22,24       255:19,23,25         underscore 88:10       185:14 190:17,23       172:12,22       99:2,19 101:3,11       256:25         understand 10:18       252:4 255:20       visits 174:4       105:24 107:4       21:2,4,16 22:10         25:24 27:13 48:4       256:9       volume 14:1,5       109:1 110:12,24       26:13 52:17 55:1         73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
198:8,12 201:24       urgency 205:7,9       238:3,6 257:1       78:15 80:7 85:19       248:9 250:4,15,24         213:4 220:9       use 31:21 47:16       videotaped 1:16       86:5,22,24 89:21       254:12,23 255:1         ultimately 207:9       141:10,14 184:13       visit 9:20,24,25       90:24 92:22,24       255:19,23,25         underscore 88:10       185:14 190:17,23       172:12,22       99:2,19 101:3,11       256:25         understand 10:18       25:24 27:13 48:4       256:9       visits 174:4       105:24 107:4       21:2,4,16 22:10         25:25,13 58:22       usually 78:8 107:25       73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
213:4 220:9 ultimately 207:9 unders- 147:17 underscore 88:10 88:11 understand 10:18 25:24 27:13 48:4 52:5,13 58:22 73:25 78:7 82:18  use 31:21 47:16 74:2 75:1,6 139:1 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,6 139:1 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,6 139:1 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,6 139:1 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,6 139:1 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,6 139:1 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 74:2 75:1,4 7
213:4 220:9       use 31:21 47:16       videotaped 1:16       86:5,22,24 89:21       254:12,23 255:1         ultimately 207:9       4:2 75:1,6 139:1       visit 9:20,24,25       90:24 92:22,24       255:19,23,25         unders- 147:17       141:10,14 184:13       visit 9:20,24,25       93:1,3,6,12 98:4       256:7,8,13,17,20         underscore 88:10       185:14 190:17,23       172:12,22       99:2,19 101:3,11       256:25         understand 10:18       252:4 255:20       visits 174:4       105:24 107:4       21:2,4,16 22:10         25:24 27:13 48:4       256:9       VOL 4:13,14       109:1 110:12,24       26:13 52:17 55:1         52:5,13 58:22       usually 78:8 107:25       volume 14:1,5       112:6,18 116:17       68:7 69:5 92:25         73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
unders- 147:17       141:10,14 184:13       visit 9:20,24,25       93:1,3,6,12 98:4       256:7,8,13,17,20         underscore 88:10       185:14 190:17,23       172:12,22       99:2,19 101:3,11       256:25         understand 10:18       25:24 27:13 48:4       25:24 27:13 48:4       105:24 107:4       21:2,4,16 22:10         25:25,13 58:22       usually 78:8 107:25       VOL 4:13,14       109:1 110:12,24       26:13 52:17 55:1         73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
underscore 88:10       185:14 190:17,23       172:12,22       99:2,19 101:3,11       256:25         88:11       191:4 239:22       visiting 173:8       101:19 102:1       want 11:1 18:19         understand 10:18       25:24 27:13 48:4       256:9       VOL 4:13,14       109:1 110:12,24       26:13 52:17 55:1         52:5,13 58:22       usually 78:8 107:25       volume 14:1,5       112:6,18 116:17       68:7 69:5 92:25         73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
88:11
understand       10:18       25:24 25:20       visits       17:44       105:24 107:4       21:2,4,16 22:10         25:24 27:13 48:4       25:9       VOL 4:13,14       109:1 110:12,24       26:13 52:17 55:1         52:5,13 58:22       usually 78:8 107:25       volume 14:1,5       112:6,18 116:17       68:7 69:5 92:25         73:25 78:7 82:18       132:13 141:14       31:16,22 46:8       117:21 118:13       93:2 109:7 133:12
25:24 27:13 48:4 52:5,13 58:22 73:25 78:7 82:18  256:9  VOL 4:13,14  volume 14:1,5  112:6,18 116:17  68:7 69:5 92:25  31:16,22 46:8  117:21 118:13  93:2 109:7 133:12
52:5,13 58:22 <b>usually</b> 78:8 107:25 <b>volume</b> 14:1,5 112:6,18 116:17 68:7 69:5 92:25 132:13 141:14 31:16,22 46:8 117:21 118:13 93:2 109:7 133:12
73:25 78:7 82:18
132.13 111.11 31.10,22 10.0 117.21 110.13 93.2 107.7 133.12
83:6 84:6 86:3   172:13   <b>Vong</b> 169:14 170:1   122:1,7 123:1   134:6 144:8 145:1
101:2 103:24 <b>utilized</b> 76:20 170:5 124:21 126:1,12 152:11 158:8
117:17 118:4 <b>utilizing</b> 38:8 81:12 <b>VS</b> 1:6 2:6 128:4,11 129:6,17 163:15,19,25
122:19 125:19 130:19 132:15
137:20 147:10,14
147:18 149:22
150:7,7,11,18 20:1 23:13 24:24 <b>wait</b> 15:11 63:21 143:13 144:12 206:13 215:22
170:18 180:21
216:18 229:17
230:19 238:23 77:15 110:7 134:6 204:3,5 206:17 150:17,23 151:6 241:5 250:12
247:19
understanding         231:8 247:17         7:21,21 8:17         152:18 153:1,5,13         255:1,4           52:20 64:2 88:22         10:17 13:2 8:21         152:18 153:1,5,13         255:1,4
52:20 64:3 88:22
97:17,22 117:23   60:14 74:8 111:4   14:10 16:3,12,19   154:21 155:2,4,24   103:23 179:17
144:16 149:7
162:24 169:5 144:23 145:7 20:6 21:12,21 158:16 162:4,8 <b>wasn't</b> 29:24 83:1

_				Page 201
95.21 00.15	71:6 80:22 141:6	122:18 123:20	176.10 170.5 0 17	19:17 20:3 21:18
85:21 98:15 119:22 146:6	165:12 177:11	197:10,21	176:10 178:5,8,17 178:19 182:5	23:14,25 25:2
170:24 176:19	website 158:13	went 9:20 56:13	185:5 190:8 197:5	28:4 32:23 34:22
182:23 194:25				
	week 9:3,21,21,24	58:8 69:16 114:21	200:15,18,19	35:24 36:10,25
240:7 245:5	10:7 172:9,10,11	175:24 180:10	203:19 207:20,24	37:8 38:5 39:25
246:23	173:9	247:12,15 249:17	209:7 218:1	40:19 41:25 42:16
Watch 238:1	Weichert 110:20	weren't 246:2	Wienskoski 166:24	43:5,18,21 49:11
way 11:23 12:18	112:4,16 116:10	Weston 122:20,22	Wil- 232:20	49:16,21 50:25
15:19,21 16:14	117:19 118:11	123:4 197:8,15,17	WILLIAMS 5:6	51:20 52:2,9,17
18:24 19:13 20:4	122:25 125:23	westoncapital.com	<b>Wilshire</b> 179:11	54:4,6,9 55:1,5
20:5 32:5 36:20	128:2,9 129:4	122:10	232:21	56:24 59:5 62:4
39:6 44:25 45:6,8	130:15 132:9,24	<b>Wh-</b> 113:20 207:16	Wilson 134:12,15	64:8 65:11 66:12
47:10 48:1,20	137:18 138:2	whatsoever 18:10	166:12	66:14 69:21 70:7
49:5 52:25 58:24	142:8 213:17	191:24	Wimbledon 1:4 2:4	71:9 75:11,14
60:20 63:1,11	215:19,22 216:1,6	whichever 150:25	3:2,7 7:16 133:23	77:6 78:4 85:16
66:1,14 68:5,25	219:3,21 222:20	Wiechert 3:12 4:6	133:25 134:1,2	86:3,23 90:23
73:25 74:7,13	224:8 225:1,13,23	7:25,25 19:15,25	141:18 165:5	98:2 99:16 100:25
78:7 83:6 84:5	226:9 227:4 231:2	21:10,14 22:15	wintertime 120:24	110:9,22 112:5,17
91:4,13 97:5,21	231:8,11,13,20,23	23:12,22 24:24	wire 48:12 107:10	116:13 117:20
99:17,24 100:4	232:6,12 233:23	26:8 28:3 33:25	107:17,20 108:2	125:25 128:10
103:23 106:23	234:1,8,18 235:1	34:3,7,21 35:13	110:3 111:8,18,22	129:5 130:17
108:12 112:22	235:11,16 236:3	35:16,22 36:8,24	111:24 112:7,13	132:11 133:1
132:13 137:1,17	237:3 239:17	37:7 39:23 41:24	113:19 114:10,13	137:20 138:4
139:3,17 141:24	240:9,23 241:4,8	42:8,15 43:3,17	114:17,21 115:3	142:10 144:10
142:19 153:23	241:12,22 242:5	45:9,24 47:22	115:11,16,23	149:11,25 150:14
168:21 169:6	242:13,25 244:8	48:9 49:10,15,20	116:3,8,12,15,22	150:20 151:5,10
170:18 172:15	245:1,8 247:17	50:21 51:25 52:8	116:24,24 117:1	151:18 152:11,14
175:12 181:5,8	248:6 249:25	52:15 54:24 55:18	118:8 119:6,9	152:16 153:11,24
187:8 188:19	250:3,9,12 251:2	55:23 56:16 59:4	125:3,9,13,20	154:12,14,20
193:25 194:24	254:11,13,16,18	64:6 65:10 66:8	194:12,19 195:24	155:3,22 156:11
200:9 202:22	255:4,9	69:19 70:3,6 71:8	196:12 214:3,8,16	157:21 158:10,12
207:5,18 208:2	Weichert's 227:13	75:9 77:4,15 78:3	214:20,25 215:2	162:7 167:7
209:15 212:23	weird 76:9 99:6	78:13 85:14,17	216:14,24 218:7	168:16 175:12
214:23 219:9	welcome 63:12	86:2 89:19 90:21	225:4 248:15	178:3 182:3 185:2
221:7,8 224:4	Wellner 123:10	92:25 93:2,5	251:5,6,10,12	186:16 190:7
233:6 240:5 244:9	197:7	97:25 98:25	wire's 121:7	195:20 196:24
244:22	Wellner's 123:2	100:23 143:11	wired 108:8 223:20	197:1,4 203:18
ways 229:14	Wells 95:24 108:2	144:4 145:12	wires 108:2 110:11	207:23 211:2
we'll 31:21 92:21	108:3 110:11,14	149:9,16,21	215:12	224:25 225:18
116:18 206:17	111:19 192:19	150:13,15,19	wiring 117:12	226:5 231:3,10,24
215:19 226:3	193:13,16,20,24	151:3,8,14,24	118:2	232:7,13 233:25
231:2 234:12	219:25 220:4,11	152:9,24 153:3,10	wish 14:4	234:2,10 235:18
250:25 255:20	220:22,25 221:6	153:16 154:11,13	withdraw 196:7	236:4,5 237:5
we're 101:16	222:3,7 245:18	154:18 155:20	251:20	240:12 241:13,23
215:17,18 218:18	247:13,23 248:19	156:10 157:19	witness 3:15 4:2	242:6,15 243:1,22
257:3	249:10 250:18	162:2,5 167:6	8:3,5,11 13:5,7	243:25 244:9
we've 70:13,23	Welner 5:11 122:9	168:14 175:10	16:2,8 17:8,16	245:2 247:19
110 10 10.13,23	,, cinci 5.11 122.)	100.111/3.10	10.2,0 17.0,10	213.22f/.17

248:7 250:2,11,14	30:15 31:5 36:20	134:3 147:8,13	215:8	<b>1100</b> 149:1,18
250:25 254:24	45:8 58:24 66:23	150:20	1/19/2012 5:5	151:1
255:5,11,14,24	66:23 67:24 70:16	years 15:7,14,22	1/2/2013 5:13,14	<b>119</b> 14:8
256:1,11,15,18,23	100:4 113:14	16:4 19:19 24:4	1/8 41:21	<b>12</b> 5:5 6:12 50:18
259:6,17	123:6,9 130:9	38:17 40:12 43:23	<b>1:19</b> 215:11	101:21,22 104:2
witness' 30:25	134:19 141:12	44:5 64:5 112:21	<b>1:30</b> 101:18	115:4 116:25
32:20	175:12 177:3	123:14 140:2	<b>10</b> 4:11,22 63:14	142:14
wonder 163:17	187:23 193:22,25	175:17,18 204:4	86:17,19,25 87:2	<b>12,000</b> 96:13 98:18
wondering 236:17	201:13 202:22	yellow 8:21 236:11	87:5,20 166:16	99:7 101:6 125:10
<b>Woodard</b> 102:6,10	209:15 212:23	Yesterday 9:20	188:4,5,8 202:2	125:14,20
102:17 103:5,12	224:4 229:8 240:5		<b>10,000</b> 96:24 97:8	<b>12.5</b> 202:18
104:3,9 105:5,12	242:19,21 244:9	Z	97:15 100:9 101:6	<b>12/17/2019</b> 98:19
<b>Woodward</b> 60:14	248:7 251:7	<b>Z</b> 44:18 78:6	<b>10:05</b> 2:19 7:2,11	<b>12/2013</b> 5:15 141:8
60:17 80:17 81:5	write 22:24 23:4	<b>Zar-</b> 117:24	<b>10:09</b> 109:20	12/22/2011 6:5
83:20	38:19,19 44:11,13	Zarrinkelk 5:10	213:24	<b>12/24</b> 113:25
Woodward's 80:16	45:19 86:4	58:9,13,16,22	10:49 45:25	<b>12:09</b> 92:23
80:16,18	written 40:2 99:10	109:13 110:2	<b>10:52</b> 120:7	<b>12:11</b> 169:17
word 118:4	wrong 120:3	111:21 113:17	<b>100</b> 22:11 84:7	<b>12:15</b> 101:13
words 44:19 94:2	163:16 246:1	114:8 115:17,24	111:5 154:7 156:4	<b>12:48</b> 119:3
work 8:24 16:16	wrote 40:2 85:7,10	116:6 117:8,24	176:4 246:6	<b>121</b> 5:10
44:17 86:17 113:4	85:22 86:6 171:5	118:14 119:2	1004 33:14	<b>122</b> 5:11
186:10 204:23		120:14 121:6,19	101 5:5	<b>124</b> 5:12
worked 16:9 19:13	X	147:20	<b>10100</b> 2:17 7:12	<b>12553</b> 1:22 2:20
19:17,18 20:4	<b>x</b> 4:8 5:1,2 6:1,2	Zarrinkelk's	<b>10101</b> 61:4 87:24	259:1,25
21:9 72:19 73:2	44:18 78:6 259:16	116:20	<b>1026</b> 95:2	<b>126</b> 5:13
112:24 119:18,19			<b>1027</b> 95:18	<b>129</b> 5:14
119:22 127:15	Y	0	<b>105</b> 5:6	<b>13</b> 4:12 5:6 47:11
143:17,19 145:4	<b>Y</b> 8:20 44:18 78:6	<b>000</b> 88:11	107 5:8	47:19 91:7,8,12
182:19,19,22	yeah 21:6 33:5	<b>000534</b> 148:2	108 5:9	105:20,21 166:8
195:8 197:14	43:19 46:18 48:23	<b>05-102</b> 60:5	<b>10th</b> 147:6,12	222:22 246:18
241:19	69:9 73:18 76:24	<b>07601</b> 3:10	204:9 209:25	<b>13,000</b> 59:1
working 7:6 9:5	79:19 102:23,23		<b>11</b> 4:24 41:15,16	13th 2:18
16:10 17:19,22	112:9 113:21	1	42:4 43:23 92:1,3	<b>14</b> 5:8 6:13 15:9
19:8,10,22,23	148:3 150:3,10	<b>1</b> 4:11 6:14 10:13	92:8 93:8,9,13,18	24:16 106:25
20:8 26:4,7,9	161:13,13,13	10:14 14:5,12,15	100:22 192:14	107:1 194:9
30:25 69:12,14,15	163:22 164:1	21:13 126:25	<b>11,000</b> 33:18	223:24
119:13,17 122:20	179:25,25 181:22	167:9 195:25	<b>11,076.93</b> 34:12	140 5:15
131:17 134:13	181:22 186:3	196:13,17 207:14	35:10,20 49:14	<b>145</b> 5:16
145:9 169:2	209:11 216:9	208:6	<b>11/10/2011</b> 5:21	14spiskula@capi
182:14 201:16	225:7 226:1	1-million-dollar	11/17/2011 5:22,23	118:22
246:20	227:18 235:16	117:1	<b>11/2/2011</b> 4:20	<b>15</b> 5:9 22:4 108:22
Workz 135:18	244:15 254:23	<b>1,000</b> 149:1 151:7	11/23/2011 5:24	108:23 121:12
136:1,7	256:8	<b>1,100</b> 228:2 229:9	<b>11/9/2011</b> 4:21	166:12 195:19
worth 203:7,11	year 17:25 21:3	1,148.31 42:6	11:05 46:4	<b>154</b> 209:24
208:21 209:1,8	27:1 60:12,19,22	<b>1,200,000</b> 217:6	11:19 222:25	<b>16</b> 5:10 64:4 107:8
wouldn't 16:15	60:22,23 73:6	<b>1,274,325</b> 217:2	11:33 222:22	113:2 121:18,22
18:4 24:5 28:4	103:13 104:16	<b>1.2</b> 214:3,8,16,25	<b>11:50</b> 82:21	142:18,21 194:12
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				3
<b>160</b> 14:9	2:15-CV-6633-C	186:5,17 204:9	159:16 160:3,12	192:1
<b>164</b> 5:17	1:7 2:7	209:25 214:9	161:3,21 163:4	
<b>169</b> 5:18	<b>2:52</b> 171:24	217:4,13 218:3	198:25 225:24	3
<b>17</b> 5:11 25:7 59:22	<b>20</b> 5:14 19:4 48:11	243:15	226:4,9,11,12,23	<b>3</b> 4:13,14 31:9,14
63:9 64:1 99:1	49:8 129:13,14,22	<b>2012</b> 50:19 51:8	226:24 227:10	32:3,17 39:17
113:3 122:3,4,8	130:12 144:3,14	52:13,21 53:10,18	228:1,7,8,20	46:8 146:25
123:19 124:1	144:17 159:4,10	91:7,9,12 92:1,3,8	229:7,19,19,20	148:16 152:19
170:16 196:21	160:4,13,23 161:4	95:3,19 96:13	230:3 231:7 232:4	199:16 223:15
197:4,6 214:9	161:22 162:3	103:4 104:3 106:2	<b>222</b> 6:7	<b>3/13/2012</b> 6:7
216:19 243:14	163:5 198:7,9	107:8 109:19	<b>23</b> 5:17 96:13 164:5	<b>3/17/2016</b> 5:18
<b>17/2016</b> 169:17	<b>20,000</b> 107:11,21	117:7 118:15	164:6 165:2,9,11	3/2/2012 6:6
<b>172</b> 6:16	194:13	119:3 124:22	165:14,23 166:1,5	<b>3:00</b> 173:14,15
<b>173</b> 6:17	<b>200</b> 5:19	194:12 222:22	166:7,16,20,25	<b>3:07</b> 172:3
<b>174</b> 6:18	<b>200,000</b> 47:21 48:6	246:18	167:25 168:9	<b>3:15</b> 178:10
<b>178,251</b> 4:6	<b>2000</b> 43:22,22	<b>2013</b> 96:24 97:11	<b>23rd</b> 218:3	<b>3:17</b> 178:14
<b>17th</b> 217:4	133:15	97:13,18,22 98:8	<b>24</b> 5:18 6:16 169:8	<b>3:45</b> 127:19
<b>18</b> 5:12 6:15,17	<b>2004</b> 4:12,13,14	100:9 101:6	169:10	<b>30</b> 6:5,15 33:14
96:24 97:11,13,18	13:25 31:16 46:8	126:16,25 127:4	<b>2425</b> 89:24 95:6	34:5 75:7 126:25
97:22 98:7 100:9	<b>2006</b> 26:13 176:4	127:19 142:7	127:10 167:13	140:2 218:24,25
124:17,18	<b>2007</b> 22:10 23:16	<b>2014</b> 134:5	<b>25</b> 1:18 2:19 3:9	219:8,10 255:17
<b>18,000</b> 55:15 58:8	23:23 26:14 45:12	<b>2015</b> 18:19	5:19 7:1,10 19:19	255:24 256:4,12
<b>186,000</b> 225:5	49:8 63:23 176:7	<b>2016</b> 170:16 171:18	143:3 166:20	256:14
<b>1878</b> 38:8	<b>2008</b> 33:14 34:5,8	<b>2019</b> 1:18 2:19 7:1	200:11,12,20	<b>30(e))</b> 259:19
<b>1880</b> 3:17	41:15,16 42:4	7:10 15:9 98:22	225:24 226:5,7,23	<b>30(f)(1))</b> 259:8,11
<b>19</b> 5:13 6:12 19:7	43:22 47:11,19	99:1 101:1,7	227:10 228:9,10	<b>31</b> 4:13 6:6 219:17
103:4 104:3	48:11	259:22	228:18 229:2	219:18 220:2
109:19 117:5,7	<b>2009</b> 35:7 36:15	2025.320(a))	<b>250</b> 126:24	221:4 245:16,17 <b>310</b> 3:18
118:15 119:3	37:6,15 38:8	259:14	<b>26</b> 5:21 203:14,15	
126:8,9,14 129:18	<b>2010</b> 11:2 33:3	<b>2025.330(a)</b> 259:7	230:2 233:7 237:2	310)828-1515 210:17
<b>1995</b> 19:11,21	60:19 63:19 64:5	<b>2025.540(a)</b> 259:8	237:4 238:9	<b>32</b> 6:7 222:16,17
21:10 182:10,18	77:24 81:5 103:22	259:11	243:21 251:22	246:8,9,25
1st 106:1 182:16	146:12 148:11	203 5:21	252:8 253:5,22	<b>33</b> 115:8
2	180:13,19,25	<b>2093</b> 259:4	<b>27</b> 5:22 124:22	<b>33,000</b> 55:16
<b>2</b> 4:12,13 13:17,18	181:14 192:1	<b>21</b> 5:15 140:23,24	213:11,14,19	<b>361-2822</b> 3:14
25:23 31:16 48:12	199:7 <b>2011</b> 11:2 21:4	141:6,25 165:8,11 165:18 168:1,6,8	216:11 243:14,19 243:25 244:1	<b>37</b> 46:17
48:19 71:21 72:1	59:22 63:14 64:5	228:17	<b>27136</b> 3:13	<b>38</b> 46:17 48:21,23
72:10 73:1 78:20	71:21 72:1,10	<b>2112</b> 49:8	<b>28</b> 5:23 6:13 216:2	143:14
79:21,24 95:2,4	73:1 77:24 78:20	<b>2112</b> 49.8 <b>213</b> 5:22	216:3,7	
101:17 126:16	79:2,8,15,21,25	213)618-3036	28(a)) 259:4	4
127:4,19 148:11	80:14 81:8,12	210:23	<b>29</b> 5:24 6:14 146:12	<b>4</b> 4:14 6:18 21:13
148:25 150:9	82:11 83:8 84:23	<b>216</b> 5:23	217:22 218:2	46:7,11 48:22
154:6 199:24	85:5,10,23 86:7	<b>210</b> 5:24	244:12,13	199:17 209:14
200:2 202:25	87:9 89:23 93:17	<b>217</b> 5:24 <b>218</b> 6:5	<b>29,000</b> 50:20	<b>4,960</b> 95:19
203:5 216:22	94:3 102:8,16	<b>219</b> 6:6	<b>29th</b> 259:22	4/1/2012 5:7
<b>2,201.80</b> 37:18 38:9	103:23 104:16	<b>22</b> 5:16 95:19	<b>2nd</b> 50:19 52:13,21	4/16/2012 5:8
<b>2:15-CV-6633</b> 7:15	142:7 181:23	145:19,20 157:8	53:10,18 186:5	<b>4/19/2012</b> 5:9,10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>                                     </u>

03-25-19

				Page 290
<b>4/26/2012</b> 5:11	<b>51</b> 31:24 32:3,7,17	94:3 186:15 197:1		
4/27/2012 5:11 4/27/2012 5:12	35:2 39:15 41:11	197:2		
<b>4:00</b> 215:24	41:11	<b>9:00</b> 173:14,15		
<b>4:37</b> 238:3	<b>515</b> 61:5 87:25	<b>9:30</b> 186:17		
<b>4:42</b> 238:7	<b>516</b> 3:17	<b>9:39</b> 82:11		
<b>4:46</b> 104:4	<b>518</b> 90:4,6 91:20	90067 3:18		
<b>4:56</b> 204:9	<b>557-9391</b> 3:5	901 3:4		
<b>40</b> 112:21 141:24	<b>59</b> 4:17	<b>92675</b> 3:13		
199:19		<b>93</b> 4:24		
<b>4120</b> 3:4	6	<b>949</b> 3:14		
<b>44</b> 29:25 30:1	<b>6</b> 4:17 59:10,13,17	<b>95</b> 20:5 182:14,16		
450,000-dollar	61:3			
112:7,13	<b>6,000</b> 93:22 94:3			
<b>46</b> 4:14 14:12 31:24	<b>6:45</b> 102:16			
32:2,7,17	<b>61</b> 4:18			
469 3:5	<b>6433</b> 209:23 210:6			
<b>47</b> 32:4				
<b>478-7110</b> 3:18	7			
<b>479</b> 195:21	7 4:18 61:11,12,16			
<b>48</b> 14:12,15,22 19:2	62:22 64:25 70:13			
21:5 22:4 24:16	70:23 71:7 185:7			
25:7 29:25 35:2	185:17			
39:15	<b>7/22/2011</b> 4:17			
<b>480</b> 113:21	<b>71</b> 4:20			
<b>481</b> 109:6	<b>7307</b> 224:20,22			
<b>483</b> 114:17 115:20	<b>75202</b> 3:4			
<b>484</b> 114:23 116:2				
<b>49</b> 4:16	8			
<b>499</b> 88:12	<b>8</b> 4:20 19:2 35:7			
477 00.12	36:15 37:6,15			
5	38:8 71:14,15,20			
<b>5</b> 4:16 14:22 49:23	77:2 80:14 81:11			
50:3,13 147:23,24	82:11 186:2,17			
202:25 203:5,8,11	<b>8,225</b> 4:5			
207:14,16 208:6	<b>8/11</b> 141:8			
208:22	<b>8/2011</b> 5:15			
<b>5:04</b> 257:3,6	<b>80</b> 4:21 208:25			
<b>5:24</b> 76:11	<b>86</b> 4:22			
<b>5:40</b> 76:10	<b>87.5</b> 202:4 229:25			
<b>50</b> 50:11 111:5	<b>89</b> 182:12,13			
<b>50,000</b> 51:5,23	8th 102:8,16			
53:14 56:11				
	9			
<b>500,000</b> 111:10	<b>9</b> 4:21 21:5 25:7			
<b>501</b> 88:13 91:6	30:3,4 80:3,4 83:8			
<b>504</b> 88:25	84:23 85:5,10,23			
<b>507</b> 189:10	86:7 87:9 93:17			
<b>508</b> 189:1,3 190:2,5				
			<u> </u>	